Q1 There are currently over 30,000 U.S. soldiers stationed in the Middle East. Do you think this number should increase, stay the same, or decrease?

Base: All Respondents

		Gen	der			Age				F	emale Age				N	Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base	2041	1058	954	468	334	339	364	536	235	170	168	187	298	217	163	168	174	232	409	810	413	409
Weighted Base	2041	1025	986	586	347	321	337	450	280	172	158	173	242	289	173	159	162	203	354	782	421	484
Increase	229 11%	72 7%	156 16% B	98 17% FGH	49 14% GH	32 10%	21 6%	30 7%	31 11% L	12 7%	10 6%	6 4%	13 5%	67 23% PQRI	37 21% QRJ	22 14% K	15 9%	16 8%	34 10%	102 13%	37 9%	56 12%
Stay the same	1009 49%	511 50%	484 49%	286 49%	151 44%	144 45%	171 51%	257 57% DEF	146 52%	83 48%	72 45%	81 47%	129 53%	131 45%	67 39%	70 44%	89 55% O	126 62% NOP	158 45%	392 50%	211 50%	248 51%
Decrease	802 39%	443 43% C	347 35%	203 35%	147 42%	145 45% DH	145 43% D	163 36%	103 37%	78 45%	76 48% 1	86 50% IQ	100 41% R	91 32%	70 40%	67 42% R	58 36%	61 30%	162 46% TV	289 37%	172 41%	180 37%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used. Page 1 1 Sep 2023 Table 1

Q1 There are currently over 30,000 U.S. soldiers stationed in the Middle East. Do you think this number should increase, stay the same, or decrease?

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	f Child r 18	Home Ow	nership	Marita	l Status	R	Race/Ethnic	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Increase	229 11%	60 10%	24 8%	35 14% C	109 13% C	75 11%	75 11%	79 11%	159 13%	71 9%	111 16% L	118 9%	110 18% N	119 8%	166 6 12%	63 11%	100 6 11%	130 12%	62 18% U	33 13%	113 9%
Stay the same	1009 49%	284 49%	158 50%	126 49%	428 49%	315 48%	339 51%	355 50%	571 47%	438 53%	328 47%	681 51%	273 45%	736 51% M	723 6 51%	267 47%	468 50%	541 49%	167 48%	125 51%	618 50%
Decrease	802 39%	231 40%	137 43%	96 37%	330 38%	270 41%	256 38%	276 39%	484 40%	319 39%	258 37%	545 41%	228 37%	574 40%	535	244 43%	365 39%	437 39%	122 35%	87 36%	515 41%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 6 100%	573 100%	933 6 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 2 1 Sep 2023 Table 2

Base: All Respondents

Q1 There are currently over 30,000 U.S. soldiers stationed in the Middle East. Do you think this number should increase, stay the same, or decrease?

		Po	litical Affiliatio	n	Stance On Sa	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Increase	229 11%	67 10%	103 14% BD	54 10%	134 15% F	96 9%
Stay the same	1009 49%	335 50%	357 49%	280 50%	516 56% F	494 44%
Decrease	802 39%	267 40%	265 37%	229 41%	267 29%	535 48% E
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 3 1 Sep 2023 Table 3

Base: All Respondents

		Gend	ler			Age				F	emale Age				Ν	Male Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Strongly/Somewhat Support (Net)	916 45%	400 39%	502 51% B	261 45%	174 50%	135 42%	143 42%	203 45%	107 38%	81 47% L	58 37%	59 34%	94 39%	145 50%	92 53%	74 47%	83 51% L	108 53% M	146 41%	371 47%	178 42%	221 46%
Strongly support	164 8%	42 4%	121 12% B	46 8%	50 14% DFGH	24 7%	20 6%	25 6%	10 3%	12 7%	8 5%	5 3%	8 3%	37 13% I	37 21% PQRJ	16 10%	15 9% L	17 8% M	36 10% V	73 9%	28 7%	28 6%
Somewhat support	752 37%	359 35%	381 39%	215 37%	124 36%	111 35%	123 37%	178 40%	98 35%	69 40%	51 32%	55 32%	86 35%	108 38%	55 32%	58 37%	68 42%	91 45% O	111 31%	298 38% S	150 36%	193 40% S
Strongly/Somewhat Oppose (Net)	1125 55%	625 61% C	484 49%	325 55%	173 50%	186 58%	194 58%	247 55%	172 62% N	91 53%	100 63%	113 66% JQ	148 61% R	144 50%	82 47%	85 53%	80 49%	95 47%	208 59%	411 53%	243 58%	263 54%
Somewhat oppose	723 35%	415 40% C	297 30%	232 40%	116 33%	111 35%	112 33%	152 34%	120 43%	62 36%	67 42% P	72 42% Q	94 39% R	105 36% Q	54 31%	43 27%	39 24%	56 28%	134 38%	280 36%	154 37%	155 32%
Strongly oppose	402 20%	210 20%	187 19%	93 16%	57 16%	75 23% DE	82 24% DE	95 21%	52 19%	29 17%	33 21%	41 24%	55 23%	39 13%	28 16%	41 26% N	40 25% N	39 19%	74 21%	131 17%	89 21%	108 22% T
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Q2 How much would you support or oppose a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia, in which Saudi Arabia would establish diplomatic relations with Israel in exchange for the U.S. committing American soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia in the event of a war?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 4

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Ow	nership	Marital	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Strongly/Somewhat Support (Net)	916 45%	231 40%	126 40%	118 46%	431 50% BC	265 40%	310 46%	341 48% F	565 47%	351 42%	335 48%	581 43%	299 49% N	617 43%	661 46%	243 42%	438 47%	478 43%	166 47%	111 45%	549 44%
Strongly support	164 8%	37 6%	17 5%	21 8%			60 9%	60 8%	113 9% J	51 6%	82 12% L	83 6%	85 14% N	79 6%	133 9%	30 5%	86 9%	79 7%	38 11%	29 12% U	85 7%
Somewhat support	752 37%	194 34%	109 34%	97 38%	345 40% B	222 34%	250 37%	280 39% F	452 37%	300 36%	253 36%	498 37%	213 35%	538 38%	528 37%	213 37%	353 38%	399 36%	128 37%	82 34%	464 37%
Strongly/Somewhat Oppose (Net)	1125 55%	345 60% E	193 60% E	138 54%	437 50%	394 60% H	360 54%	370 52%	648 53%	477 58%	362 52%	763 57%	313 51%	811 57% M	762 54%	330 58%	494 53%	631 57%	185 53%	134 55%	697 56%
Somewhat oppose	723 35%	223 39% E	128 40% E	81 32%	282 32%	258 39%	224 33%	241 34%	422 35%	300 36%	252 36%	471 35%	211 34%	512 36%	479 34%	226 40% O	312 33%	411 37%	112 32%	97 40%	444 36%
Strongly oppose	402 20%	121 21%	64 20%	57 22%	155 18%	137 21%	137 20%	129 18%	226 19%	176 21%	110 16%	292 22% K	103 17%	300 21%	282 20%	104 18%	182 20%	220 20%	72 21%	37 15%	253 20%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Q2 How much would you support or oppose a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia, in which Saudi Arabia would establish diplomatic relations with Israel in exchange for the U.S. committing American soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia in the event of a war?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 5

Q2 How much would you support or oppose a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia, in which Saudi Arabia would establish diplomatic relations with Israel in exchange for the U.S. committing American soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia in the event of a war?

Base: All Respondents

		Po	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On Sa	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Strongly/Somewhat Support (Net)	916 45%	287 43%	341 47%	255 45%	916 100% F	:
Strongly support	164 8%	58 9%	68 9% D	32 6%	164 18% F	1
Somewhat support	752 37%	229 34%	272 38%	223 40%	752 82% F	Ē
Strongly/Somewhat Oppose (Net)	1125 55%	381 57%	384 53%	308 55%	Ī	1125 100% E
Somewhat oppose	723 35%	236 35%	255 35%	194 35%	Ī	723 64% E
Strongly oppose	402 20%	145 22%	129 18%	113 20%	Ī	402 36% E
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 6 1 Sep 2023 Table 6

Q3 The United States is currently pursuing a deal with Saudi Arabia which would normalize relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel. As part of the agreement, the U.S. is reportedly considering offering Saudi Arabia a defense pact in which U.S. soldiers would defend them in the event of war, and the U.S. would provide them with more arms sales and nuclear technology, which could be used for the development of nuclear weapons.

Some say this deal is worthwhile if it means Saudi Arabia and Israel establish diplomatic relations, and Saudi Arabia draws closer to the U.S. than to China. While others say the U.S. military should not engage in more Middle East wars, and that U.S. soldiers should not be deployed to defend the authoritarian regime in Saudi Arabia.

Which of the following most closely aligns with your view on this deal?

Base: All Respondents

		Gen	ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	Iale Age				Regi	on	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
This is a bad deal for the U.S. and there is no justification for committing U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia	1182 58%	681 66% C	482 49%	299 51%	191 55%	194 60% D	213 63% D	286 64% DE	172 61% N	106 61% O	110 69% P	122 70% Q	173 71% IR	118 41%	84 48%	81 51%	90 56% N	109 54% N	216 61%	443 57%	256 61%	267 55%
This is a good deal for the U.S. and justifies committing U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia	859 42%	344 34%	504 51% B	288 49% FGH	156 45% H	127 40%	124 37%	164 36%	108 39% M	66 39%	49 31%	51 30%	69 29%	171 59% QRI	89 52% J	78 49% K	72 44% L	94 46% M	138 39%	339 43%	165 39%	217 45%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 7

Q3 The United States is currently pursuing a deal with Saudi Arabia which would normalize relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel. As part of the agreement, the U.S. is reportedly considering offering Saudi Arabia a defense pact in which U.S. soldiers would defend them in the event of war, and the U.S. would provide them with more arms sales and nuclear technology, which could be used for the development of nuclear weapons.

Some say this deal is worthwhile if it means Saudi Arabia and Israel establish diplomatic relations, and Saudi Arabia draws closer to the U.S. than to China. While others say the U.S. military should not engage in more Middle East wars, and that U.S. soldiers should not be deployed to defend the authoritarian regime in Saudi Arabia.

Which of the following most closely aligns with your view on this deal?

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income		1	Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Ow	nership	Marita	l Status	R	ace/Ethnic	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
This is a bad deal for the U.S. and there is no justification for committing U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia	1182 58%	346 60%	195 61%	149 58%	480 55%	397 60%	396 59%	389 55%	645 53%	537 65% I	372 53%	810 60% K	318 52%	865 61% M	814 57%	335 58%	553 59%	629 57%	182 52%	128 52%	758 61% ST
This is a good deal for the U.S. and justifies committing U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia	859 42%	230 40%	124 39%	107 42%	387 45%	263 40%	274 41%	322 45%	568 47% J	291 35%	325 47% L	534 40%	295 48% N	564 39%	609 43%	238 42%	379 41%	479 43%	168 48% U	117 48% U	488 39%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 8 1 Sep 2023 Table 8

Q3 The United States is currently pursuing a deal with Saudi Arabia which would normalize relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel. As part of the agreement, the U.S. is reportedly considering offering Saudi Arabia a defense pact in which U.S. soliders would defend them in the event of war, and the U.S. would provide them with more arms sales and nuclear technology, which could be used for the development of nuclear weapons.

Some say this deal is worthwhile if it means Saudi Arabia and Israel establish diplomatic relations, and Saudi Arabia draws closer to the U.S. than to China. While others say the U.S. military should not engage in more Middle East wars, and that U.S. soldiers should not be deployed to defend the authoritarian regime in Saudi Arabia. Which of the following most closely aligns with your view on this deal?

Base: All Respondents

		Po	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On Sa	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
This is a bad deal for the U.S. and there is no justification for committing U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia	1182 58%	398 60%	392 54%	338 60%	275 30%	908 81% E
This is a good deal for the U.S. and justifies committing U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia	859 42%	271 40%	333 46%	225 40%	641 70% F	217 19%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used.

Page 9 1 Sep 2023 Table 9

Q4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Very/Somewhat Persuasive

Base: All Respondents

		Gend	ler			Age				F	emale Age				Ν	/Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
It would prohibit China from building military bases in Saudi Arabia.	1409 69%	671 65%	718 73% B	363 62%	246 71% D	224 70% D	237 70% D	339 75% D	156 56%	116 67% I	112 71%	112 65%	175 72%	196 68%	130 75%	108 68%	124 76% L	160 79% NP	243 69%	528 67%	303 72%	336 69%
It would give the U.S. an edge against China in the competition for influence in the Middle East.	1378 67%	659 64%	704 71% B	376 64%	241 70%	221 69%	216 64%	323 72% DG	175 63%	114 66%	106 67%	100 58%	164 68%	193 67%	128 74%	112 71%	115 71% L	156 77% NM	236 67%	535 68%	276 66%	331 68%
Israel and Saudi Arabia would work together to counter Iran's power in the region.	1310 64%	609 59%	690 70% B	305 52%	235 68% D	208 65% D	221 66% D	341 76% DEFG	131 47%	110 64% I	96 61% I	106 61% I	164 68% I	170 59% I	124 72% N	109 68%	114 70% N N	174 86% OPQM	225 64%	507 65%	263 63%	315 65%
Saudi Arabia would stop driving up oil prices.	1305 64%	640 62%	645 65%	382 65%	240 69% GH	216 67% H	201 60%	266 59%	170 61%	118 69% M	104 66%	109 63%	138 57%	198 69% Q	121 70% Q	108 68% Q	92 56%	126 62%	225 64%	494 63%	284 67%	302 62%
Establishing diplomatic relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel would improve Israeli security.	1238 61%	584 57%	640 65% B	316 54%	221 64% D	210 66% DG	191 57%	300 67% DG	147 52%	102 59%	98 62%	91 53%	147 61%	163 57%	119 69% N	109 69% N	99 61%	150 74% NQM	210 59%	483 62%	247 59%	298 62%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 10 1 Sep 2023 Table 10

Q4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Very/Somewhat Persuasive

Base: All Respondents

	-		Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Ow	nership	Marita	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
It would prohibit China from building military bases in Saudi Arabia.	1409 69%	371 64%	220 69%	177 69%	629 72% B	435 66%	455 68%	519 73% F	842 69%	567 68%	489 70%	920 68%	435 71%	974 68%	1023 6 72% P	366 64%	670 72% R	739 67%	225 64%	167 68%	894 72% S
It would give the U.S. an edge against China in the competition for influence in the Middle East.	1378 67%	358 62%	218 68%	166 65%	625 72% B	435 66%	447 67%	496 70%	823 68%	555 67%	486 70%	892 66%	428 70%	949 66%	995 70% P	357 62%	669 72% R	709 64%	228 65%	166 68%	864 69%
Israel and Saudi Arabia would work together to counter Iran's power in the region.	1310 64%	330 57%	203 64%	155 60%	609 70% BD	391 59%	429 64%	491 69% F	770 63%	541 65%	438 63%	873 65%	392 64%	918 649	958 67% P	331 58%	641 69% R	669 60%	195 56%	151 62%	853 68% S
Saudi Arabia would stop driving up oil prices.	1305 64%	370 64%	208 65%	157 61%	560 65%	437 66%	409 61%	459 65%	806 66%	499 60%	459 66%	846 63%	411 67%	894 63%	916 64%	369 64%	603 65%	702 63%	233 66%	160 65%	800 64%
Establishing diplomatic relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel would improve Israeli security.	1238 61%	318 55%	188 59%	143 56%	575 66% BCD	368 56%	395 59%	475 67% FG	752 62%	486 59%	442 63%	795 59%	392 64%	846 59%	913 64% P	306 53%	582 62%	656 59%	214 61%	152 62%	762 61%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 11

Q4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Very/Somewhat Persuasive

Base: All Respondents

		Po	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
It would prohibit China from building military bases in Saudi Arabia.	1409 69%	483 72% D	511 70%	371 66%	732 80% F	677 60%
It would give the U.S. an edge against China in the competition for influence in the Middle East.	1378 67%	466 70%	500 69%	364 65%	730 80% F	648 58%
Israel and Saudi Arabia would work together to counter Iran's power in the region.	1310 64%	451 68%	470 65%	353 63%	702 77% F	609 54%
Saudi Arabia would stop driving up oil prices.	1305 64%	448 67% D	476 66%	336 60%	679 74% F	626 56%
Establishing diplomatic relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel would improve Israeli security.	1238 61%	426 64%	434 60%	341 61%	720 79% F	518 46%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 12 1 Sep 2023 Table 12

Q4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia?

Summary Of Not At All/Not Very Persuasive

Base: All Respondents

	Gender		ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	fale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base	2041	1058	954	468	334	339	364	536	235	170	168	187	298	217	163	168	174	232	409	810	413	409
Weighted Base	2041	1025	986	586	347	321	337	450	280	172	158	173	242	289	173	159	162	203	354	782	421	484
Establishing diplomatic relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel would improve Israeli security.	803 39%	441 43% C	346 35%	270 46% EFH	126 36%	110 34%	146 43% FH	150 33%	133 48%	71 41%	60 38%	82 47%	95 39% R	125 43% OPR	54 31%	50 31%	63 39% R	53 26%	145 41%	299 38%	173 41%	186 38%
Saudi Arabia would stop driving up oil prices.	736 36%	385 38%	341 35%	204 35%	107 31%	105 33%	136 40% E	185 41% EF	109 39%	54 31%	54 34%	64 37%	104 43%	91 31%	52 30%	51 32%	71 44% NOP	77 38%	129 36%	288 37%	137 33%	182 38%
Israel and Saudi Arabia would work together to counter Iran's power in the region.	731 36%	417 41% C	296 30%	281 48% EFGH	112 32% H	112 35% H	116 34% H	109 24%	149 53% JKLMN	62 36%	62 39%	67 39%	78 32% R	119 41% OQR	49 28% R	50 32% R	49 30% R	29 14%	129 36%	275 35%	158 37%	169 35%
It would give the U.S. an edge against China in the competition for influence in the Middle East.	663 33%	366 36% C	283 29%	210 36% H	106 30%	99 31%	121 36% H	127 28%	105 37%	58 34%	53 33%	72 42% Q	78 32% R	95 33% R	46 26%	47 29%	48 29%	47 23%	119 33%	247 32%	145 34%	153 32%
It would prohibit China from building military bases in Saudi Arabia.	632 31%	354 35% C	268 27%	223 38% EFGH	101 29%	97 30%	100 30%	112 25%	124 44% JKMN	56 33%	47 29%	61 35% Q	67 28%	93 32% R	44 25%	50 32% R	38 24%	43 21%	111 31%	254 33%	118 28%	149 31%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 13 1 Sep 2023 Table 13

Q4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Not At All/Not Very Persuasive

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	ld Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under		Home Ow	nership	Marita	Status	F	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Establishing diplomatic relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel would improve Israeli security.	803 39%	258 45% E	130 41% E	113 44% E	293 6 34%	292 44% H	275 41% H	236 33%	461 38%	342 41%	255 37%	548 41%	221 36%	582 41%	510 6 36%	267 47% O	351 38%	452 41%	136 39%	93 38%	484 39%
Saudi Arabia would stop driving up oil prices.	736 36%	206 36%	110 35%	99 39%	308 35%	223 34%	261 39%	252 35%	407 34%	329 40%	238 34%	498 37%	202 33%	535 37%	507 6 36%	204 36%	329 35%	407 37%	118 34%	85 35%	446 36%
Israel and Saudi Arabia would work together to counter Iran's power in the region.	731 36%	246 43% E	116 36%	102 40% E	259 30%	269 41% H	241 36%	220 31%	443 37%	287 35%	260 37%	471 35%	220 36%	510 36%	465 6 33%	241 42% O	292 31%	439 40% Q	155 44% U	94 38%	393 32%
It would give the U.S. an edge against China in the competition for influence in the Middle East.	663 33%	218 38% E	101 32%	91 35%	243 6 28%	225 34%	224 33%	215 30%	390 32%	273 33%	211 30%	452 34%	184 30%	479 34%	428 6 30%	216 38% O	264 28%	400 36% Q	123 35%	79 32%	382 31%
It would prohibit China from building military bases in Saudi Arabia.	632 31%	205 36% E	99 31%	79 31%	239 6 28%	225 34% H	216 32%	192 27%	371 31%	261 32%	209 30%	423 32%	177 29%	455 32%	400 6 28%	207 36% O	263 28%	369 33% Q	125 36% U	78 32%	351 28%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 14

Q4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Not At All/Not Very Persuasive

Base: All Respondents

		Po	litical Affiliatio	n	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Establishing diplomatic relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel would improve Israeli security.	803 39%	243 36%	290 40%	222 39%	197 21%	607 54% E
Saudi Arabia would stop driving up oil prices.	736 36%	221 33%	249 34%	227 40% B	237 26%	499 44% E
Israel and Saudi Arabia would work together to counter Iran's power in the region.	731 36%	217 32%	255 35%	210 37%	214 23%	516 46% E
It would give the U.S. an edge against China in the competition for influence in the Middle East.	663 33%	203 30%	225 31%	199 35%	187 20%	477 42% E
It would prohibit China from building military bases in Saudi Arabia.	632 31%	185 28%	214 30%	191 34% B	184 20%	448 40% E

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 15

Q4_1 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would prohibit China from building military bases in Saudi Arabia.

