

Reimagining Public Safety

Report Settings

Respondents:	Qualified Only
Additional Filter:	None
Table Set:	All
Percentage Base:	Total Answering
Stat Test Levels:	95 / 90 (z-test)

Segment Definitions

Total	n=997
Male	n=467
Female	n=527
Transgender	n=3
Non-binary	n=3
18-24	n=124
25-34	n=155
35-44	n=198
45-54	n=171
55-64	n=164
65+	n=185
Gen Z	n=124
Millennials	n=293
Gen X	n=256
Baby Boomers	n=298
Silent Generation	n=27
White Only (Not Hispanic)	n=550
Hispanic	n=196
Black Only (Not Hispanic)	n=158
Asian Only (Not Hispanic)	n=69
Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic)	n=1
Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic)	n=0
People of Color	n=447
City	n=260
Suburb	n=737
Less than \$50,000	n=249
\$50,000 - \$74,999	n=154
\$75,000 - \$99,999	n=137
\$100,000 or more	n=458
Less than HS	n=76
Some college	n=517
College grad+	n=404
Employed	n=622
Not employed	n=376
Yes	n=370
No	n=627
Married/Living with partner	n=525
Not married	n=473
1 HH member	n=138
2+ HH members	n=859
Friend/Family member of police officer	n=214
Support	n=420
Oppose	n=577
Reform is needed	n=760
Reform is not needed	n=237
Needs to be reduced	n=956
Does not need to be reduced	n=41

Q1: Which of the following do you think is the most important problem in Chicago today?

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Race relations in Chicago are good right now.

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Total (A)

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)
Total	N=227	N=67	N=57

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
Have related issues in Chinese can due to a few bad customs, not systemic issues

	Total (A)	Male
Total	N=227	N=66

Mayor Lori Lightfoot could do more