Base: All Respondents

		Gen	ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	/Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1409 69%	671 65%	718 73% B	363 62%	246 71% D	224 70% D	237 70% D	339 75% D	156 56%	116 67%	112 71%	112 65%	175 72%	196 68%	130 75%	108 68%	124 76% L	160 79% NP	243 69%	528 67%	303 72%	336 69%
Very persuasive	594 29%	248 24%	338 34% B	123 21%	115 33% D	106 33% D	97 29% D	153 34% D	42 15%	50 29%	45 28%	42 24%	69 29%	77 27%	65 38% N	58 37%	55 34%	83 41% NM	103 29%	216 28%	137 33%	137 28%
Somewhat persuasive	815 40%	424 41%	380 39%	240 41%	131 38%	118 37%	140 42%	186 41%	114 41%	66 39%	67 42%	70 41%	106 44%	119 41%	64 37%	50 32%	69 42%	77 38%	140 40%	311 40%	165 39%	198 41%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	632 31%	354 35% C	268 27%	223 38% EFGH	101 29%	97 30%	100 30%	112 25%	124 44% JKMN	56 33%	47 29%	61 35% Q	67 28%	93 32% B	44 25%	50 32% R	38 24%	43 21%	111 31%	254 33%	118 28%	149 31%
Not very persuasive	396 19%		162 16%		66 19%	69 21% H	57 17%	64 14%	80 29% M	41 24% O	35 22%	35 20%	37 15%	58 20%	23 13%	33 21% R	23 14%	25 12%	77 22%	157 20%	67 16%	95 20%
Not at all persuasive	236 12%	126 12%	107 11%		35 10%	28 9%	42 13%	48 11%	44 16% K	15 9%	11 7%	26 15% K	30 12%	35 12%	20 12%	17 11%	16 10%	18 9%	34 10%	98 12%	51 12%	54 11%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 16 1 Sep 2023 Table 16

Q4_1 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would prohibit China from building military bases in Saudi Arabia.

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Ow	nership	Marita	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married		Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1409 69%	371 64%	220 69%	177 69%	629 72% B	435 66%	455 68%	519 73% F	842 69%	567 68%		920 68%		974 68%	1023 6 72%	366 64%	670 72% R	739 67%	225 64%	167 68%	894 72% S
Very persuasive	594 29%				В			F		231 28%		389 29%		413 29%	Р		R				397 32% ST
Somewhat persuasive	815 40%	234 41%	127 40%	103 40%	344 40%	271 41%	258 38%	286 40%	479 40%	336 41%	284 41%	531 40%	254 41%	561 39%	569 40%	236 41%	363 39%	452 41%	142 40%	108 44%	498 40%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	632 31%	205 36% E	99 31%	79 31%	239 28%	225 34% H	216 32%	192 27%	371 31%	261 32%	209 30%	423 32%	177 29%	455 32%	400 6 28%	207 36% O	263 28%	369 33% Q	125 36%	78 32%	351 28%
Not very persuasive	396 19%	114 20%	60 19%	56 22%	161 19%	119 18%	141 21%	136 19%	245 20%	151 18%	134 19%	262 19%	124 20%	272 19%	256 6 18%	125 22%	177 19%	219 20%	72 20%	50 21%	219 18%
Not at all persuasive	236 12%	92 16% DE	39 12%	23 9%	78 9%	106 16% GH	75 11%	56 8%	126 10%	110 13%	75 11%	162 12%	53 9%	183 13% M	145 6 10%	82 14% O	86 9%	150 14% Q	54 15%	28 11%	132 11%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 6 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 17

Q4_1 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would prohibit China from building military bases in Saudi Arabia.

Base: All Respondents

		Pol	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On Sa	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1409 69%	483 72% D	511 70%	371 66%	732 80% F	677 60%
Very persuasive	594 29%	224 33% C	196 27%	158 28%	348 38% F	246 22%
Somewhat persuasive	815 40%	259 39%	315 43%	214 38%	384 42%	431 38%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	632 31%	185 28%	214 30%	191 34% B	184 20%	448 40% E
Not very persuasive	396 19%	117 18%	150 21%	109 19%	122 13%	274 24% E
Not at all persuasive	236 12%	68 10%	64 9%	82 15% BC	62 7%	175 16% E
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 18

Q4_2 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia would stop driving up oil prices.

Base: All Respondents

		Gen	ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	Iale Age				Regi	on	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1305 64%	640 62%	645 65%	382 65%	240 69% GH	216 67% H	201 60%	266 59%	170 61%	118 69% M	104 66%	109 63%	138 57%	198 69% Q	121 70% Q	108 68% Q	92 56%	126 62%	225 64%	494 63%	284 67%	302 62%
Very persuasive	524 26%	224 22%	294 30% B	145 25%	112 32% DGH	89 28% G	68 20%	109 24%	58 21%	48 28% L	32 20%	30 17%	56 23%	83 29%	64 37% QR	56 35% QK	38 24%	52 26%	104 29%	195 25%	113 27%	111 23%
Somewhat persuasive	781 38%	416 41% C	351 36%	237 40%	127 37%	126 39%	133 39%	157 35%	112 40%	70 41%	73 46% MP	79 46% MQ	82 34%	115 40%	57 33%	52 33%	53 33%	74 36%	121 34%	299 38%	171 41%	191 39%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	736 36%	385 38%	341 35%	204 35%	107 31%	105 33%	136 40% E	185 41% EF	109 39%	54 31%	54 34%	64 37%	104 43%	91 31%	52 30%	51 32%	71 44% NOP	77 38%	129 36%	288 37%	137 33%	182 38%
Not very persuasive	457 22%	251 24%	200 20%	137 23%	68 20%	65 20%	71 21%	116 26%	79 28%	35 20%	38 24%	28 16%	71 29%	55 19%	33 19%	27 17%	41 25%	43 21%	90 25%	173 22%	89 21%	106 22%
Not at all persuasive	279 14%	134 13%	142 14%	67 11%	40 11%	39 12%	65 19% DEF	69 15%	31 11%	19 11%	16 10%	35 20% IJK	33 14%	35 12%	19 11%	24 15%	30 18%	34 17%	40 11%	115 15%	48 11%	76 16%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 19 1 Sep 2023 Table 19

Q4_2 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia would stop driving up oil prices.

Base: All Respondents

	-		Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Own	nership	Marital	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
-	Total (A)	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K (C)	\$75K \$99.9K (D)	\$100K+ (E)	HS or less (F)	Some College (G)	College Grad+ (H)	Yes	<u>No</u>	Yes (K)	<u>No</u> (L)	Yes	No (N)	Homeowner (O)	Renter (P)	Married (Q)	Not married (R)	Hispanic (S)	Black (Not His- panic) (T)	White (Not His- panic) (U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1305 64%	370 64%	208 65%	157 61%	560 65%	437 66%	409 61%	459 65%	806 66%	499 60%	459 66%	846 63%	411 67%	894 63%	916 64%	369 64%	603 65%	702 63%	233 66%	160 65%	800 64%
Very persuasive	524 26%	141 24%	76 24%	68 27%	235 27%	169 26%	168 25%	186 26%	331 27%	193 23%	199 29%	324 24%	185 30% N	339 24%	378 27%	139 24%	262 28% R	262 24%	91 26%	67 27%	317 25%
Somewhat persuasive	781 38%	229 40%	132 41%	89 35%	324 37%	268 41%	241 36%	272 38%	475 39%	306 37%	260 37%	521 39%	226 37%	555 39%	538 38%	230 40%			142 41%	93 38%	483 39%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	736 36%	206 36%	110 35%	99 39%	308 35%	223 34%	261 39%	252 35%	407 34%	329 40%	238 34%	498 37%	202 33%	535 37%	507 36%	204 36%	329 35%	407 37%	118 34%	85 35%	446 36%
Not very persuasive	457 22%	114 20%	76 24%	67 26%	195 22%	125 19%	161 24%	171 24% F	269 22%	188 23%	153 22%	304 23%	140 23%	317 22%	308 22%	137 24%	203 22%	254 23%	77 22%	50 20%	276 22%
Not at all persuasive	279 14%	92 16%	35 11%	32 12%	113 13%	98 15%	100 15%	81 11%	138 11%	141 17%	85 12%	194 14%	62 10%	217 15% M	199 14%	67 12%	127 14%	153 14%	40 11%	35 14%	169 14%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 5 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 20

Q4_2 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia would stop driving up oil prices.

Base: All Respondents

		Pol	litical Affiliatio	n	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1305 64%	448 67% D	476 66%	336 60%	679 74% F	626 56%
Very persuasive	524 26%	192 29% D	192 27%	128 23%	317 35% F	207 18%
Somewhat persuasive	781 38%	256 38%	283 39%	208 37%	362 40%	419 37%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	736 36%	221 33%	249 34%	227 40% B	237 26%	499 44% E
Not very persuasive	457 22%	132 20%	170 23%	135 24%	175 19%	282 25% E
Not at all persuasive	279 14%	89 13%	79 11%	91 16% C	62 7%	217 19% E
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 21

Q4_3 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would give the U.S. an edge against China in the competition for influence in the Middle East.

Base: All Respondents

		Gend	ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	fale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1378 67%	659 64%	704 71% B	376 64%	241 70%	221 69%	216 64%	323 72% DG	175 63%	114 66%	106 67%	100 58%	164 68%	193 67%	128 74%	112 71%	115 71% L	156 77% NM	236 67%	535 68%	276 66%	331 68%
Very persuasive	438 21%	167 16%	266 27% B	106 18%	98 28% DG	77 24% G	51 15%	107 24% G	38 14%	34 20% L	32 20% L	17 10%	46 19% L	66 23%	63 37% NQJ	45 29%	33 20% L	59 29% M	67 19%	167 21%	101 24%	104 21%
Somewhat persuasive	939 46%	492 48%	438 44%	270 46%	144 41%	144 45%	165 49%	216 48%	137 49%	79 46%	74 47%	83 48%	118 49%	128 44%	64 37%	67 42%	82 50%	97 48%	168 48%	368 47%	175 42%	228 47%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	663 33%	366 36% C	283 29%	210 36% H	106 30%	99 31%	121 36% H	127 28%	105 37%	58 34%	53 33%	72 42% Q	78 32% R	95 33% R	46 26%	47 29%	48 29%	47 23%	119 33%	247 32%	145 34%	153 32%
Not very persuasive	435 21%	248 24% C	179 18%		67 19%	68 21%	83 25% H	74 17%	74 27% M	40 23%	41 26%	49 28% M	44 18%	65 22%	27 15%	26 16%	33 20%	29 14%	74 21%	159 20%	104 25%	98 20%
Not at all persuasive	228 11%	118 11%	103 10%	66 11%	39 11%	32 10%	38 11%	53 12%	30 11%	19 11%	11 7%	24 14%	34 14% K	31 11%	19 11%	20 13%	15 9%	18 9%	44 13%	89 11%	41 10%	54 11%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 22 1 Sep 2023 Table 22

Q4_3 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would give the U.S. an edge against China in the competition for influence in the Middle East.

Base: All Respondents

		Household Income				:	Education		Employ	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Own	nership	Marita	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
-	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1378 67%	358 62%	218 68%	166 65%	625 72% B	435 66%	447 67%	496 70%	823 68%	555 67%	486 70%	892 66%	428 70%	949 66%	995 70%	357 62%	669 72% R	709 64%	228 65%	166 68%	864 69%
Very persuasive	438 21%	101 17%	65 20%	52 20%	217 25% B	126 19%	145 22%	166 23%	276 23%	162 20%	172 25% L	266 20%	151 25%	287 20%	339 24% P	96 17%	222 24% R	217 20%	69 20%	58 24%	278 22%
Somewhat persuasive	939 46%	257 45%	153 48%	113 44%	408 47%	308 47%	301 45%	330 46%	547 45%	393 47%	314 45%	626 47%	277 45%	662 46%	657 46%	260 45%	447 48%	492 44%	159 45%	108 44%	586 47%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	663 33%	218 38% E	101 32%	91 35%	243 28%	225 34%	224 33%	215 30%	390 32%	273 33%	211 30%	452 34%	184 30%	479 34%	428 30%	216 38% O	264 28%	400 36% Q	123 35%	79 32%	382 31%
Not very persuasive	435 21%	131 23%	63 20%	60 23%	175 20%	127 19%	149 22%	159 22%	281 23% J	154 19%	154 22%	281 21%	135 22%	300 21%	282 20%	143 25% O	182 20%	253 23%	82 23%	52 21%	254 20%
Not at all persuasive	228 11%	87 15% E	38 12% E	31 12%	68 8%	98 15% H	75 11%	55 8%	110 9%	119 14% I	57 8%	171 13% K	49 8%	180 13% M	146 10%	73 13%	81 9%	147 13% Q	40 11%	27 11%	128 10%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 23

Q4_3 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would give the U.S. an edge against China in the competition for influence in the Middle East.

Base: All Respondents

		Po	litical Affiliatio	n	Stance On Sa	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1378 67%	466 70%	500 69%	364 65%	730 80% F	648 58%
Very persuasive	438 21%	179 27% CD	147 20%	104 18%	279 30% F	160 14%
Somewhat persuasive	939 46%	287 43%	352 49%	260 46%	451 49% F	488 43%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	663 33%	203 30%	225 31%	199 35%	187 20%	477 42% E
Not very persuasive	435 21%	131 20%	155 21%	134 24%	134 15%	301 27% E
Not at all persuasive	228 11%	72 11%	70 10%	65 12%	53 6%	176 16% E
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 24 1 Sep 2023 Table 24

Q4_4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Establishing diplomatic relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel would improve Israeli security.

Base: All Respondents

		Gend	ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	fale Age				Reg	on	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1238 61%	584 57%	640 65% B	316 54%	221 64% D	210 66% DG	191 57%	300 67% DG	147 52%	102 59%	98 62%	91 53%	147 61%	163 57%	119 69% N	109 69% N	99 61%	150 74% NQM	210 59%	483 62%	247 59%	298 62%
Very persuasive	377 18%	159 15%	217 22% B	107 18%	83 24% GH	56 17%	57 17%	75 17%	46 16%	35 20% L	28 18%	19 11%	31 13%	60 21%	48 28%	28 17%	37 23% L	44 21% M	67 19%	145 18%	63 15%	102 21%
Somewhat persuasive	861 42%	426 42%	424 43%	209 36%	138 40%	155 48% DG	134 40%	225 50% DEG	101 36%	67 39%	70 44%	72 42%	116 48% I	103 36%	72 41%	81 51% NQ	62 38%	106 52% NQ	142 40%	338 43%	184 44%	196 41%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	803 39%	441 43% C	346 35%	270 46% EFH	126 36%	110 34%	146 43% FH	150 33%	133 48%	71 41%	60 38%	82 47%	95 39% R	125 43% OPR	54 31%	50 31%	63 39% R	53 26%	145 41%	299 38%	173 41%	186 38%
Not very persuasive	532 26%	298 29% C	222 22%	181 31% FH	87 25%	72 22%	99 29% H	93 21%	91 32%	49 29%	44 28% P	55 32%	59 24% R	83 29% PR	36 21%	28 17%	43 26% R	32 16%	104 29%	185 24%	118 28%	125 26%
Not at all persuasive	271 13%	142 14%	124 13%	89 15%	39 11%	38 12%	47 14%	58 13%	42 15%	21 12%	16 10%	26 15%	36 15%	42 15%	18 10%	22 14%	20 13%	21 10%	41 12%	114 15%	55 13%	61 13%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 25 1 Sep 2023 Table 25

Q4_4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Establishing diplomatic relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel would improve Israeli security.

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Own	nership	Marital	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1238 61%	318 55%	188 59%	143 56%	575 66% BCD	368 56%	395 59%	475 67% FG	752 62%	486 59%	442 63%	795 59%	392 64%	846 59%	913 64% P	306 53%	582 62%	656 59%	214 61%	152 62%	762 61%
Very persuasive	377 18%	96 17%	47 15%	42 16%	189 22% BC	121 18%	115 17%	141 20%	246 20%	130 16%	147 21%	230 17%	137 22% N	240 17%	288 20% P	81 14%	188 20%	188 17%	77 22%	53 22%	214 17%
Somewhat persuasive	861 42%	222 38%	142 45%	101 39%	386 44% B	247 37%	280 42%	334 47% F	505 42%	356 43%	296 42%	565 42%	255 42%	606 42%	625 44%	225 39%	393 42%	468 42%	137 39%	98 40%	548 44%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	803 39%	258 45% E	130 41% E	113 44% E	293 34%	292 44% H	275 41% H	236 33%	461 38%	342 41%	255 37%	548 41%	221 36%	582 41%	510 36%	267 47% O	351 38%	452 41%	136 39%	93 38%	484 39%
Not very persuasive	532 26%	170 29% E	85 27%	76 30%	198 23%	188 28% H	182 27%	162 23%	319 26%	213 26%	179 26%	353 26%	156 26%	376 26%	335 24%	183 32% O	241 26%	291 26%	99 28%	57 23%	322 26%
Not at all persuasive	271 13%	88 15% E	45 14%	37 15%	95 11%	104 16% H	93 14%	74 10%	142 12%	129 16%	76 11%	195 15%	64 11%	207 14% M	175 12%	84 15%	109 12%	162 15%	37 11%	36 15%	161 13%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 26

Q4_4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Establishing diplomatic relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel would improve Israeli security.

Base: All Respondents

		Po	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1238 61%	426 64%	434 60%	341 61%	720 79% F	518 46%
Very persuasive	377 18%	133 20%	131 18%	105 19%	267 29% F	109 10%
Somewhat persuasive	861 42%	292 44%	304 42%	236 42%	452 49% F	409 36%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	803 39%	243 36%	290 40%	222 39%	197 21%	607 54% E
Not very persuasive	532 26%	149 22%	205 28% B	156 28%	140 15%	392 35% E
Not at all persuasive	271 13%	94 14%	85 12%	66 12%	57 6%	214 19% E
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 27 1 Sep 2023 Table 27

Q4_5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Israel and Saudi Arabia would work together to counter Iran's power in the region.

Base: All Respondents

		Gen	ler			Age				F	emale Age				Ν	/Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1310 64%	609 59%	690 70% B	305 52%	235 68% D	208 65% D	221 66% D	341 76% DEFG	131 47%	110 64%	96 61%	106 61%	164 68%	170 59%	124 72% N	109 68%	114 70% N N	174 86% OPQM	225 64%	507 65%	263 63%	315 65%
Very persuasive	430 21%	152 15%	276 28% B	92 16%	81 23% D	68 21%	75 22% D	114 25% D	34 12%	28 16%	21 14%	26 15%	43 18%	58 20%	53 31% NJ	47 29% K	48 30% NL	70 35% NM	81 23%	157 20%	84 20%	108 22%
Somewhat persuasive	881 43%	457 45%	414 42%	214 36%	154 44%	140 44%	146 43%	227 50% D	98 35%	83 48% I	75 47% I	80 46% I	121 50%	112 39%	71 41%	62 39%	65 40%	104 51% NPQ	144 41%	350 45%	179 43%	207 43%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	731 36%	417 41% C	296 30%	281 48% EFGH	112 32% H	112 35% H	116 34% H	109 24%	149 53% JKLMN	62 36%	62 39%	67 39%	78 32% R	119 41% OQR	49 28% R	50 32% R	49 30% R	29 14%	129 36%	275 35%	158 37%	169 35%
Not very persuasive	470 23%	273 27% C	188 19%	195 33% EFGH	67 19%	74 23% H	63 19%	71 16%	106 38% JLM	37 22%	47 29% MP	34 20%	49 20% R	83 29% OPQR	30 17% R	28 18% R	28 17% R	19 9%	86 24%	172 22%	104 25%	109 23%
Not at all persuasive	260 13%	144 14%	108 11%	86 15% H	45 13%	38 12%	53 16% H	39 9%	43 15%	25 14%	16 10%	32 19% K	29 12% R	36 13% R	19 11%	22 14% R	21 13% R	10 5%	43 12%	104 13%	53 13%	60 12%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 28 1 Sep 2023 Table 28

Q4_5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Israel and Saudi Arabia would work together to counter Iran's power in the region.

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Own	nership	Marital	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1310 64%	330 57%	203 64%	155 60%	609 70% BD	391 59%	429 64%	491 69% F	770 63%	541 65%	438 63%	873 65%	392 64%	918 64%	958 67% P	331 58%	641 69% R	669 60%	195 56%	151 62%	853 68% S
Very persuasive	430 21%	95 16%	63 20%	57 22%	213 25% B	106 16%	140 21%	184 26% FG	266 22%	163 20%	144 21%	286 21%	144 23%	286 20%	331 23% P	94 16%	226 24% R	203 18%	69 20%	43 18%	284 23%
Somewhat persuasive	881 43%	235 41%	140 44%	97 38%	396 46%	285 43%	289 43%	307 43%	503 41%	377 46%	294 42%	587 44%	248 41%	632 44%	627 44%	237 41%	415 44%	466 42%	126 36%	108 44%	569 46% S
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	731 36%	246 43% E	116 36%	102 40% E	259 30%	269 41% H	241 36%	220 31%	443 37%	287 35%	260 37%	471 35%	220 36%	510 36%	465 33%	241 42% O	292 31%	439 40% Q	155 44% U	94 38%	393 32%
Not very persuasive	470 23%	146 25% E	76 24%	69 27%	175 20%	163 25%	161 24%	146 21%	303 25%	167 20%	172 25%	298 22%	154 25%	317 22%	303 21%	153 27% O	191 20%	279 25% Q	103 29% U	61 25%	246 20%
Not at all persuasive	260 13%	100 17% E	40 13%	33 13%	84 10%	106 16% H	80 12%	74 10%	140 12%	120 14%	87 13%	173 13%	67 11%	194 14%	162 5 11%	88 15% O	101 11%	160 14% Q	52 15%	33 14%	147 12%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 29 1 Sep 2023 Table 29

Q4_5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Israel and Saudi Arabia would work together to counter Iran's power in the region.

Base: All Respondents

	-	Pol	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1310 64%	451 68%	470 65%	353 63%	702 77% F	609 54%
Very persuasive	430 21%	158 24%	148 20%	115 20%	292 32% F	137 12%
Somewhat persuasive	881 43%	293 44%	321 44%	238 42%	409 45%	472 42%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	731 36%	217 32%	255 35%	210 37%	214 23%	516 46% E
Not very persuasive	470 23%	136 20%	175 24%	133 24%	146 16%	325 29% E
Not at all persuasive	260 13%	81 12%	80 11%	77 14%	69 8%	191 17% E
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 30 1 Sep 2023 Table 30

Q5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Very/Somewhat Persuasive

Base: All Respondents

		Geno	ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	/Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Saudi Arabia has a long record of human rights abuses of their own people and as well as abroad (i.e., outside their country).	1450 71%	725 71%	702 71%	389 66%	239 69%	233 73%	262 78% DE	327 73%	177 63%	115 67%	116 73%	134 77% I	183 76% I	199 69%	122 71%	114 72%	127 78%	140 69%	238 67%	555 71%	298 71%	359 74%
Saudi Arabia beheaded a Washington Post columnist and therefore should not be rewarded with U.S. defense support.	1406 69%		664 67%		D	229 72% D	257 76% D	324 72% D	176 63%	117 68%	115 72%	131 76% 1	188 78% IJR	173 60%	122 71%	111 70%	125 77% NR	132 65%	246 69%	547 70%	286 68%	328 68%
The 9/11 terrorist attacks were perpetrated by 15 Saudi nationals and the U.S. should not reward that country with a defense pact.	1365 67%	703 69%	647 66%	362 62%	225 65%	215 67%	246 73% DE	316 70% D	169 60%	111 64%	109 69%	128 74% I	186 77% IJR	186 64%	113 65%	102 64%	117 72%	128 63%	247 70%	530 68%	285 68%	304 63%
It would increase the likelihood of U.S. soldiers having to fight in the Middle East.	1355 66%	685 67%	650 66%	383 65%	233 67%	211 66%	249 74% DFH	280 62%	175 63%	116 67%	113 71% P	127 74% IM	154 64%	197 68%	115 67%	94 59%	120 74% PR	123 60%	235 66%	522 67%	279 66%	319 66%
It could help Saudi Arabia obtain nuclear weapons technology.	1206 59%	613 60%	584 59%	352 60%	215 62%	189 59%	202 60%	247 55%	165 59%	114 66% M	97 61%	106 61%	132 54%	182 63%	102 59%	91 57%	96 59%	114 56%	201 57%	475 61%	255 61%	275 57%
It would shut Palestinians out of the process of determining their own future.	1120 55%	566 55%	539 55%	348 59% FH	203 59% H	163 51%	178 53%	228 51%	156 56%	100 58%	78 49%	98 57%	134 55% R	183 63% PQR	104 60% R	81 51%	79 49%	92 46%	195 55%	446 57%	214 51%	266 55%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 31

Q5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Very/Somewhat Persuasive

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Ow	nership	Marita	Status	F	Race/Ethnici	ity
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Saudi Arabia has a long record of human rights abuses of their own people and as well as abroad (i.e., outside their country).	1450 71%	387 67%			BD			FG		583 70%				1014 719							912 7 <u>3</u> % T
Saudi Arabia beheaded a Washington Post columnist and therefore should not be rewarded with U.S. defense support.	1406 69%	387 67%	215 68%	155 60%	633 73% BD	421 64%	462 69%	523 74% F	820 68%	587 71%	473 68%	933 69%	419 68%	987 69%	1013 6 71% P	368 64%	671 72% R	736 66%	219 62%	151 62%	906 73% ST
The 9/11 terrorist attacks were perpetrated by 15 Saudi nationals and the U.S. should not reward that country with a defense pact.	1365 67%	370 64%	214 67%	157 61%	612 71% BD	420 64%	445 66%	501 70% F	792 65%	573 69%	460 66%	905 67%	418 68%	948 66%	980 69%	364 64%	654 70% R	712 64%	232 66%	160 65%	864 69%
It would increase the likelihood of U.S. soldiers having to fight in the Middle East.	1355 66%	362 63%	207 65%	156 61%	614 71% BD	406 62%	431 64%	518 73% FG	821 68%	535 65%	483 69%	873 65%	421 69%	934 65%	957 67%	374 65%	626 67%	729 66%	208 59%	161 66%	858 69% S
It could help Saudi Arabia obtain nuclear weapons technology.	1206 59%	330 57%	172 54%	137 53%	558 64% BCD	353 53%	409 61% F	445 63% F	726 60%	480 58%	427 61%	779 58%	374 61%	832 58%	861 61%	330 58%	553 59%	653 59%	197 56%	142 58%	762 61%
It would shut Palestinians out of the process of determining their own future.	1120 55%	305 53%	167 52%	127 49%	511 59% D	363 55%	370 55%	388 55%	678 56%	442 53%	402 58%	719 53%	346 57%	774 54%	794 6 56%	302 53%	504 54%	616 56%	200 57%	143 58%	675 54%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 32

Q5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Very/Somewhat Persuasive

Base: All Respondents

		Pol	litical Affiliatio	n	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Saudi Arabia has a long record of human rights abuses of their own people and as well as abroad (i.e., outside their country).	1450 71%	472 71%	514 71%	401 71%	658 72%	792 70%
Saudi Arabia beheaded a Washington Post columnist and therefore should not be rewarded with U.S. defense support.	1406 69%	454 68%	513 71%	387 69%	609 66%	797 71%
The 9/11 terrorist attacks were perpetrated by 15 Saudi nationals and the U.S. should not reward that country with a defense pact.	1365 67%	453 68%	495 68%	372 66%	568 62%	797 71% E
It would increase the likelihood of U.S. soldiers having to fight in the Middle East.	1355 66%	428 64%	485 67%	388 69%	598 65%	758 67%
It could help Saudi Arabia obtain nuclear weapons technology.	1206 59%	406 61%	415 57%	345 61%	561 61%	645 57%
It would shut Palestinians out of the process of determining their own future.	1120 55%	355 53%	427 59%	304 54%	517 56%	604 54%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used.

Page 33

Q5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Not At All/Not Very Persuasive

Base: All Respondents

		Gen	der			Age				F	emale Age	,			I	Male Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
It would shut Palestinians out of the process of determining their own future.	921 45%	460 45%	447 45%	238 41%	143 41%	158 49% D	159 47%	222 49% DE	124 44%	73 42%	80 51%	75 43%	108 45%	106 37%	69 40%	78 49% N	84 51% N	111 54% NOM	160 45%	336 43%	206 49%	218 45%
It could help Saudi Arabia obtain nuclear weapons technology.	835 41%	413 40%	402 41%	234 40%	132 38%	132 41%	135 40%	203 45%	115 41%	59 34%	61 39%	67 39%	111 46%	107 37%	72 41%	68 43%	67 41%	89 44%	154 43%	307 39%	165 39%	209 43%
It would increase the likelihood of U.S. soldiers having to fight in the Middle East.	686 34%	340 33%	337 34%	203 35% G	114 33%	110 34% G	88 26%	170 38% G	105 37% L	56 33%	45 29%	45 26%	88 36% L	92 32%	58 33%	64 41% QK	42 26%	80 40% Q	120 34%	260 33%	142 34%	165 34%
The 9/11 terrorist attacks were perpetrated by 15 Saudi nationals and the U.S. should not reward that country with a defense pact.	676 33%	323 31%	339 34%	224 38% GH	122 35% G	106 33%	91 27%	134 30%	111 40% LM	61 36% M	49 31%	44 26%	57 23%	103 36%	60 35%	57 36%	45 28%	75 37% M	108 30%	252 32%	136 32%	180 37%
Saudi Arabia beheaded a Washington Post columnist and therefore should not be rewarded with U.S. defense support.	635 31%	298 29%	322 33%	229 39% EFGH	108 31%	91 28%	80 24%	127 28%	104 37% LM	55 32% M	44 28%	41 24%	54 22%	115 40% Q	51 29%	48 30%	38 23%	71 35% QM	109 31%	235 30%	135 32%	156 32%
Audi Arabia has a long record of human rights abuses of their own people and as well as abroad (i.e., outside their country).	591 29%	300 29%	284 29%	197 34% G	108 31% G	87 27%	75 22%	124 27%	103 37% LM	57 33%	43 27%	39 23%	59 24%	90 31%	51 29%	45 28%	35 22%	63 31%	116 33%	227 29%	123 29%	125 26%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 34 1 Sep 2023 Table 34

Q5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Not At All/Not Very Persuasive

Base: All Respondents

			Househo	ld Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Ow	nership	Marita	l Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
It would shut Palestinians out of the process of determining their own future.	921 45%	271 47%	151 48%	130 51% E	356 41%	297 45%	301 45%	323 45%	535 44%	386 47%	296 42%	625 47%	266 43%	655 46%	629 64%	271 47%	429 46%	492 44%	150 43%	102 42%	571 46%
It could help Saudi Arabia obtain nuclear weapons technology.	835 41%	246 43% E	147 46% E	119 47% E	310 6 36%	307 47% GH	262 39%	266 37%	487 40%	348 42%	271 39%	564 42%	239 39%	596 42%	562 39%	243 42%	380 41%	455 41%	154 44%	103 42%	484 39%
It would increase the likelihood of U.S. soldiers having to fight in the Middle East.	686 34%	214 37% E	111 35%	101 39% E	254 6 29%	254 38% H	239 36% H	193 27%	392 32%	293 35%	215 31%	471 35%	191 31%	494 35%	466 33%	199 35%	306 33%	379 34%	142 41% U	84 34%	388 31%
The 9/11 terrorist attacks were perpetrated by 15 Saudi nationals and the U.S. should not reward that country with a defense pact.	676 33%	206 36% E	104 33%	100 39% E	255 6 29%	240 36% H	226 34%	210 30%	421 35%	255 31%	237 34%	438 33%	195 32%	481 349	443 6 31%	209 36%	279 30%	397 36% Q	118 34%	85 35%	382 31%
Saudi Arabia beheaded a Washington Post columnist and therefore should not be rewarded with U.S. defense support.	635 31%	189 33% E	103 32%	102 40% E	235 6 27%	239 36% H	208 31%	188 26%	393 32%	241 29%	224 32%	410 31%	193 32%	441 319	410 6 29%	205 36% O	262 28%	373 34% Q	132 38% U	94 38% U	340 27%
Saudi Arabia has a long record of human rights abuses of their own people and as well as abroad (i.e., outside their country).	591 29%	189 33% E	95 30%	87 34% E	210 6 24%	216 33% H	200 30% H	174 25%	346 29%	245 30%	195 28%	396 29%	177 29%	414 29%	385 6 27%	181 32%	249 27%	342 31%	113 32%	86 35% U	334 27%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 35

Q5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Not At All/Not Very Persuasive

Base: All Respondents

		Pol	litical Affiliatio	n	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
It would shut Palestinians out of the process of determining their own future.	921 45%	313 47%	298 41%	259 46%	399 44%	521 46%
It could help Saudi Arabia obtain nuclear weapons technology.	835 41%	262 39%	310 43%	218 39%	355 39%	480 43%
It would increase the likelihood of U.S. soldiers having to fight in the Middle East.	686 34%	240 36%	239 33%	175 31%	318 35%	367 33%
The 9/11 terrorist attacks were perpetrated by 15 Saudi nationals and the U.S. should not reward that country with a defense pact.	676 33%	216 32%	230 32%	191 34%	348 38% F	328 29%
Saudi Arabia beheaded a Washington Post columnist and therefore should not be rewarded with U.S. defense support.	635 31%	214 32%	212 29%	176 31%	307 34%	327 29%
Saudi Arabia has a long record of human rights abuses of their own people and as well as abroad (i.e., outside their country).	591 29%	196 29%	211 29%	162 29%	258 28%	333 30%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used.

Page 36

Q5_1 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would increase the likelihood of U.S. soldiers having to fight in the Middle East.

Base: All Respondents

		Gend	ler			Age				Fe	emale Age				N	Male Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1355 66%	685 67%	650 66%	383 65%	233 67%	211 66%	249 74% DFH	280 62%	175 63%	116 67%	113 71% P	127 74% IM	154 64%	197 68%	115 67%	94 59%	120 74% PR	123 60%	235 66%	522 67%	279 66%	319 66%
Very persuasive	618 30%	317 31%	290 29%	142 24%	106 30%	94 29%	125 37% DF	151 34% D	66 23%	50 29%	51 32%	68 39%	83 34%	73 25%	56 32%	40 25%	56 35%	65 32%	109 31%	233 30%	131 31%	144 30%
Somewhat persuasive	738 36%	368 36%	359 36%	241 41% H	127 37% H	117 37% H	124 37% H	129 29%	110 39% M	66 38%	62 39%	59 34%	71 29%	124 43% R	59 34%	54 34%	64 40% R	58 29%	126 35%	289 37%	148 35%	175 36%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	686 34%	340 33%	337 34%	203 35% G	114 33%	110 34% G	88 26%	170 38% G	105 37% L	56 33%	45 29%	45 26%	88 36% L	92 32%	58 33%	64 41% QK	42 26%	80 40% Q	120 34%	260 33%	142 34%	165 34%
Not very persuasive	436 21%	217 21%	210 21%	131 22%	74 21%	70 22%	58 17%	102 23%	71 26% L	39 22%	30 19%	27 16%	49 20%	54 19%	35 20%	40 25%	30 18%	51 25%	81 23%	161 21%	86 20%	107 22%
Not at all persuasive	250 12%	123 12%	126 13%	72 12%	40 12%	39 12%	30 9%	68 15% G	33 12%	18 10%	15 9%	18 10%	39 16%	38 13%	23 13%	25 15% Q	1 <u>2</u> 7%	29 14%	38 11%	99 13%	56 13%	57 12%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 37 1 Sep 2023 Table 37

Q5_1 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would increase the likelihood of U.S. soldiers having to fight in the Middle East.

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Own	nership	Marita	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married		Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1355 66%			156 61%	BD			FG	821 68%	535 65%		873 65%		934 65%						161 66%	S
Very persuasive	618 30%	164 28%	92 29%	69 27%	284 33%	173 26%	192 29%	253 36% FG	352 29%	265 32%		409 30%	177 29%	441 31%	454 32% P	151 26%	309 33% R	309 28%	75 21%	60 24%	424 34% ST
Somewhat persuasive	738 36%	198 34%	115 36%	87 34%	329 38%	233 35%	240 36%	265 37%	469 39% J	269 32%	274 39%	463 34%	244 40%	494 35%	504 35%	223 39%	317 34%	420 38%	133 38%	101 41%	433 35%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	686 34%	214 37% E	111 35%	101 39%	254 29%	254 38% H	239 36% H	193 27%	392 32%	293 35%	215 31%	471 35%	191 31%	494 35%	466 33%	199 35%	306 33%	379 34%	142 41% U	84 34%	388 31%
Not very persuasive	436 21%	130 23%	60 19%	67 26%	176 20%	135 20%	156 23%	145 20%	258 21%	177 21%	136 20%	299 22%	129 21%	307 21%	302 21%	125 22%	205 22%	231 21%	89 25%	43 17%	253 20%
Not at all persuasive	250 12%	84 15% E	51 16% E	33 13%	78 9%	119 18% GH	83 12% H	47 7%	134 11%	116 14%	78 11%	172 13%	62 10%	188 13%	164 5 12%	74 13%	101 11%	149 13%	54 15%	42 17% U	135 11%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 38

Q5_1 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would increase the likelihood of U.S. soldiers having to fight in the Middle East.

Base: All Respondents

		Pol	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On Sa	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1355 66%	428 64%	485 67%	388 69%	598 65%	758 67%
Very persuasive	618 30%	204 30%	226 31%	163 29%	203 22%	415 37% E
Somewhat persuasive	738 36%	224 34%	260 36%	225 40% B	395 43% F	343 30%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	686 34%	240 36%	239 33%	175 31%	318 35%	367 33%
Not very persuasive	436 21%	162 24%	150 21%	111 20%	218 24% F	218 19%
Not at all persuasive	250 12%	78 12%	89 12%	65 11%	100 11%	150 13%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 39 1 Sep 2023 Table 39

Q5_2 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It could help Saudi Arabia obtain nuclear weapons technology.

Base: All Respondents

		Gend	ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	/Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1206 59%	613 60%	584 59%	352 60%	215 62%	189 59%	202 60%	247 55%	165 59%	114 66% M	97 61%	106 61%	132 54%	182 63%	102 59%	91 57%	96 59%	114 56%	201 57%	475 61%	255 61%	275 57%
Very persuasive	565 28%	288 28%	275 28%	140 24%	109 31% D	84 26%	102 30%	130 29%	62 22%	58 34%	44 28%	51 29%	74 31%	78 27%	51 29%	40 25%	51 31%	55 27%	94 26%	217 28%	126 30%	129 27%
Somewhat persuasive	640 31%	324 32%	310 31%	212 36% H	106 31%	105 33%	100 30%	117 26%	102 37% M	56 32%	54 34% M	55 32%	58 24%	104 36%	51 29%	51 32%	45 28%	59 29%	107 30%	258 33%	129 31%	147 30%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	835 41%	413 40%	402 41%	234 40%	132 38%	132 41%	135 40%	203 45%	115 41%	59 34%	61 39%	67 39%	111 46%	107 37%	72 41%	68 43%	67 41%	89 44%	154 43%	307 39%	165 39%	209 43%
Not very persuasive	486 24%	243 24%	230 23%	156 27%	81 23%	76 24%	82 24%	90 20%	75 27%	41 24%	40 25%	37 22%	49 20%	73 25%	39 22%	35 22%	45 27%	38 19%	93 26%	181 23%	95 23%	117 24%
Not at all persuasive	349 17%	170 17%	172 17%	77 13%	50 14%	56 17%	53 16%	113 25% DEFG	40 14%	17 10%	21 14%	30 17%	61 25% IJK	34 12%	33 19%	32 20% N	22 14%	51 25% NQ	61 17%	127 16%	70 17%	92 19%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 40 1 Sep 2023 Table 40

Q5_2 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It could help Saudi Arabia obtain nuclear weapons technology.

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Own	nership	Marita	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K (D)	\$100K+ (E)	HS or less (F)	Some College (G)	College Grad+ (H)	Yes	<u>No</u>	Yes (K)	<u>No</u> (L)	Yes	No (N)	Homeowner (O)	Renter	Married	Not married		Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic) (U)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(18)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(0)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1206 59%	330 57%	172 54%	137 53%	558 64% BCD	353 53%	409 61% F	445 63% F	726 60%	480 58%	427 61%	779 58%	374 61%	832 58%	861 61%	330 58%	553 59%	653 59%	197 56%	142 58%	762 61%
Very persuasive	565 28%	155 27%	79 25%	61 24%	267 31%	167 25%	191 29%	207 29%	324 27%	241 29%	196 28%	369 27%	161 26%	404 28%	422 30% P	138 24%	270 29%	296 27%	77 22%	61 25%	383 31% S
Somewhat persuasive	640 31%	175 30%	93 29%	76 30%	291 34%	186 28%	217 32%	237 33%	401 33%	239 29%	230 33%	410 31%	212 35%	428 30%	439 31%	192 33%	283 30%	357 32%	119 34%	82 33%	379 30%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	835 41%	246 43% E	147 46% E	119 47% E	310 36%	307 47% GH	262 39%	266 37%	487 40%	348 42%	271 39%	564 42%	239 39%	596 42%	562 39%	243 42%	380 41%	455 41%	154 44%	103 42%	484 39%
Not very persuasive	486 24%	144 25%	76 24%	577 30% E	185 21%	172 26%	143 21%	171 24%	312 26%	173 21%	164 24%	322 24%	153 25%	333 23%	317 22%	155 27%	210 22%	276 25%	96 27% U	66 27%	260 21%
Not at all persuasive	349 17%	102 18%	71 22% E	42 16%	124 14%	136 21% H	119 18% H	95 13%	175 14%	174 21% I	106 15%	243 18%	86 14%	263 18% M	245 5 17%	88 15%	170 18%	179 16%	58 16%	37 15%	225 18%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 5 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 41

Q5_2 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It could help Saudi Arabia obtain nuclear weapons technology.

Base: All Respondents

		Pol	litical Affiliatio	n	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1206 59%	406 61%	415 57%	345 61%	561 61%	645 57%
Very persuasive	565 28%	194 29%	178 25%	182 32% C	247 27%	318 28%
Somewhat persuasive	640 31%	212 32%	237 33%	163 29%	314 34% F	327 29%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	835 41%	262 39%	310 43%	218 39%	355 39%	480 43%
Not very persuasive	486 24%	139 21%	200 28% B	126 22%	217 24%	268 24%
Not at all persuasive	349 17%	123 18%	110 15%	92 16%	138 15%	211 19%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used.

Page 42

Q5_3 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia has a long record of human rights abuses of their own people and as well as abroad (i.e., outside their country).

Base: All Respondents

		Gend	ler			Age				Fe	emale Age				N	/Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1450 71%	725 71%	702 71%	389 66%	239 69%	233 73%	262 78% DE	327 73%	177 63%	115 67%	116 73%	134 77%	183 76%	199 69%	122 71%	114 72%	127 78%	140 69%	238 67%	555 71%	298 71%	359 74%
Very persuasive	691 34%	351 34%	333 34%	164 28%	119 34%	114 36% D	124 37% D	170 38% D	74 27%	55 32%	62 39%	58 34%	102 42%	87 30%	64 37%	51 32%	66 40%	66 33%	116 33%	265 34%	133 32%	177 37%
Somewhat persuasive	759 37%	374 37%	369 37%	225 38%	121 35%	119 37%	138 41%	156 35%	103 37%	61 35%	54 34%	75 44% M	81 34%	112 39%	59 34%	64 40%	62 38%	74 36%	122 34%	290 37%	165 39%	182 38%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	591 29%	300 29%	284 29%	197 34% G	108 31% G	87 27%	75 22%	124 27%	103 37% LM	57 33%	43 27%	39 23%	59 24%	90 31%	51 29%	45 28%	35 22%	63 31%	116 33%	227 29%	123 29%	125 26%
Not very persuasive	389 19%	191 19%	193 20%	134 23% GH	72 21%	60 19%	51 15%	71 16%	70 25% LM	36 21% M	32 20% M	24 14%	29 12%	60 21%	36 21%	28 18%	26 16%	42 20% M	78 22% V	151 19%	89 21% V	71 15%
Not at all persuasive	202 10%	110 11%	92 9%		35 10%	27 9%	24 7%	52 12%	33 12%	21 12%	11 7%	15 9%	30 13%	30 10%	15 8%	16 10%	9 5%	22 11%	38 11%	75 10%	34 8%	54 11%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 43 1 Sep 2023 Table 43

Q5_3 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia has a long record of human rights abuses of their own people and as well as abroad (i.e., outside their country).

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income		1	Education		Employ	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Own	nership	Marita	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
-	Total (A)	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K (C)	\$75K \$99.9K (D)	\$100K+ (E)	HS or less (F)	Some College (G)	College Grad+ (H)	Yes	<u>No</u> (J)	Yes	<u>No</u> (L)	Yes	<u>No</u> (N)	Homeowner (O)	Renter (P)	Married (Q)	Not married (R)	Hispanic (S)	Black (Not His- panic) (T)	White (Not His- panic) (U)
Unweighted Base	2041	652	368	209	789	565	594	882	1175	866	608	1433	556	1485	1452	550	1036	1005	249	259	1374
Weighted Base	2041	576	368 319	209 256	868	660	670	002 711	1213	828	697	1344	612	1465	1452	573	933	1108	249 350	259 245	1246
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1450 71%	387 67%	223 70%	170 66%	658 76% BD	444 67%	470 70%	537 75% FG	867 71%	583 70%	503 72%	947 71%	436 71%	1014 71%	1038 73%	392 68%	684 73%	766 69%	237 68%	160 65%	912 7 <u>3</u> % T
Very persuasive	691 34%	173 30%	104 33%	68 27%	342 39% BD	201 31%	224 33%	265 37% F	385 32%	306 37% I	228 33%	463 34%	184 30%	507 35% M	505 36%	176 31%	333 36%	359 32%	102 29%	77 32%	455 37%
Somewhat persuasive	759 37%	214 37%	119 37%	101 40%	316 36%	242 37%	246 37%	271 38%	482 40% J	277 33%	275 39%	484 36%	251 41% N	507 36%	533 37%	216 38%	351 38%	407 37%	135 39%	82 33%	457 37%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	591 29%	189 33% E	95 30%	87 34% E	210 24%	216 33% H	200 30% H	174 25%	346 29%	245 30%	195 28%	396 29%	177 29%	414 29%	385 27%	181 32%	249 27%	342 31%	113 32%	86 35% U	334 27%
Not very persuasive	389 19%	114 20%	57 18%	62 24% E	150 17%	129 20%	136 20%	124 17%	249 21%	140 17%	133 19%	256 19%	124 20%	265 19%	252 18%	125 22%	171 18%	218 20%	64 18%	60 25% U	228 18%
Not at all persuasive	202 10%	75 13% E	38 12% E	24 9%	60 7%	87 13% H	65 10%	50 7%	97 8%	105 13% I	61 9%	140 10%	52 9%	150 10%	133 9%	55 10%	78 8%	124 11%	50 14% U	25 10%	106 9%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 44

Q5_3 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia has a long record of human rights abuses of their own people and as well as abroad (i.e., outside their country).

Base: All Respondents

		Po	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1450 71%	472 71%	514 71%	401 71%	658 72%	792 70%
Very persuasive	691 34%	198 30%	257 36% B	207 37% B	259 28%	432 38% E
Somewhat persuasive	759 37%	275 41% D	256 35%	195 35%	399 44% F	360 32%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	591 29%	196 29%	211 29%	162 29%	258 28%	333 30%
Not very persuasive	389 19%	133 20%	145 20%	102 18%	189 21%	200 18%
Not at all persuasive	202 10%	63 9%	66 9%	60 11%	69 8%	133 12% E
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 45 1 Sep 2023 Table 45

Q5_4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia beheaded a Washington Post columnist and therefore should not be rewarded with U.S. defense support.

Base: All Respondents

		Gend	ler			Age				Fe	emale Age				N	/Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1406 69%	727 71%	664 67%	357 61%	239 69% D	229 72% D	257 76% D	324 72% D	176 63%	117 68%	115 72%	131 76%	188 78% IJR	173 60%	122 71%	111 70%	125 77% NR	132 65%	246 69%	547 70%	286 68%	328 68%
Very persuasive	726 36%	406 40% C	313 32%	142 24%	122 35% D	129 40% D	149 44% DE	184 41% D	70 25%	62 36%	72 45% I	85 49% IJ	117 48% IJR	71 25%	59 34%	55 34%	63 39% N	65 32%	133 37%	287 37%	139 33%	167 35%
Somewhat persuasive	681 33%	321 31%	351 36%	215 37%	117 34%	101 31%	108 32%	140 31%	106 38% KL	54 32%	43 27%	47 27%	71 29%	102 35%	63 36%	57 36%	61 38%	67 33%	113 32%	260 33%	147 35%	161 33%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	635 31%	298 29%	322 33%	229 39% EFGH	108 31%	91 28%	80 24%	127 28%	104 37% LM	55 32% M	44 28%	41 24%	54 22%	115 40% Q	51 29%	48 30%	38 23%	71 35% QM	109 31%	235 30%	135 32%	156 32%
Not very persuasive	439 22%	212 21%	217 22%		79 23% G	61 19%	53 16%	83 19%	73 26% LM	43 25% M	35 22% M	27 16%	33 14%	81 28% PQ	36 21%	26 16%	26 16%	49 24% M	73 21%	163 21%	92 22%	111 23%
Not at all persuasive	195 10%	86 8%	105 11%	66 11%	29 8%	30 9%	27 8%	43 10%	30 11%	12 7%	8 5%	14 8%	21 9%	35 12%	15 9%	22 14% K	12 7%	22 11%	35 10%	72 9%	43 10%	45 9%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 46 1 Sep 2023 Table 46

Q5_4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia beheaded a Washington Post columnist and therefore should not be rewarded with U.S. defense support.

Base: All Respondents

	-		Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Ow	nership	Marita	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
-	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married		Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1406 69%	387 67%	215 68%	155 60%	BD			F	820 68%	587 71%		933 69%		987 69%	Р		671 72% R			151 62%	906 73% ST
Very persuasive	726 36%	192 33%			BD				420 35%	306 37%		492 37%		528 37%	Р		R			87 35% S	481 39% S
Somewhat persuasive	681 33%	195 34%	107 34%	85 33%	286 33%	194 29%	229 34%	258 36% F	400 33%	281 34%	239 34%	441 33%	221 36%	460 32%	481 34%	189 33%	313 34%	368 33%	128 36% T	65 26%	425 34% T
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	635 31%	189 33% E	103 32%	102 40% E	235 27%	239 36% H	208 31%	188 26%	393 32%	241 29%	224 32%	410 31%		441 319		0	262 28%	373 34% Q	132 38% U	94 38% U	340 27%
Not very persuasive	439 22%	127 22%	67 21%	BCE		146 22%	153 23%	140 20%	287 24% J	153 18%	165 24%	274 20%	143 23%	297 219			183 20%	257 23%	89 26% U	63 26% U	235 19%
Not at all persuasive	195 10%	62 11%	36 11%	23 9%	70 8%	93 14% GH	54 8%	48 7%	107 9%	89 11%	59 9%	136 10%	50 8%	145 10%	123 9%	62 11%	80 9%	116 10%	42 12%	31 13%	105 8%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 5 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 47

Q5_4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia beheaded a Washington Post columnist and therefore should not be rewarded with U.S. defense support.

Base: All Respondents

		Po	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1406 69%	454 68%	513 71%	387 69%	609 66%	797 71%
Very persuasive	726 36%	229 34%	276 38%	201 36%	281 31%	445 40% E
Somewhat persuasive	681 33%	225 34%	237 33%	186 33%	328 36%	353 31%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	635 31%	214 32%	212 29%	176 31%	307 34%	327 29%
Not very persuasive	439 22%	144 22%	153 21%	125 22%	219 24% F	221 20%
Not at all persuasive	195 10%	70 10%	59 8%	51 9%	88 10%	107 9%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 48 1 Sep 2023 Table 48

Q5_5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would shut Palestinians out of the process of determining their own future.

Base: All Respondents

		Geno	ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	/Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1120 55%	566 55%	539 55%	348 59% FH	203 59% H	163 51%	178 53%	228 51%	156 56%	100 58%	78 49%	98 57%	134 55% R	183 63% PQR	104 60% R	81 51%	79 49%	92 46%	195 55%	446 57%	214 51%	266 55%
Very persuasive	368 18%	185 18%	179 18%	107 18%	80 23% H	56 17%	56 17%	69 15%	45 16%	44 26% IL	26 16%	27 16%	44 18%	59 21% R	36 21%	30 19%	28 18%	25 12%	68 19%	150 19%	61 15%	89 18%
Somewhat persuasive	753 37%	380 37%	361 37%	241 41%	123 36%	107 33%	122 36%	159 35%	111 40%	56 32%	52 33%	71 41%	91 37%	123 43%	68 39%	52 33%	50 31%	67 33%	127 36%	296 38%	153 36%	177 37%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	921 45%	460 45%	447 45%	238 41%	143 41%	158 49% D	159 47%	222 49% DE	124 44%	73 42%	80 51%	75 43%	108 45%	106 37%	69 40%	78 49% N	84 51% N	111 54% NOM	160 45%	336 43%	206 49%	218 45%
Not very persuasive	610 30%	324 32%	276 28%	161 28%	101 29%	104 32%	103 31%	141 31%	92 33% N	54 31%	63 40% LMP	45 26%	71 29%	64 22%	45 26%	41 26%		67 33% N	109 31%	228 29%	128 30%	145 30%
Not at all persuasive	311 15%	136 13%	171 17% B	77 13%	43 12%	54 17%	55 16%	81 18% F	33 12%	19 11%	17 11%	30 17%	37 15%	42 14%	24 14%	37 23% K	25 16%	43 21%	50 14%	109 14%	78 19%	74 15%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 49 1 Sep 2023 Table 49

Q5_5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would shut Palestinians out of the process of determining their own future.

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Own	nership	Marita	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
-	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married		Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1120 55%	305 53%	167 52%	127 49%	511 59% D	363 55%	370 55%	388 55%	678 56%	442 53%	402 58%	719 53%	346 57%	774 54%	794 56%	302 53%	504 54%	616 56%	200 57%	143 58%	675 54%
Very persuasive	368 18%	90 16%	49 16%	41 16%	187 22% BC	105 16%	119 18%	143 20%	220 18%	148 18%	141 20%	227 17%	N	233 16%	271 5 19%	90 16%	182 19%	186 17%	51 15%	56 23% S	223 18%
Somewhat persuasive	753 37%	215 37%	118 37%	85 33%	325 37%	257 39%	250 37%	245 34%	458 38%	294 36%	261 37%	492 37%	211 34%	541 38%	523 37%	211 37%	322 35%	430 39%	149 43%	86 35%	452 36%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	921 45%	271 47%	151 48%	130 51% E	356 41%	297 45%	301 45%	323 45%	535 44%	386 47%	296 42%	625 47%	266 43%	655 46%	629 44%	271 47%	429 46%	492 44%	150 43%	102 42%	
Not very persuasive	610 30%	182 32% E	92 29%	105 41% BCE	225 26%	196 30%	192 29%	222 31%	370 31%	240 29%	199 29%	411 31%	179 29%	431 30%	411 29%	187 33%	280 30%	330 30%	104 30%	67 27%	372 30%
Not at all persuasive	311 15%	89 15%	59 19% D	25 10%	132 15%	102 15%	108 16%	101 14%	164 14%	146 18%	96 14%	214 16%	87 14%	223 16%	218 5 15%	84 15%	149 16%	162 15%	46 13%	36 15%	199 16%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 5 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 50

Q5_5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would shut Palestinians out of the process of determining their own future.

Base: All Respondents

		Pol	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1120 55%	355 53%	427 59%	304 54%	517 56%	604 54%
Very persuasive	368 18%	89 13%	175 24% BD	89 16%	164 18%	204 18%
Somewhat persuasive	753 37%	266 40%	252 35%	215 38%	352 38%	400 36%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	921 45%	313 47%	298 41%	259 46%	399 44%	521 46%
Not very persuasive	610 30%	215 32%	209 29%	159 28%	268 29%	342 30%
Not at all persuasive	311 15%	98 15%	89 12%	100 18% C	131 14%	180 16%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 51

Q5_6 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? The 9/11 terrorist attacks were perpetrated by 15 Saudi nationals and the U.S. should not reward that country with a defense pact.

Base: All Respondents

		Geno	ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	/Iale Age				Regi	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1365 67%	703 69%	647 66%	362 62%	225 65%	215 67%	246 73% DE	316 70% D	169 60%	111 64%	109 69%	128 74% 1	186 77% IJR	186 64%	113 65%	102 64%	117 72%	128 63%	247 70%	530 68%	285 68%	304 63%
Very persuasive	729 36%	396 39% C	329 33%	168 29%	115 33%	118 37% D	147 44% DE	180 40% D	74 26%	62 36%	64 40%	80 46%	116 48% IJR	93 32%	53 31%	52 33%	67 41%	64 31%	131 37%	289 37%	144 34%	165 34%
Somewhat persuasive	636 31%	307 30%	318 32%	194 33%	110 32%	97 30%	99 29%	136 30%	95 34%	49 28%	45 28%	49 28%	70 29%	93 32%	60 35%	50 32%	51 31%	65 32%	116 33%	241 31%	140 33%	139 29%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	676 33%	323 31%	339 34%	224 38% GH	122 35% G	106 33%	91 27%	134 30%	111 40% LM	61 36% M	49 31%	44 26%	57 23%	103 36%	60 35%	57 36%	45 28%	75 37% M	108 30%	252 32%	136 32%	180 37%
Not very persuasive	443 22%	221 22%	212 21%	134 23%	84 24%	68 21%	64 19%	93 21%	75 27% LM	42 24% M	38 24% M	30 17%	37 15%	52 18%	43 25%	29 18%	34 21%	53 26% M	72 20%	159 20%	90 21%	123 25%
Not at all persuasive	232 11%	101 10%	128 13%	90 15% GH	37 11%	38 12%	26 8%	41 9%	36 13%	20 12%	11 7%	15 9%	20 8%	50 17% Q	17 10%	27 17% QK	12 7%	21 10%	36 10%	93 12%	46 11%	57 12%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%		347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%		162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 52 1 Sep 2023 Table 52

Q5_6 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? The 9/11 terrorist attacks were perpetrated by 15 Saudi nationals and the U.S. should not reward that country with a defense pact.

Base: All Respondents

	-		Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Ow	nership	Marita	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
-	Total (A)	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K (C)	\$75K \$99.9K (D)	\$100K+ (E)	HS or less (F)	Some College (G)	College Grad+ (H)	Yes	<u>No</u> (J)	Yes (K)	<u>No</u> (L)	Yes	No (N)	Homeowner (O)	Renter (P)	Married (Q)	Not married (R)	Hispanic (S)	Black (Not His- panic) (T)	White (Not His- panic) (U)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(1)	(0)	(11)	(1)	(3)	(K)	(L)	(141)	(14)	(0)	(1)	Q	(K)	(3)	(1)	(0)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1365 67%	370 64%	214 67%	157 61%	612 71% BD	420 64%	445 66%	501 70% F	792 65%	573 69%	460 66%	905 67%	418 68%	948 66%	980 69%	364 64%	654 70% R	712 64%	232 66%	160 65%	864 69%
Very persuasive	729 36%	190 33%	113 36%	69 27%	349 40% BD	241 37%	231 34%	257 36%	410 34%	319 38%	228 33%	501 37%	191 31%	538 38% M	537 38% P	179 31%	342 37%	387 35%	107 31%	92 38%	472 38%
Somewhat persuasive	636 31%	180 31%	101 32%	88 34%	263 30%	178 27%	214 32%	244 34% F	382 31%	255 31%	232 33%	405 30%	227 37% N	409 29%	443 31%	185 32%	312 33%	324 29%	125 36%	67 28%	392 31%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	676 33%	206 36% E	104 33%	100 39% E	255 29%	240 36% H	226 34%	210 30%	421 35%	255 31%	237 34%	438 33%	195 32%	481 34%	443 31%	209 36%	279 30%	397 36% Q	118 34%	85 35%	382 31%
Not very persuasive	443 22%	127 22%	56 18%	71 28% C	184 21%	133 20%	152 23%	158 22%	280 23%	163 20%	158 23%	286 21%	132 22%	311 22%	297 21%	136 24%	201 22%	243 22%	67 19%	55 23%	258 21%
Not at all persuasive	232 11%	79 14% E	48 15% E	29 11%	71 8%	107 16% GH	74 11% H	52 7%	141 12%	92 11%	79 11%	153 11%	63 10%	170 12%	146 10%	73 13%	78 8%	154 14% Q	51 15%	30 12%	124 10%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 53

Q5_6 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? The 9/11 terrorist attacks were perpetrated by 15 Saudi nationals and the U.S. should not reward that country with a defense pact.

Base: All Respondents

		Po	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On Sa	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Very/Somewhat Persuasive (Net)	1365 67%	453 68%	495 68%	372 66%	568 62%	797 71% E
Very persuasive	729 36%	245 37%	259 36%	199 35%	260 28%	469 42% E
Somewhat persuasive	636 31%	208 31%	235 32%	173 31%	309 34%	327 29%
Not At All/Not Very Persuasive (Net)	676 33%	216 32%	230 32%	191 34%	348 38% F	328 29%
Not very persuasive	443 22%	139 21%	161 22%	123 22%	246 27% F	198 18%
Not at all persuasive	232 11%	77 12%	69 10%	68 12%	102 11%	130 12%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 54 1 Sep 2023 Table 54

Q4005 Are you...?

		Gen	der			Age				F	emale Age				N	Male Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Male	986 48%	Ĩ	986 100% B	289 49%	173 50%	159 50%	162 48%	203 45%	:	:	:	-	:	289 100% I	173 100%	159 100% K	162 100%	203 100% M	179 50%	375 48%	191 45%	242 50%
Female	1025 50%	1025 100% C	:	280 48%	172 50%	158 49%	173 51%	242 54%	280 100% N	172 100%	158 100% P	173 100% Q	242 100% R	2	:	1	:	:	173 49%	394 50%	222 53%	237 49%
All Others	29 1%	-	:	17 3% EG	1	3 1%	2 1%	5 1%	Ē	-	:	-	:	2	1	:	:	:	3 1%	13 2%	8 2%	5 1%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%		586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 55 1 Sep 2023 Table 55

Q4005 Are you...?

(A)	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K																	
(A)	(100)	\$/4.9K	\$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Jnweighted Base 2041 Veighted Base 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Male 986 48%	265 46%	152 48%	120 47%	439 51%	301 46%	301 45%	384 54% FG	649 54%	337 41%	319 46%	667 50%	291 47%	696 49%	704 49%	268 47%	436 47%	550 50%	180 51%	123 50%	588 47%
Female 1025 50%	298 52%	163 51%	135 53%	419 48%	348 53% H	357 53% H	321 45%	551 45%	474 57% I	367 53%	658 49%	316 52%	709 50%	701 49%	294 51%	490 53%	535 48%	163 47%	120 49%	642 52%
All Others 29 1%	13 29	3 5 1%	1	10 1%	11 2%	12 2%	6 1%	13 1%	17 2%	11 2%	19 1%	5 1%	24 2%	18 1%	10 2%	6 1%	23 2% Q	8 2%	1 1%	15 1%
Sigma 2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Page 56 1 Sep 2023 Table 56

Q4005 Are you...?

		Pol	itical Affiliatio	on	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Male	986 48%	340 51% C	318 44%	290 51% C	502 55% F	484 43%
Female	1025 50%	326 49%	393 54% D	263 47%	400 44%	625 56% E
All Others	29 1%	2*	14 2% B	10 2% B	14 1%	16 1%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 57 1 Sep 2023 Table 57

Q4007 What is your age?

		Gend	ler			Age				F	emale Age				Ι	Male Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
weighten base	2041	1025	300	500	347	521	557	450	200	172	150	175	242	203	175	155	102	205	554	102	421	404
18-34	586 29%	280 27%	289 29%	586 100% EFGH	:	:	:	:	280 100% JKLM	:	:	2	:	289 100% OPQR	1	:	:	:	96 27%	239 31%	106 25%	145 30%
35-44	347 17%	172 17%	173 18%	:	347 100% DFGH	:	:	:	:	172 100% IKLM	:	2	:	2	173 100% NPQR		:	:	65 18%	133 17%	81 19%	68 14%
45-54	321 16%	158 15%	159 16%	:	:	321 100% DEGH	:	:	:	:	158 100% IJLM	2	:	2	1	159 100% NOQR	:	:	62 18%	118 15%	71 17%	70 15%
55-64	337 17%	173 17%	162 16%	:	:	:	337 100% DEFH	:	:	:	:	173 100% IJKM	:	2	1	:	162 100% NOPR	:	57 16%	116 15%	73 17%	91 19%
65+	450 22%	242 24%	203 21%	:	:	:	:	450 100% DEFG	:	:	:	2	242 100% IJKL	2	1	:	:	203 100% NOPQ	74 21%	177 23%	90 21%	110 23%
Mean	48.1	48.9	47.6	26.4	39.9 D	49.3 DE	59.8 DEF	73.1 DEFG	26.4	40.1 I	49.4 IJ	59.8 IJK	73.0 IJKL	26.5	39.8 N	49.2 NO	59.8 NOP	73.3 NOPQ	48.1	47.6	48.6	48.4
Std. Dev.	18.05	18.09	17.87	4.80	2.96	3.03	2.81	5.71	4.86	2.89	3.16	2.74	5.66	4.69	3.04	2.91	2.90	5.82	17.89	18.36	17.28	18.35
Std. Err. Sigma	0.40 2041 100%	0.56 1025 100%	0.58 986 100%	0.22 586 100%	0.16 347 100%	0.16 321 100%	0.15 337 100%	0.25 450 100%	0.32 280 100%	0.22 172 100%	0.24 158 100%	0.20 173 100%	0.33 242 100%	0.32 289 100%	0.24 173 100%	0.22 159 100%	0.22 162 100%	0.38 203 100%	0.88 354 100%	0.65 782 100%	0.85 421 100%	0.91 484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used. Page 58 1 Sep 2023 Table 58

Q4007 What is your age?

	-		Household	l Income		1	Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under		Home Own	nership	Marita	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ity
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
18-34	586 29%	206 36% DE	95 30%	70 27%	207 24%	260 39% GH	194 29% H	132 19%	423 35%	163 20%	280 40% L	307 23%	221 36% N	365 26%	322 23%	243 42% O	139 15%	447 40% Q	192 55% TU	101 41% U	220 18%
35-44	347 17%	87 15%	46 14%	55 21%	159 18%	106 16%	114 17%	126 18%	275 23%	72 9%	219 31% L	128 10%	215 35% N	132 9%	249 17%	93 16%	161 17%	186 17%	56 16%	39 16%	206 17%
45-54	321 16%	82 14%	35 11%	34 13%	165 19% BC	89 14%	102 15%	130 18% F	241 20%	79 10%	125 18%	195 15%	123 20% N	198 14%	220 15%	91 16%	173 19% R	148 13%	44 13%	47 19%	194 16%
55-64	337 17%	85 15%	52 16%	34 13%	162 19%	87 13%	116 17%	134 19% F	194 16%	143 17%	43 6%	294 22% K	40 6%	297 21% M	251 18%	82 14%	199 21% R	138 12%	29 8%	29 12%	262 21% ST
65+	450 22%	117 20%	90 28% BE	64 25%	174 20%	118 18%	144 22%	189 27% F	80 7%	370 45% I	31 4%	420 31% K	13 2%	437 31% M	381 27% P	64 11%	261 28% R	190 17%	29 8%	29 12%	364 29% ST
Mean	48.1	45.9	49.2 B	48.9	49.0 B	44.1	47.9 F	52.0 FG	42.4	56.4 I	38.8	53.0 K	39.3	51.9 M	50.8 P	42.1	53.5 R	43.6	37.5	42.1 S	53.2 ST
Std. Dev.	18.05	18.76	19.38	18.27	16.79	18.86	17.64	16.80	14.46	19.50	12.60	18.54	10.74	19.21	17.87	16.87	15.79	18.59	15.51	16.52	17.35
Std. Err.	0.40	0.73	1.01	1.26	0.60	0.79	0.72	0.57	0.42	0.66	0.51	0.49	0.46	0.50	0.47	0.72	0.49	0.59	0.98	1.03	0.47
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 59 1 Sep 2023 Table 59

Q4007 What is your age?

		Po	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On Sa	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
18-34	586 29%	157 24%	206 28%	194 34% BC	261 28%	325 29%
35-44	347 17%	108 16%	123 17%	93 16%	174 19%	173 15%
45-54	321 16%	97 15%	129 18%	80 14%	135 15%	186 17%
55-64	337 17%	125 19%	115 16%	82 15%	143 16%	194 17%
65+	450 22%	182 27% CD	151 21%	114 20%	203 22%	247 22%
Mean	48.1	51.1 CD	47.7	46.2	48.1	48.1
Std. Dev.	18.05	18.46	17.56	18.14	18.22	17.92
Std. Err.	0.40	0.72	0.64	0.76	0.61	0.53
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 60 1 Sep 2023 Table 60

Q2145 Which of the following best describes your current primary residence? I/We live in...

Base: All Respondents

		Geno	ler			Age				F	emale Age				Ν	Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base	2041	1058	954	468	334	339	364	536	235	170	168	187	298	217	163	168	174	232	409	810	413	409
Weighted Base	2041	1025	986	586	347	321	337	450	280	172	158	173	242	289	173	159	162	203	354	782	421	484
Homeowners (Net)	1423 70%	701 68%	704 71%	322 55%	249 72% D	220 69% D	251 74% D	381 85% DEFG	147 53%	116 68%	103 65% I	131 76% IK	204 84% IJK	169 59%	131 76% N	114 72% N	118 72% N	172 85% NPQ	249 70%	556 71%	287 68%	331 68%
A house that I/we own	1270 62%	621 61%	636 64%		220 63% D	210 66% D		342 76% DEFG	118 42%	99 57% I	98 62% 1	121 70% IJ	185 76% IJK	146 51%	121 70% NJ	109 69% N	106 65% N	154 76% NQ	224 63%	V	262 62%	278 57%
An apartment or condominium that I/we own	114 6%		54 5%	F	21 6%	9 3%	15 5%	28 6% F	20 7%	13 7%	5 3%	8 5%	14 6%	20 7%		5 3%	7 4%	13 7%	23 7%			36 7% U
Another type of home that I/we own	39 2%			F	F	1	7 2% F	10 2% F	9 3% K	5 3% K	:	2 1%	5 2%	3 1%	2 1%	1	5 3%	4 2%	1	12 2%		17 3% S
Renters (Net)	573 28%			EFGH	н	91 28% H	82 24% H	64 14%	118 42% JLM	52 30% M	50 32% M	40 23% M	34 14%	OPQR	40 23%	41 26% R	43 26% R	30 15%	98 28%			135 28%
A house that I/we rent or lease	255 12%	130 13%		EFGH	36 10% H	43 13% GH	24 7%	24 5%	JLM	19 11%	22 14% M	13 8%		OQR	18 10%	21 13% R	11 7%			103 13% S	66 16% S	61 13% S
An apartment or condominium that I/we rent or lease	283 14%		135 14%	н	50 14% H	42 13% H	55 16% H	34 8%	47 17% M	30 17% M	26 17% M	24 14% M	17 7%	50 17% R	21 12%	16 10%	31 19% PR	17 9%	69 19% TV	93 12%	57 14%	65 13%
Another type of home that I/we rent or lease	35 2%	21 2%			6 2%	6 2%	3 1%	5 1%	8 3%	4 2%	2 1%	2 1%	4 2%	4 1%	2 1%	4 2%	1 1%	1 1%	5 1%	16 2%	5 1%	10 2%
None of these	45 2%	30 3%	14 1%	21 4% H	5 1%	10 3%	4 1%	6 1%	15 5% L	3 2%	6 4%	2 1%	5 2%	6 2%	2 1%	4 2%	2 1%	1	8 2%	14 2%	5 1%	18 4%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 61

Q2145 Which of the following best describes your current primary residence? I/We live in...

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Ow	nership	Marital	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Homeowners (Net)	1423 70%		207 65% B	179 70% B	732 84% BCD	365 55%	470 70% F	587 83% FG	855 70%	568 69%	491 70%	932 69%	442 72%	981 69%	P		795 85% R	628 57%	206 59%	130 53%	939 75% ST
A house that I/we own	1270 62%	228 40%	185 58% B	160 62% B	685 79% BCD	310 47%	421 63% F	540 76% FG	777 64%	493 60%	444 64%	826 61%	402 66%	868 61%	1270 89% P	:	752 81% R	518 47%	160 46%	118 48%	863 69% ST
An apartment or condominium that I/we own	114 6%	47 8% E	18 5%			41 6%	29 4%	43 6%	64 5%	50 6%	37 5%	77 6%		81 6%	114 8% P	:	36 4%	77 7% Q	30 9% U	10 4%	57 5%
Another type of home that I/we own	39 2%	17 3%	4 1%	1	17 2%	15 2% H	20 3% H	4 1%	14 1%	25 3% 1	10 1%	29 2%	7 1%	32 2%	39 3% P	:	6 1%	33 3% Q	16 5% TU	2 1%	19 2%
Renters (Net)	573 28%	260 45% CDE	109 34% E	5 73 28% E	128 15%	270 41% GH	189 28% H		343 28%	230 28%		380 28%		409 29%		573 100% O	129 14%	444 40% Q	U	107 44% U	283 23%
A house that I/we rent or lease	255 12%	114 20% E		40 15% E	54 6%	144 22% GH	80 12% H	32 4%	154 13%	101 12%	100 14%	155 12%	83 14%	172 12%	-	255 45% O		Q	U	43 18% U	130 10%
An apartment or condominium that I/we rent or lease	283 14%	125 22% DE	57 18% E	32 12%	68 8%	107 16% H	99 15% H	77 11%	175 14%	107 13%	81 12%	202 15%	72 12%	211 15%	-	283 49% O	66 7%	216 20% Q	58 16% U	60 24% U	136 11%
Another type of home that I/we rent or lease	35 2%	21 4% DE	6 2%	1 5 1%	6 1%	20 3% H	10 1%	5 1%	14 1%	21 3% I	12 2%	23 2%	9 1%	26 2%	-	35 6% O	9 1%	26 2% Q	10 3%	4 2%	17 1%
None of these	45 2%	23 4% CE	3 1%	4 2%	8 1%	24 4% H	11 2%	10 1%	15 1%	30 4%	13 2%	32 2%	7 1%	39 3%	-	:	9 1%	36 3% Q	10 3%	7 3%	24 2%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.

Page 62 1 Sep 2023 Table 62

Base: All Respondents

Q2145 Which of the following best describes your current primary residence? I/We live in...

Political Affiliation Stance On Saudi Deal Republican Support Total Democrat Independent Oppose **(B)** (C) (**D**) (E) (**F**) (A) Unweighted Base Weighted Base 77% CD 70% Homeowners (Net) 69% 65% 72% 68% 71% CD 62% D 62% 55% 65% 60% A house that I/we own 6% 6% 5% 6% 7% 5% An apartment or condominium that I/we own Another type of home that I/we own 2% 1% 3% 2% 2% 1% 29% B 32% B Renters (Net) 28% 22% 27% 29% A house that I/we rent or lease 12% 11% 14% 12% 13% 12% An apartment or condominium that I/we rent or lease 9% 14% 16% B 17% B 13% 15% 2% Another type of home that I/we rent or lease 2% 2% 2% 1% 1% 2% 3% 3% E None of these 1% 2% 1% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% Sigma

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 63

Q2185 Which of the following best describes the area where you live?

		Gen	der			Age				F	emale Age	•			N	fale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Urban	563 28%	241 23%	312 32% B	199 34% GH	123 35% GH	89 28% H	72 21%	81 18%	68 24%	52 30% M	38 24%	36 21%	48 20%	122 42% QRI	70 40% QR	51 32% R	36 22%	33 16%	99 28%	202 26%	97 23%	166 34% TU
Suburban	1054 52%	535 52%	504 51%	289 49%	158 46%	172 54%	183 54% E	251 56% E	149 53%	82 48%	83 52%	91 53%	130 54%	133 46%	76 44%	86 54%	90 56%	119 58% NO	182 51%	395 51%	226 54%	251 52%
Rural	424 21%	249 24% C	170 17%	98 17%	66 19%	60 19%	82 24% D	118 26% DEF	64 23% N	38 22%	38 24% P	45 26%	64 26%	33 12%	28 16%	22 14%	36 22% N	51 25% NP	74 21% V	185 24% V	98 23% V	67 14%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 64 1 Sep 2023 Table 64

Q2185 Which of the following best describes the area where you live?

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	f Child r 18	Home Ow	nership	Marita	l Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Urban	563 28%	169 29%	90 28%	60 23%	239 28%	199 30%	180 27%	184 26%	375 31% J	188 23%	221 32% L	342 25%	200 33% N	363 25%	333 23%	215 38% O	199 6 21%	364 33% Q	141 40%	110 45% U	260 21%
Suburban	1054 52%	245 43%	167 53% B	136 53% B	495 57% B	292 44%	351 52% F	411 58% F	628 52%	426 51%	341 49%	713 53%	295 48%	758 53%	790 56%	248 43%	523 56% R	530 48%	165 47%	98 40%	667 54% T
Rural	424 21%	161 28% CE	62 19%	61 24% E	134 15%	169 26% H	140 21% H	115 16%	210 17%	214 26%	135 19%	288 21%	117 19%	307 22%	300 21%	109 19%	210 6 23%	214 5 19%	44 5 13%	37 15%	319 26% ST
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 6 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%
Proportions/Means: Column	s Tested (5%	risk level)	- B/C/D/E -	- F/G/H - 1/.	I - K/I - M/I	N - 0/P - 0	/R - S/T/U														

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 65 1 Sep 2023 Table 65

Q2185 Which of the following best describes the area where you live?

		Po	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Urban	563 28%	129 19%	261 36% BD	152 27% B	267 29%	297 26%
Suburban	1054 52%	360 54%	371 51%	283 50%	471 51%	583 52%
Rural	424 21%	180 27% C	92 13%	128 23%	178 19%	246 22%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 66 1 Sep 2023 Table 66

Q1903 Changing topics...Regardless of how you may vote, what do you usually consider yourself?

Base: All Respondents

		Geno	Gender			Age				F	emale Age				N	Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Democrat	725 36%	393 38% C	318 32%	206 35%	123 35%	129 40%	115 34%	151 34%	99 35%	66 39%	66 42%	64 37%	97 40% R	97 33%	55 32%	62 39% R	51 31%	54 26%	141 40% U	268 34%	131 31%	186 38%
Republican	668 33%	326 32%	340 34%	157 27%	108 31%	97 30%	125 37% D	182 40% DEF	77 27%	53 31%	46 29%	64 37%	86 36%	79 27%	55 32%	51 32%	61 37%	95 47% NOPM	91 26%	290 37% SV	151 36% SV	137 28%
Independent	563 28%	263 26%	290 29%	194 33% FGH	93 27%	80 25%	82 24%	114 25%	87 31%	43 25%	39 25%	37 21%	57 24%	103 36% P	50 29%	39 24%	44 27%	54 26%	108 30% T	188 24%	126 30%	141 29%
Other	85 4%	43 4%	39 4%		24 7% H	14 4% H	15 4% H	3 1%	17 6% M	10 6% M	7 4% M	7 4% M	2 1%	10 4%	14 8% R	7 5% R	7 4% R	1	15 4%	37 5%	13 3%	20 4%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 67 1 Sep 2023 Table 67

Q1903 Changing topics...Regardless of how you may vote, what do you usually consider yourself?

Base: All Respondents

	_		Household	l Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Ow	nership	Marita	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Democrat	725 36%	202 35%	112 35%	93 36%	311 36%	212 32%	222 33%	290 41% FG	449 37%	276 33%	258 37%	466 35%	237 39%	487 34%	503 35%	210 37%	307 33%	418 38%	152 43% U	161 66% SU	337 27%
Republican	668 33%	164 28%	106 33%	87 34%	305 35% B	236 36% H	223 33%		388 32%	280 34%	219 31%	450 33%	201 33%	468 33%	512 36% P	146 26%	370 40% R	299 27%	81 23% T		
Independent	563 28%	181 31% E	87 27%	65 25%	223 26%	177 27%	192 29%	193 27%	332 27%	231 28%	187 27%	376 28%	148 24%	415 29%	363 26%	182 32% O	226 24%	337 30% Q	98 28%	59 24%	340 27%
Other	85 4%	29 5%	13 4%	11 4%	28 3%	35 5% H	33 5% H	17 2%	44 4%	40 5%	34 5%	51 4%	26 4%	59 4%	45 3%	34 6% O	30 3%	55 5%	20 6%	9 4%	50 4%
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 5 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 68 1 Sep 2023 Table 68

Q1903 Changing topics...Regardless of how you may vote, what do you usually consider yourself?

Base: All Respondents

		Ро	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Democrat	725 36%	:	725 100% BD	:	341 37%	384 34%
Republican	668 33%	668 100% CD	:	-	287 31%	381 34%
Independent	563 28%	:	:	563 100% BC	255 28%	308 27%
Other	85 4%	1	:	1	33 4%	52 5%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 69 1 Sep 2023 Table 69

Q2102 Are you the parent/legal guardian of any child/children who is/are...? Please select all that apply.

		Gend	er			Age				F	emale Age				N	Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Parents Of Kids Under 18 (Net)	612 30%	316 31%	291 29%	221 38% GH	215 62% DFGH	123 38% GH	40 12% H	13 3%	125 45% LMN	114 66% IKLM	54 34% LM	17 10% M	6 2%	95 33% QR	100 58% NPQR	67 42% QR	22 14% R	7 3%	107 30%	256 33% V	123 29%	127 26%
2 years old or younger	98 5%	52 5%	45 5%	69 12% EFGH	18 5% FGH	6 2%	1	3 1%	41 15% JKLM	9 5% KLM	:	1 1%	1 1%	28 10% QR	9 5% QR	6 4% QRK	:	1 1%	24 7% UV	47 6% UV	13 3%	14 3%
3-5 years old	151 7%	79 8%	71 7%	90 15% FGH	50 14% FGH	9 3% GH	2 1%	2	49 18% KLM	26 15% KLM	3 2% M	*	2	39 13% PQR	24 14% PQR	6 4% R	2 1%	:	19 5%	64 8%	31 7%	36 8%
6-9 years old	200 10%	120 12% C	77 8%	87 15% FGH	77 22% DFGH	22	7 2%	5 1%	59 21% KLMN	45 26% KLM	10 6% M	4 2%	2 1%	28 10% QR	31 18% NPQR	13 8% QR	3 2%	3 1%	32 9%	79 10%	43 10%	45 9%
10-12 years old	211 10%	97 10%	113 11%	51 9% GH	104 30% DFGH	46 14% DGH	8 2%	3 1%	19 7% M	53 31% IKLM	19 12% LM	4 2%	2 1%	32 11% QR	50 29% NPQR	27 17% QR	4 2%	1	36 10%	86 11%	46 11%	43 9%
13-17 years old	277 14%	136 13%	137 14%	36 6% H	111 32% DGH	94 29% DGH	27 8% H	8 2%	18 6% M	61 35% ILM	44 28% ILM	11	3 1%	18 6%	50 29% NQR	48 30% NQR	17 10% R	5 2%	46 13%	124 16% V	57 14%	50 10%
18 years of age or older	588 29%	337 33% C	246 25%	15 3%	34 10% D	126 39% DE	154 46% DE	259 58% DEFG	10 4%	28 16% IO	76 48% IJP	88 51% IJ	134 55% IJ	4 2%	6 3%	49 31% NO	65 40% NO	122 60% NOPQ	101 29%	237 30%	126 30%	123 25%
I am not the parent/legal guardian of any children.	893 44%	407 40%	466 47% B	334 57% EFGH	111 32%	106 33%	157 47% EF	185 41% EF	142 51% JK	40 23%	46 29%	73 42% JK	106 44% JK	176 61% OPR	71 41% J	59 37%	83 51% PR	77 38%	160 45%	311 40%	187 44%	235 48% T
Decline to answer	31 2%	13 1%	18 2%	18 3% H	4 1%	7 2% H	3 1% H	:	4 1%	4 2% M	4 3% M	1 1%	-	14 5% OR	-	2 2%	2 1%	:	2 1%	12 2%	5 1%	13 3%
Sigma	2449 120%	1242 121%	1173 119%		508 147%	418 130%	361 107%	464 103%	341 122%	265 154%	203 128%	183 106%	249 103%	338 117%	242 140%	209 132%	176 108%	209 103%	421 119%	961 123%	509 121%	558 115%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 70 1 Sep 2023 Table 70

Q2102 Are you the parent/legal guardian of any child/children who is/are...? Please select all that apply.

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under		Home Ow	nership	Marita	l Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Parents Of Kids Under 18 (Net)	612 30%	137 24%	80 25%	96 37% BC	298 34% BC	185 28%	197 29%	230 32%	494 41% J	118 14%	587 84% L	25 2%	612 100% N	:	442 31%	164 29%	354 38% R	258 23%	134 38% U	95 39% U	332 27%
2 years old or younger	98 5%	34 6%	11 4%	13 5%	39 5%	42 6% G	20 3%	36 5%	68 6%	30 4%	92 13% L	6	98 16% N	:	65 5%	33 6%	59 6% R	38 3%	24 7% U	20 8% U	46 4%
3-5 years old	151 7%	36 6%	22 7%	35 14% BCE	59 7%	50 8%	53 8%	49 7%	118 10% J	34 4%	149 21% L	3*	151 25% N	:	100 7%	49 9%	86 9% R	66 6%	31 9%	30 12% U	74 6%
6-9 years old	200 10%	52 9%	22 7%	35 14% C	90 10%	62 9%	66 10%	72 10%	153 13% J	47 6%	194 28% L	6	200 33% N	:	133 9%	64 11%	117 5 13% R	83 7%	42 12%	21 8%	121 10%
10-12 years old	211 10%	33 6%	28 9%	32 13% B	116 13% B	57 9%	66 10%	88 12%	179 15% J	33 4%	205 29% L	6	211 34% N	:	161 11%	48 8%	128 14% R	83 8%	37 11%	36 15% U	115 9%
13-17 years old	277 14%	41 7%	36 11% B	38 15% B	161 19% BC	79 12%	83 12%	114 16%	237 20%	40 5%	266 38% L	11 1%	277 45% N	:	211 15%	64 11%	173 5 19% R	103 9%	50 14%	45 18%	162 13%
18 years of age or older	588 29%	130 23%	101 32% BD	57 22%	297 34% BD	177 27%	179 27%	232 33% FG	256 21%	332 40% I	109 16%	479 36% K	84 14%	505 35% M	62 32%	118 21%	359 39% R	229 21%	54 15%	59 24% S	437 35% ST
I am not the parent/legal guardian of any children.	893 44%	309 54% CDE	146 46% E	109 42%	313 36%	305 46% H	310 46% H	278 39%	502 41%	391 47% I	72 10%	821 61% K	:	893 62% M	558 39%	311 54% O	268 29%	625 56% Q	161 46%	93 38%	524 42%
Decline to answer	31 2%	12 2%	5 2%	1	10 1%	21 3% H	9 1% H	1	17 1%	15 2%	7 1%	25 2%	1	31 29 M	6 21 2%	3 1%	6 5 1%	25 2% Q	10 3% U	9 4% U	10 1%
Sigma	2449 120%	646 112%	372 117%	320 125%	1086 125%	794 120%	786 117%	869 122%	1528 126%	921 111%	1094 157%	1356 101%	1021 167%	1429 100%	1711 6 120%	690 120%	1196 128%	1253 113%	410 117%	313 128%	1488 119%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 71 1 Sep 2023 Table 71

Q2102 Are you the parent/legal guardian of any child/children who is/are...? Please select all that apply.

		Pol	itical Affiliatio	n	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Parents Of Kids Under 18 (Net)	612 30%	201 30%	237 33% D	148 26%	299 33% F	313 28%
2 years old or younger	98 5%	37 6%	35 5%	21 4%	38 4%	60 5%
3-5 years old	151 7%	45 7%	59 8%	39 7%	69 8%	83 7%
6-9 years old	200 10%	74 11%	72 10%	52 9%	95 10%	105 9%
10-12 years old	211 10%	72 11%	86 12%	50 9%	104 11%	107 10%
13-17 years old	277 14%	93 14%	115 16% D	55 10%	141 15%	136 12%
18 years of age or older	588 29%	226 34% CD	194 27%	146 26%	259 28%	329 29%
I am not the parent/legal guardian of any children.	893 44%	253 38%	319 44% B	285 51% BC	389 42%	504 45%
Decline to answer	31 2%	15 2% C	5 1%	6 1%	8 1%	24 2% E
Sigma	2449 120%	816 122%	886 122%	652 116%	1103 120%	1347 120%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 72 1 Sep 2023 Table 72

Q2146 What is the highest level of education you have completed or the highest degree you have received?

Base: All Respondents

		Gend	ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	fale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
HS Or Less (Net)	660 32%	348 34%	301 31%	260 44% EFGH	106 31%	89 28%	87 26%	118 26%	112 40% M	55 32%	51 32%	56 32% Q	73 30% R	140 48% OPQR	49 28%	38 24%	31 19%	43 21%	114 32%	273 35% V	138 33%	135 28%
Less than high school	43 2%	30 3% C	12 1%	13 2%	3 1%	10 3% H	15 4% EH	2 1%	6 2%	1 1%	9 6% JMP	14 8% IJMQ	2	7 2%	2 1%	1 1%	1	1 1%	2 1%	23 3% S	6 1%	12 3%
Completed some high school	15 <u>2</u> 7%	80 8%	68 7%	77 13% FGH	29 8% GH	23 7% GH		14 3%	31 11% LM	17 10% LM	15 9% M	6 4%	10 4%	42 15% OPQR	12 7% Q	8 5%	2 1%	4 2%	24 7%	80 10% UV	18 4%	30 6%
High school graduate or equivalent (e.g., GED)	393 19%	208 20%	180 18%	151 26% FGH	67 19%	43 13%	51 15%	81 18%	68 24% K	32 19%	23 14%	30 17%	55 23% R	79 27% PQR	35 20%	20 13%	21 13%	26 13%	79 22% V	149 19% V	97 23% V	67 14%
Job-specific training programs after high school	72 4%	30 3%	41 4%	18 3%	7 2%	14 4%	13 4%	20 5%	7 2%	4 2%	5 3%	6 3%	9 4%	12 4%	1 1%	9 5%	8 5%	12 6%	10 3%	20 3%	16 4%	26 5%
Some College (Net)	670 33%	357 35%	301 31%	194 33%	114 33%	102 32%	116 34%	144 32%	106 38%	65 37%	45 28%	58 34%	83 34%	82 28%	50 29%	55 35%	56 35%	58 28%	95 27%	254 32%	142 34%	180 37% S
Completed some college, but no degree	381 19%	203 20%	172 17%	102 17%	57 17%	59 18%	74 22%	89 20%	61 22%	31 18%	31 20%	29 17%	51 21%	39 13%	27 15%	28 18%	43 27% NORL	35 17%	64 18%	129 16%	91 22%	97 20%
Associate's degree	290 14%	154 15%	129 13%	92 16%	57 16%	43 13%	42 13%	56 12%	45 16%	34 20% K	14 9%	29 17% KQ	32 13%	43 15%	23 13%	27 17% QK	13 8%	23 11%	31 9%	125 16%	51 12%	83 17% S
College Grad+ (Net)	711 35%	321 31%	384 39% B	132 23%	126 36% D	130 40% D	134 40% D	189 42% D	62 22%	52 30%	63 39%	58 34%	86 35%	66 23%	74 43% NJ	66 41% N	75 46% NL	102 50% NM	145 41% T	255 33%	141 34%	169 35%
College graduate (e.g., B.A., A.B., B.S.)	391 19%	179 17%	212 21% B	83 14%	75 22% D	73 23% D	76 23% D	84 19%	36 13%	32 19%	36 22%	37 22%	38 16%	47 16%	43 25%	37	39 24%	46 23%	81 2 <u>3</u> %	131 17%	84 20%	96 20%
Completed some graduate school, but no degree	46 2%	23 2%	23 2%	8 1%	3 1%	4 1%	9 3%	23 5% DEF	6 2%	*	1 1%	3 1%	14 6% JKL	2 1%	3 2%	3 2%	6 4%	9 4% N	7 2%	24 3%	6 2%	9 2%
Completed graduate school (e.g., M.S., M.D., Ph.D.)	274 13%	119 12%	149 15% B	41 7%	48 14% D	53 17% D	49 15% D	82 18% D	20 7%	20 12%	26 16%	18 11%	34 14%	17 6%	28 16% N	26 16% N	30 19% N	47 23% NM	57 16%	101 13%	51 12%	64 13%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used. Page 73 1 Sep 2023 Table 73

Q2146 What is the highest level of education you have completed or the highest degree you have received?

Base: All Respondents

	_		Household	l Income		1	Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under		Home Own	nership	Marital	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
_	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes		Homeowner	Renter	Married		Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
HS Or Less (Net)	660 32%	320 56% CDE	116 36% E	75 29% E	140 16%	660 100% GH	:	:	336 28%	324 39%	229 33%	431 32%	185 30%	475 33%		0	212 23%	448 40% Q	150 43%	110 45% U	354 28%
Less than high school	43 2%	39 7% CDE	1	1	3	43 7% GH	:	:	13 1%	30 4% 1	9 1%	34 3%	8 1%	35 2%	22 2%	17 3%		Q	14	10 4% U	16 1%
Completed some high school	152 7%	80 14% CDE	16 5%	16 6%	34 4%	152 23% GH	2	-	71 6%	81 10%	66 9%	85 6%	40 7%	111 8%	61 4%	80 14% O	40 4%	112 10% Q	46 13%	30 12% U	60 5%
High school graduate or equivalent (e.g., GED)	393 19%	177 31% DE	88 28% DE	43 17% E	82 9%	393	2	:	215 18%	178 21%	127 18%	266 20%	112 18%	281 20%	229 16%	155 27% O	129 14%	264 24% Q	72	65 26%	234 19%
Job-specific training programs after high school	72 4%	24 4%	11 3%	16 6% E	21 2%	72 11% GH	2	2	37 3%	35 4%	26 4%	46 3%	25 4%	48 3%	53 4%	18 3%	34 4%	38 3%	18 5%	6 3%	44 4%
Some College (Net)	670 33%	191 33%	116 37%		263 30%		670 100% FH	:	400 33%	270 33%	225 32%	445 33%	197 32%	473 33%	470 33%	189 33%	300 32%	371 33%	129 37%	78 32%	405 33%
Completed some college, but no degree	381 19%	116 20% E	67 21% E	58 23% E	132 15%	:	381 57% FH	:	218 18%	163 20%	115 16%	266 20%	94 15%	287 20% M	247 17%	128 22% O	165 18%	215 19%	68 19%	36 15%	245 20%
Associate's degree	290 14%	75 13%	49 15%	34 13%	131 15%	:	290 43% FH	:	182 15%	107 13%	111 16%	179 13%	104 17%	186 13%	223 16%	62 11%		155 14%	61 17%	42 17%	160 13%
College Grad+ (Net)	711 35%	65 11%	86 27% B	90 35% B	465 54% BCD	:	Ē	711 100% FG	477 39% J	233 28%	243 35%	467 35%	230 38%	480 34%	587 41% P	114 20%	421 45% R	290 26%	71 20%	57 23%	487 39% ST
College graduate (e.g., B.A., A.B., B.S.)	391 19%	36 6%	55 17% B	61 24% B	237 27% BC	:	2	391 55% FG	280 23%	111 13%	135 19%	256 19%	129 21%	262 18%	310 22% P	75 13%		180 16%	36 10%	33 13%	268 21% ST
Completed some graduate school, but no degree	46 2%	8 1%	6 2%	4 2%	28 3% B	:	1	46 6% FG	19 2%	27 3%	13 2%	33 2%	11 2%	35 2%	34 2%	10 2%	28 3%	18 2%	7 2%	4 2%	
Completed graduate school (e.g., M.S., M.D., Ph.D.)	274 13%	21 4%	25 8% B	25 10% B	200 23% BCD	:	:	274 39% FG	178 15%	96 12%	95 14%	179 13%	91 15%	183 13%	244 17%	28 5%	182 19% R	92 8%	28 8%	20 8%	184 15% ST
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%		1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%		1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 74 1 Sep 2023 Table 74

Base: All Respondents

Q2146 What is the highest level of education you have completed or the highest degree you have received?

		Pol	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On S	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
HS Or Less (Net)	660 32%	236 35% C	212 29%	177 31%	265 29%	394 35% E
Less than high school	43 2%	14 2%	9 1%	18 3% C	17 2%	27 2%
Completed some high school	152 7%	43 6%	69 9%	34	68 7%	84 7%
High school graduate or equivalent (e.g., GED)	393 19%	156 23% C	111 15%	103 18%	150 16%	243 22% E
Job-specific training programs after high school	72 4%	23 3%	24 3%	22 4%	31 3%	41 4%
Some College (Net)	670 33%	223 33%	222 31%	192 34%	310 34%	360 32%
Completed some college, but no degree	381 19%	144 22% C	112 15%	101 18%	167 18%	214 19%
Associate's degree	290 14%	78 12%	110 15%	92 16% B	143 16%	147 13%
College Grad+ (Net)	711 35%	210 31%	290 40% B	193 34%	341 37%	370 33%
College graduate (e.g., B.A., A.B., B.S.)	391 19%	127 19%	151 21%	107 19%	190 21%	201 18%
Completed some graduate school, but no degree	46 2%	15 2%	19 3%	9 2%	24 3%	22 2%
Completed graduate school (e.g., M.S., M.D., Ph.D.)	274 13%	69 10%	121 17% B	77 14%	126 14%	147 13%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used.

Page 75 1 Sep 2023 Table 75

Q2149 What is your employment status? Please select all that apply.

Base: All Respondents

		Gend	ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	Iale Age				Regi	on	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Employed (Net)	1213 59%		649 66% B	GH	275 79% DGH	241 75% GH	194 58% H	80 18%	190 68% LM	123 72% LM	112 71% LM	89 51% M	36 15%	223 77% QR	152 88% NQRJ	128 81% QR	104 64% RL	43 21%	213 60%	467 60%	263 62%	270 56%
Employed full time	922 45%	395 38%	520 53% B	311 53% GH	230 66% DGH	196 61% DGH	149 44% H	36 8%	133 48% M	95 55% LM	86 54% LM	67 39% M	13 5%	173 60% RI	135 78% NQRJ	109 69% QRK	82 50% R	22 11% M	164 46%	356 46%	210 50% V	193 40%
Employed part time	164 8%	99 10% C	60 6%		23 7%	13 4%		23 5%	44 16% KLM	19 11% KO	7 4%	12 7%	17 7% R		4 2%	6 4%	7 4%	6 3%	29 8%	55 7%	33 8%	47 10%
Self-employed	155 8%	69 7%	85 9%	43 7%	27 8%	35 11% H	28 8%	23 5%	17 6%	13 7%	21 13% IM	11 7%	7 3%	25 9%	14 8%	13 8%	16 10%	15 8% M	26 7%	73 9% U	23 5%	34 7%
Not employed, but looking for work	100 5%	46 4%	52 5%	44 7% H	19 5% H	18 6% H	17 5% H	3 1%	16 6% M	9 5% M	8 5% M	12 7% M	1	25 8% QR	10 6% R	11 7% R	5 3%	2 1%	18 5%	33 4%	24 6%	25 5%
Not employed and not looking for work	44 2%	28 3% C	12 1%	27 5% GH	6 2% H	7 2% H	4 1% H	*	14 5% M	3 2%	7 4% MP	4 2% M	*	10 4% QR	1 1%	1	2	:	8 2%	13 2%	5 1%	18 4% U
Not employed, unable to work due to a disability or illness	84 4%	42 4%	40 4%			26 8% DEH	29 9% DEH	5 1%	10 4% M	3 2%	12 8% JM	15 9% JM	2 1%	4 1%	5 3%	14 9% NR	14 8% NR	3 2%	24 7% U	32 4%	10 2%	18 4%
Retired	461 23%	241 23%	216 22%	8 1%	10 3%	7 2%	75 22% DEF	361 80% DEFG	1	5 3% 1	4 2%	33 19% IJK	199 82% IJKL	7 3%	5 3%	4 2%	42 26% NOP	158 78% NOPQ	73 21%	172 22%	98 23%	118 24%
Student	86 4%	49 5%	34 3%	76 13% EFGH	6 2%	1	1	1	41 15% JKLM	4 2%	1 1%	1	1 1%	31	3 2%	:	-	-	17 5%	31 4%	13 3%	26 5%
Homemaker	124 6%	114 11% C	8 1%	31	31 9% H	27 8% H	25 8% H	9 2%	30 11% MN	31 18% MO	20 12% MP	24 14% MQ	9 4% R	1	:	6 4% NOR	2 1%	:	14 4%	59 8%	23 6%	28 6%
Sigma	2142 105%	1082	1028 104%	642 110%	360 104%	331 103%	348 103%	461 102%	308 110%	181 105%	165 104%	180 104%	249 103%	314 109%	178 103%	163 102%	166 102%	207 102%	372 105%	824 105%	439 104%	506 105%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 76 1 Sep 2023 Table 76

Q2149 What is your employment status? Please select all that apply.

Base: All Respondents

			Household	Income			Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under		Home Ow	nership	Marita	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ity
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Employed (Net)	1213 59%	249 43%	191 60% B	166 65% B	596 69% BC	336 51%	400 60% F	477 67% FG	1213 100%	:	535 77%	678 50%	494 81% N	719 50%	855 60%	343 60%	545 58%	668 60%	220 63%	161 66% U	701 56%
Employed full time	922 45%	156 27%	133 42% B	135 53% BC	495	226 34%	303 45% F	393	922 76%	1	438 63%	484 36%	416 68% N	506 35%	669 47%	246 43%	439 47%	483 44%	172 49%	133 54% U	519 42%
Employed part time	164 8%	59 10% E	38 12% E	18 7%	43	72 11% H	55 8% H	37	164 14%	:	59 8%	106 8%	46 7%	119 8%	102 5 7%	56 10%	55 6%	109 10%	30 9%	20 8%	100
Self-employed	155 8%		21 7%	19 7%	67 8%	49 7%		56 8%	155 13%	2	52 8%	103 8%	46 8%	109 8%	103 5 7%	50 9%	58 6%	97 9%	23 6%	16 6%	97 89
Not employed, but looking for work	100 5%	64 11% CDE	13 4% D	2 1%	20 2%	54 8% GH	28 4%	19 3%	-	100 12%	25 4%	76 6%	19 3%	81 6% M	42 3%	52 9% O	20 2%	81 7% Q	20 6%	19 8% U	51 49
Not employed and not looking for work	44 2%			1	10 1%		10 1%	4 1%	:	44 5%	16 2%	28 2%	7 1%	37 3%	19 5 1%		3	41 4% Q	18 5% U	10 4% U	13 19
Not employed, unable to work due to a disability or illness	84 4%	54 9% CDE	6 2%	6 2%	18 2%		33 5% H	4 1%	:	84 10%	11 2%	74 5% K	11 2%	73 5% M	44 3%	35 6%	23 2%	61 6%	16	10 4%	53 49
Retired	461 23%		90 28% E	69 27% E	169 19%				7 1%	454 55%	30 4%	431 32% K		443 31% M	382 27%	74 13%	263 28% R	199 18%	40 11%	30 12%	360 299 ST
Student	86 4%	25 4%	9 3%	6 2%		31 5% H	41 6% H		32 3%	55 7%	36 5%	51 4%	8 1%	78 5% M	51 51 4%	34 6%		78 7% Q	39 11% TU	11 4% U	21
Homemaker	124 6%	43 8%	17 5%	18 7%	44 5%			25 3%	5	118 14%	69 10%	55 4%	68 11% N	55 4%	84 6%	•	91 10%	_		-	82 79
Sigma	2142 105%	607 105%	329 103%	274 107%	906 104%			735 103%	1286 106%	856 103%	735 105%	1407 105%	641 105%	1501 105%	1495 5 105%	599 105%	961 5 103%	1181 107%	368 105%	261 107%	1296 1049

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 77 1 Sep 2023 Table 77

Base: All Respondents

Q2149 What is your employment status? Please select all that apply.

		Po	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On Sa	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Employed (Net)	1213 59%	388 58%	449 62%	332 59%	565 62%	648 58%
Employed full time	922 45%	293 44%	344 48%	254 45%	441 48% F	481 43%
Employed part time	164 8%	48 7%	62 9%	45 8%	58 6%	106 9% E
Self-employed	155 8%	53 8%	52 7%	41 7%	76 8%	79 7%
Not employed, but looking for work	100 5%	19 3%	31 4%	В	39 4%	61 5%
Not employed and not looking for work	44 2%	4 1%	15 2%	19 3% B	18 2%	26 2%
Not employed, unable to work due to a disability or illness	84 4%	22 3%	33 5%	18	34 4%	50 4%
Retired	461 23%	185 28% CD	158 22%	114 20%	203 22%	259 23%
Student	86 4%	18 3%	33 5%	28 5%	45 5%	41 4%
Homemaker	124 6%	52 8% C	26 4%	38 7% C	42 5%	81 7% E
Sigma	2142 105%	695 104%	755 104%	594	957 105%	1184 105%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 78 1 Sep 2023 Table 78

Q2157 Which of the following income categories best describes your total household income before taxes last year?

Base: All Respondents

		Gend	ler			Age				F	emale Age				N	Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Less Than \$50K (Net)	576 28%	298 29%	265 27%	206 35% EFGH	87 25%	82 26%	85 25%	117 26%	96 34%	38 22%	44 28%	49 29%	70 29%	102 35% PQR	47 27%	38 24%	35 21%	43 21%	80 23%	265 34% SUV	114 27%	117 24%
Less than \$15,000	131 6%	66 6%	60 6%		16 5% H		н	6 1%	29 10% M	8 5% M	13 8% M	14 8% MQ	1 1%	40 14% OPQR	8 4%	8 5% Q	1 1%	4 2%	17 5%	64 8% U		
\$15,000 to \$24,999	118 6%	64 6%	52 5%	26 4%	17 5%	24 8%	20 6%	31 7%	13 5%	7 4%	14 9% L	5 3%	24 10% IJLR	11 4%	9 5%	10 6%	14 9% RL	7 4%	20 6%	54 7% V	28 7%	17 4%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	129 6%	60 6%	66 7%	50 9% F	22 6%	10 3%	18 5%	28 6%	24 9% K	11 7% K	1 1%	8 5% K	15 6% K	25 9%	11 6%	9 6% K	10 6%	12 6%	13 4%	58 7% S	29 7%	29 6%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	198 10%	109 11%	87 9%	57 10%	32 9%	27 8%	31 9%	51 11%	29 11%	12 7%	16 10%	22 13% Q	30 12%	27 9%	20 11%	11 7%	9 6%	20 10%	30 8%	89 11%	38 9%	41 8%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	319 16%	163 16%	152 15%	95 16%	46 13%	35 11%	52 16%	90 20% EF	48 17%	27 16%	16 10%	25 14%	47 19% K	44 15%	19 11%	19 12%	28 17%	42 21% OP	47 13%	129 16%	71 17%	72 15%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	256 13%	135 13%	120 12%	70 12%	55 16%	34 10%	34 10%	64 14%	33 12%	36 21% IKLO	17 10%	15 9%	35 14%	36 12%	19 11%	17 11%	19 12%	30 15%	53 15%	88 11%	54 13%	62 13%
\$100K+ (Net)	868 43%	419 41%	439 45%	207 35%	159 46% D	165 51% DH	162 48% DH	174 39%	100 36%	70 41%	79 50% IM	82 48% IM	88 36%	102 35%	89 51% N	82 52% N	79 49% N	87 43%	171 4 <u>8</u> % T	292 37%	177 42%	227 47% T
\$100,000 to \$124,999	319 16%	155 15%	159 16%	83 14%	58 17%	47 15%		75 17%	44 16%	29 17%	19 12%	26 15%	38 16%	35 12%	29 17%	28 18%	30 18%	37 18%	67 19%	117 15%	72 17%	62 13%
\$125,000 to \$149,999	207 10%	91 9%	114 12%	32 5%	39 11% D	51 16% DH	43 13% D	43 10% D	8 3%	17 10%	26 16% IM	18 11%	23 9%	24 8%	22 13%	23 15%	24 15%	20 10%	34 10%	58 7%	50 1 <u>2</u> %	66 1 <u>4</u> %
\$150,000 to \$199,999	188 9%	96 9%	91 9%	43 7%	34 10%	32 10%	39 12%	40 9%	23 8%	14 8%	15 10%	27 16% IJMQ	17 7%	20 7%	20 12%	16 10%	12 7%	23 11%	38 11% U	65 8%	26 6%	59 12%
\$200,000 to \$249,999	69 3%	37 4%	32 3%	17 3%	19 5% H	17 5% H	8 2%	8 2%	6 2%	9 5%	14 9% ILMP	2 1%	6 2%	12 4%	9 5% R	3 2%	6 4%	2 1%	14 4%	21 3%	14 3%	19 4%
\$250,000 or more	85 4%	40 4%	43 4%	31 5% H		18 6% H	16 5% H	9 2%	19 7% JM	2 1%	6 4%	8 5%	5 2%	12 4%		11 7% R	8 5%	4 2%	17 5%	31 4%	15 4%	21 4%
Decline to answer	22 1%	11 1%	10 1%		:	6 2% E	3 1%	5 1%	3 1%	:	3 2%	2 1%	3 1%	4 1%	Ξ	3 2%	1 1%	1 1%	4 1%	8 1%	4 1%	6 1%
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%		450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used. Page 79

1 Sep 2023 Table 79

Q2157 Which of the following income categories best describes your total household income before taxes last year?

Base: All Respondents

	-		Househol	d Income		I	Education		Emplo	yed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Own	nership	Marital	Status	R	ace/Ethnici	ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Less Than \$50K (Net)	576 28%	576 100% CDE	:	:	:	320 48% GH	191 29% H	65 9%	249 21%	327 39%	157 22%	419 31% K	137 22%	439 31% M	292 21%	260 45% O	115 12%	461 42% Q	131 37% U	88 36% U	311 25%
Less than \$15,000	131 6%	131 23% CDE	:	1	:	97 15% GH	29 4% H	5 1%	30 2%	101 12%	47 7%	83 6%	31 5%	99 7%	49	76 13% O	10	120	42	32 13% U	45 4%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	118 6%	118 21% CDE	:	1	2	61 9% H	46 7% H	12 2%	37 3%	82 10%	20 3%	99 7% K	20 3%	98 7% M	57 4%	52 9% O	18 2%	101 9% Q	25 7%	12 5%	74 6%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	129 6%	129 22% CDE	:	1	:	75 11% GH	36 5% H	17 2%	71 6%	57 7%	35 5%	94 7%	34 6%	94 7%	68 5%		30			19 8%	74 6%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	198 10%	198 34% CDE	:	2	:	87 13% H	81 12% H	31 4%	111 9%	87 11%	55 8%	143 11%	51 8%	147 10%	119 8%	75 13%	57		37	25 10%	118 9%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	319 16%	:	319 100% BDE	1	:	116 18% H	116 17% H	86 12%	191 16%	128 15%	86 12%	233 17% K	80 13%	239 17%	207 5 15%	109 19% O	125 13%		59 17%	46 19%	196 16%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	256 13%	:	-	256 100% BCE	:	75 11%	92 14%	90 13%	166 14%	90 11%	106 15% L	151 11%	96 16% N	160 11%	179 5 13%		143 15% R		41 12%	32 13%	162 13%
\$100K+ (Net)	868 43%	:	:	1	868 100% BCD	140 21%	263 39%	465 65% FG	596 49%	272 33%	344 49%	524 39%	298 49% N	570 40%	732 51% P	128 22%		323 29%	116 33%	74 30%	568 46% ST
\$100,000 to \$124,999	319 16%	:	:	1	319 37% BCD	51 8%	110 16% F	157 22% FG	203 17%	116 14%	124 18%	194 14%	110 18%	208 15%		53 9%	190 20% R	129 12%	51 1 <u>5</u> %	17 7%	214 17%
\$125,000 to \$149,999	207 10%	:	:	2	207 24% BCD	39 6%	64	104 15% FG	141 12% J	66 8%	81 12%	127 9%	68 11%	139 10%	172	32 6%	136 15% R	71		16 6%	143 11%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	188 9%	:	:	1	188 22% BCD	32 5%	59 9% F		137 11%	51 6%	68 10%	121 9%	63 10%	126 9%	163 5 1 <u>1</u> %	23 4%		60	24 7%	20 8%	120 10%
\$200,000 to \$249,999	69 3%	:	:	1	69 BCD	11 2%			53 4%	15 2%	33 5% L	35 3%	33 5% N	36 3%	58 58 4%	11 2%		20 2%	7 2%	11 4%	38 3%
\$250,000 or more	85 4%	:	:	1	85 10% BCD	8 1%	20 3%	57 57 FG	61 5%	23 3%	38 5%	47 3%	23 4%	61 4%	76 5%	8 1%	42		5 1%	11 4%	53 4% S
Decline to answer	22 1%	Ξ	:	2		9 1%	8 1%	5 1%	11 1%	12 1%	6 1%	17 1%	2*	21 1% M			5 1%	17 2%	4 1%	4 2%	
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 5 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used. Page 80

1 Sep 2023 Table 80

Base: All Respondents

Q2157 Which of the following income categories best describes your total household income before taxes last year?

		Pol	litical Affiliatio	n	Stance On Sa	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Less Than \$50K (Net)	576 28%	164 24%	202 28%	181 32% B	231 25%	345 31% E
Less than \$15,000	131 6%	29 4%	40 5%	55 10% BC	52 6%	79 7%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	118 6%	34 5%	45 6%	31 6%	52 6%	67 6%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	129 6%	36 5%	47 7%	41 7%	51 6%	78 7%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	198 10%	65 10%	70 10%	53 9%	77 8%	121 11%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	319 16%	106 16%	112 15%	87 16%	126 14%	193 17%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	256 13%	87 13%	93 13%	65 12%	118 13%	138 12%
\$100K+ (Net)	868 43%	305 46%	311 43%	223 40%	431 47% F	437 39%
\$100,000 to \$124,999	319 16%	133 20% CD	102 14%	76 14%	154 17%	165 15%
\$125,000 to \$149,999	207 10%	81 12% C	56 8%	58 10%	95 10%	112 10%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	188 9%	57 8%	83 11%	44 8%	101 11% F	87 8%
\$200,000 to \$249,999	69 3%	16 2%	30 4%	22 4%	42 5% F	27 2%
\$250,000 or more	85 4%	19 3%	40 6% B	23 4%	39 4%	46 4%
Decline to answer	22 1%	7 1%	7 1%	6 1%	10 1%	13 1%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 81

1 Sep 2023 Table 81

netRegionUS Census Region (US Net)

Base: All Respondents

		Gen	ler			Age				F	emale Age				Ν	/Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
Northeast	354 17%	173 17%	179 18%	96 16%	65 19%	62 19%	57 17%	74 16%	46 16%	34 20%	27 17%	27 16%	39 16%	50 17%	30 17%	35 22%	29 18%	35 17%	354 100% TUV	Ξ	Ē	:
Midwest	421 21%	222 22%	191 19%	106 18%	81 23%	71 22%	73 22%	90 20%	56 20%	37 22%	38 24%	35 20%	55 23%	45 16%	44 25% N	31 20%	37 23%	34 17%	2	2	421 100% STV	2
South	782 38%	394 38%	375 38%	239 41%	133 38%	118 37%	116 34%	177 39%	105 38%	65 38%	65 41%	63 36%	95 39%	125 43% P	68 39%	50 32%	53 32%	78 39%	1	782 100% SUV	-	:
West	484 24%	237 23%	242 25%	145 25%	68 20%	70 22%	91 27% E	110 24%	72 26%	36 21%	28 18%	48 28%	53 22%	69 24%	32 18%	42 26%	43 26%	56 28%	1	:	÷	484 100% STU
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 82 1 Sep 2023 Table 82

netRegionUS Census Region (US Net)

Base: All Respondents

			Househol	d Income			Education		Emplo	oyed	Children	in HH	Parent of Under	Child 18	Home Ow	nership	Marital	Status	R	Race/Ethnici	ity
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
Northeast	354 17%	80 14%	47 15%	53 21%	171 20%	114 17%	95 14%	145 20%	213 18%	141 17%	117 17%	237 18%	107 17%	248 17%	249 17%	98 17%	157 5 17%	197 18%	55 16%	34 14%	227 18%
Midwest	421 21%	114 20%	71 22%	54 21%	177 20%	138 21%	142 21%	141	263 22%	158 19%	146 21%	275 20%	123 20%	298 21%	287 20%	128 22%	204 22%	217 20%	40 11%	45 18%	307 25%
South	782 38%	265 46% DE	129 40%	88 34%	292 34%	273 41%	254 38%	255 36%	467 39%	315 38%	280 40%	502 37%	256 42%	526 37%	556 39%	212 37%	363 39%	419 38%	118 34%	139 57% SU	459 37%
West	484 24%		72	62 24%	227 26% B	135 21%	180 27% F	169 24%	270 22%	214 26%	154 22%	330 25%	127 21%	358 25%	331 23%	135 24%	209 5 22%	275 25%	137 39% TU	27	
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%
Proportions/Means: Columns Overlap formulae used.	Tested (5%	risk level)	- B/C/D/E	- F/G/H - 1/	J - K/L - M/I	N - O/P - Q	/R - S/T/U														

Page 83 1 Sep 2023 Table 83

Base: All Respondents

netRegionUS Census Region (US Net)

		Po	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On Sa	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
Northeast	354 17%	91 14%	141 19% B	В	146 16%	208 19%
Midwest	421 21%	151 23%	131 18%	126 22%	178 19%	243 22%
South	782 38%	290 43% CD	268 37%		371 41%	411 37%
West	484 24%	137 20%	186 26%	141 25%	221 24%	263 23%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used. Page 84 1 Sep 2023 Table 84

NET2RACEMUS Race-Multi (US Net 2 for RPR)

Base: All Respondents

		Geno	ler			Age				F	emale Age				Ν	Iale Age				Reg	ion	
	Total	Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North- east	South	Mid- west	West
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	1058 1025	954 986	468 586	334 347	339 321	364 337	536 450	235 280	170 172	168 158	187 173	298 242	217 289	163 173	168 159	174 162	232 203	409 354	810 782	413 421	409 484
White Only (Not Hispanic)	1246 61%	642 63%	588 60%	220 37%	206 59% D	194 61% D	262 78% DEF	364 81% DEF	130 47% N	94 55%	96 61% I	130 75% IJK	192 79% IJK	82 28%	112 64% N	95 60% N	131 80% NOP	168 83% NOP	227 64% V	459 59%	307 73% STV	252 52%
Hispanic	350 17%	163 16%	180 18%	192 33% EFGH	56 16% GH	44 14% H	29 9%	29 6%	83 30% JKLM	25 15% M	20 13%	16 9%	18 8%	103 36% OPQR	29 17% QR	24 15% R			55 16% U	118 15% U	40 10%	137 28% STU
Black Only (Not Hispanic)	245 12%	120 12%	123 13%	101 17% GH	39 11% H	47 15% GH	29 9%	29 6%	31 11%	24 14%	26 16% M	20 12%	19 8%	69 24% OPQRI	15 9%	21 13% QR	9 6%	9 5%	34 10%	139 18% SUV	45 11% V	27 6%
All Others	200 10%	99 10%	95 10%	73 13% GH	46 13% GH			29 6%	35 13% LM	28 16% LM	16 10% L	7 4%	12 5%			19 12%	9 6%	15 7%	38 11%			68 14% TU
Sigma	2041 100%	1025 100%	986 100%	586 100%	347 100%	321 100%	337 100%	450 100%	280 100%	172 100%	158 100%	173 100%	242 100%	289 100%	173 100%	159 100%	162 100%	203 100%	354 100%	782 100%	421 100%	484 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V Overlap formulae used.

Page 85 1 Sep 2023 Table 85

NET2RACEMUS Race-Multi (US Net 2 for RPR)

Base: All Respondents

	_	Household Income				Education			Employed		Children in HH		Parent of Child Under 18		Home Ownership		Marital Status		Race/Ethnicity		ty
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K \$74.9K	\$75K \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not His- panic)	White (Not His- panic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	2041 2041	652 576	368 319	209 256	789 868	565 660	594 670	882 711	1175 1213	866 828	608 697	1433 1344	556 612	1485 1429	1452 1423	550 573	1036 933	1005 1108	249 350	259 245	1374 1246
White Only (Not Hispanic)	1246 61%	311 54%	196 61% B	162 63% B	568 65% B	354 54%	405 60% F	487 68% FG	701 58%	545 66%	367 53%	879 65% K	332 54%	914 64% M	939 66%	283 49%	677 73% R	569 51%	, <u>-</u>	:	1246 100% ST
Hispanic	350 17%	131 23% E	59 18% E	41 16%	116 13%	150 23% H	129 19% H	71 10%	220 18%	130 16%	150 21% L	201 15%	134 22% N	217 15%	206 15%	134 23% O	106 11%	245 22%	350 100% TU	. :	Ξ
Black Only (Not Hispanic)	245 12%	88 15% E	46 15% E	32 13%	74 9%	110 17% GH	78 12% H	57 8%	161 13%	84 10%	109 16%	136 10%	95 16% N	150 10%	130 9%	107 19% O	64 7%	181 16% Q	, - -	245 100% SU	, I
All Others	200 10%	46 8%	18 6%	21 8%	109 13% BC	46 7%	58 9%	96 14% FG	130 11%	70 8%	72 10%	128 10%	51 8%	148 10%	147 5 10%	48 8%	86 9%	114 10%	, - -	:	:
Sigma	2041 100%	576 100%	319 100%	256 100%	868 100%	660 100%	670 100%	711 100%	1213 100%	828 100%	697 100%	1344 100%	612 100%	1429 100%	1423 100%	573 100%	933 100%	1108 100%	350 100%	245 100%	1246 100%
Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U Overlap formulae used.																					

Page 86 1 Sep 2023 Table 86

Base: All Respondents

NET2RACEMUS Race-Multi (US Net 2 for RPR)

Page	87

1 Sep 2023 Table 87

		Pol	litical Affiliatio	on	Stance On Sa	audi Deal
	Total	Republican	Democrat	Independent	Support	Oppose
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Unweighted Base	2041	650	745	565	899	1142
Weighted Base	2041	668	725	563	916	1125
White Only (Not Hispanic)	1246 61%	520 78% CD	337 46%	340 60% C	549 60%	697 62%
Hispanic	350 17%	81 12%	152 21% B	98 17% B	166 18%	185 16%
Black Only (Not Hispanic)	245 12%	17 2%	161 22% BD	59 10% B	111 12%	134 12%
All Others	200 10%	52 8%	76 10%	66 12% B	91 10%	109 10%
Sigma	2041 100%	668 100%	725 100%	563 100%	916 100%	1125 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F Overlap formulae used.

September 2023		
elding Period: August 29 - uincy Institute	31, 20	023
eighted To The U.S. Gene Page T	ral Ac abl <u>e</u>	dult Population - Propensity <u>Title</u>
1 2		Q1 There are currently over 30,000 U.S. soldiers stationed in the Middle East. Do you think this number should increase, stay the same, or decrease? Q1 There are currently over 30,000 U.S. soldiers stationed in the Middle East. Do you think this number should increase, stay the same, or decrease?
3	3	Q1 There are currently over 30,000 U.S. soldiers stationed in the Middle East. Do you think this number should increase, stay the same, or decrease?
4	4	Q2 How much would you support or oppose a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Árabia, in which Saudi Arabia would establish diplomatic relations with Israel in exchange for the U.S. committing American soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia in the event of a war?
5	5	Q2 How much would you support or oppose a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia, in which Saudi Arabia would establish diplomatic relations with Israel in exchange for the U.S. committing American soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia in the event of a war?
6		Q2 How much would you support or oppose a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia, in which Saudi Arabia would establish diplomatic relations with Israel in exchange for the U.S. committing American soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia in the event of a war?
7	7	Q3 The United States is currently pursuing a deal with Saudi Arabia which would normalize relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel. As part of the agreement, the U.S. is reportedly considering offering Saudi Arabia a defense pact in which U.S. soldiers would defend them in the event of war, and the U.S. would provide them with more arms sales and nuclear technology, which could be used for the development of nuclear weapons. Some say this deal is worthwhile if it means Saudi Arabia and Israel establish diplomatic relations, and Saudi Arabia draws closer to the U.S. than to China. While others say the U.S. military should not be deployed to defend the deployed to defend the authoritarian regime in Saudi Arabia.
0		which of the following most closely aligns with your view on this deal?
8	8	Q3 The United States is currently pursuing a deal with Saudi Arabia which would normalize relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel. As part of the agreement, the U.S. is reportedly considering offering Saudi Arabia a defense pact in which U.S. soldiers would defend them in the event of war, and the U.S. would provide them with more arms sales and nuclear technology, which could be development of nuclear weapons. Some say this deal is worthwhile if it means Saudi Arabia and Israel establish diplomatic relations, and Saudi Arabia draws closer to the U.S. than to China. While others say the U.S. military should not engage in more Middle East wars, and that U.S. solutiers should not be deployed to defend the authoritarian regime in Saudi Arabia.
		should not engage in more Middle East wars, and that U.S. soldiers should not be deployed to defend the authoritarian regime in Saudi Arabia. Which of the following most closely aligns with your view on this deal?
9	9	Q3 The United States is currently pursuing a deal with Saudi Arabia which would normalize relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel. As part of the agreement, the U.S. is reportedly considering offering Saudi Arabia a defense pact in which U.S. soldiers would defend them in the event of war, and the U.S. would provide them with more arms sales and nuclear technology, which could be used for the development of nuclear weapons. Some say this deal is worthwhile if it means Saudi Arabia and Israel stablish diplomatic relations, and Saudi Arabia draws closer to the U.S. than to China. While others say the U.S. military should be careful and Israel establish diplomatic relations, and Saudi Arabia draws closer to the U.S. than to China. While others say the U.S. military which U.S. which u.S. which u.S. and the saudi Arabia and Israel establish diplomatic relations, and saudi Arabia draws closer to the U.S. than to China. While others say the U.S. military which of the following most closely aligns with your view on this deal?
		should not engage in more Middle East wars, and that U.S. soldiers should not be deployed to detend the authoritarian regime in Saudi Arabia. Which of the following most closely aligns with your view on this deal?
10		Q4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Very/Somewhat Persuasive
11		Q4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Very/Somewhat Persuasive
12		Q4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Very/Somewhat Persuasive
13		Q4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Not At All/Not Very Persuasive
14		Q4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Not At All/Not Very Persuasive
15		Q4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Not At All/Not Very Persuasive
16		Q4_1 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would prohibit China from building military bases in Saudi Arabia.
17		Q4_1 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would prohibit China from building military bases in Saudi Arabia.
18		Q4_1 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would prohibit China from building military bases in Saudi Arabia.
19		Q4_2 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia would stop driving up oil prices.
20		Q4_2 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia would stop driving up oil prices.
21		Q4_2 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia would stop driving up oil prices.
22		Q4_3 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would give the U.S. an edge against China in the competition for influence in the Middle East.
23	23	Q4_3 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would give the U.S. an edge against China in the competition for influence in the Middle East.
24	24	Q4_3 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would give the U.S. an edge against China in the competition for influence in the Middle East.
25	25	Q4_4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Establishing diplomatic relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel would improve Israeli security.
26		Q4_4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Establishing diplomatic relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel would improve Israeli security.
27		Q4_4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Establishing diplomatic relations between Saudi Arabia and Israel would improve Israeli security.
28	28	Q4_5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Israel and Saudi Arabia would work together to counter Iran's power in the region.
29	29	Q4_5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Israel and Saudi Arabia would work together to counter Iran's power in the region.

1 September 2023 Fielding Period: August 29 - 31, 2023 Guincy Institute Weighted To The U.S. General Adult Population - Propensity Page Table <u>Title</u> 30 30 Q4_5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in support of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Israel and Saudi Arabia would work together to counter Iran's power in the region. Q5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? 31 31 Summary Of Very/Somewhat Persuasive Q5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Very/Somewhat Persuasive 32 32 Q5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Very/Somewhat Persuasive 33 33 34 Q5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Not At All/Not Very Persuasive 34 Q5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Not At All/Not Very Persuasive 35 35 Q5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Summary Of Not At All/Not Very Persuasive 36 36 Q5_1 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would increase the likelihood of U.S. soldiers having to fight in the Middle East. 37 37 38 38 Q5_1 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would increase the likelihood of U.S. soldiers having to fight in the Middle East. 39 39 Q5_1 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would increase the likelihood of U.S. soldiers having to fight in the Middle East. Q5_2 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It could help Saudi Arabia obtain nuclear weapons technology. 40 40 Q5_2 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It could help Saudi Arabia obtain nuclear weapons technology. 41 41 Q5_2 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? 42 42 It could help Saudi Arabia obtain nuclear weapons technology. 43 Q5_3 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? 43 Saudi Arabía has a long record of human rights abuses of their own people and as well as abroad (i.e., outside their country). 44 44 Q5_3 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia has a long record of human rights abuses of their own people and as well as abroad (i.e., outside their country). Q5_3 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia has a long record of human rights abuses of their own people and as well as abroad (i.e., outside their country). 45 45 Q5_4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia beheaded a Washington Post columnist and therefore should not be rewarded with U.S. defense support. 46 46 Q5_4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia beheaded a Washington Post columnist and therefore should not be rewarded with U.S. defense support. 47 47 Q5_4 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? Saudi Arabia beheaded a Washington Post columnist and therefore should not be rewarded with U.S. defense support. 48 48 49 49 Q5_5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would shut Palestinians out of the process of determining their own future. 50 Q5_5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? It would shut Palestinians out of the process of determining their own future. 50 51 Q5_5 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? 51 It would shut Palestinians out of the process of determining their own future. Q5_6 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? The 9/11 terrorist attacks were perpetrated by 15 Saudi nationals and the U.S. should not reward that country with a defense pact. 52 52 53 Q5_6 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? The 9/11 terrorist attacks were perpetrated by 15 Saudi nationals and the U.S. should not reward that country with a defense pact. 53 Q5_6 How persuasive do you find each of the following arguments in opposition of a deal between the U.S. and Saudi Arabia which would commit U.S. soldiers to defend Saudi Arabia? The 9/11 terrorist attacks were perpetrated by 15 Saudi nationals and the U.S. should not reward that country with a defense pact. 54 54 55 55 Q4005 Are you ...? 56 56 Q4005 Are you ...? 57 57 Q4005 Are you ...? 58 58 Q4007 What is your age? 59 59 Q4007 What is your age? 60 60 Q4007 What is your age? 61 Q2145 Which of the following best describes your current primary residence? 61 I/We live in... 62 Q2145 Which of the following best describes your current primary residence? 62

63 63 Q2145 Which of the following best describes your current primary residence? I/We live in...

1	Se	ptember	2023
---	----	---------	------

```
Fielding Period: August 29 - 31, 2023
Quincy Institute
Weighted To The U.S. General Adult Population - Propensity
Page Table Title
```

- 64 Q2185 Which of the following best describes the area where you live?
- 65 65 Q2185 Which of the following best describes the area where you live?
- 66 G6 Q2185 Which of the following best describes the area where you live?
- 67 67 Q1903 Changing topics...Regardless of how you may vote, what do you usually consider yourself?
- 68 G8 Q1903 Changing topics...Regardless of how you may vote, what do you usually consider yourself?
- 69 69 Q1903 Changing topics...Regardless of how you may vote, what do you usually consider yourself?
- 70 Q2102 Are you the parent/legal guardian of any child/children who is/are...? Please select all that apply.
- 71 Q2102 Are you the parent/legal guardian of any child/children who is/are...? Please select all that apply.
- 72 72 Q2102 Are you the parent/legal guardian of any child/children who is/are...? Please select all that apply.
- 73 73 Q2146 What is the highest level of education you have completed or the highest degree you have received?
- 74 74 Q2146 What is the highest level of education you have completed or the highest degree you have received?
- 75 75 Q2146 What is the highest level of education you have completed or the highest degree you have received?
- 76 76 Q2149 What is your employment status? Please select all that apply.
- 77 77 Q2149 What is your employment status? Please select all that apply.
- 78 78 Q2149 What is your employment status? Please select all that apply.
- 79 79 Q2157 Which of the following income categories best describes your total household income before taxes last year?
- 80 Q2157 Which of the following income categories best describes your total household income before taxes last year?
- 81 Q2157 Which of the following income categories best describes your total household income before taxes last year?
- 82 82 netRegionUS Census Region (US Net)
- 83 83 netRegionUS Census Region (US Net)
- 84 84 netRegionUS Census Region (US Net)
- 85 85 NET2RACEMUS Race-Multi (US Net 2 for RPR)
- 86 86 NET2RACEMUS Race-Multi (US Net 2 for RPR)
- 87 87 NET2RACEMUS Race-Multi (US Net 2 for RPR)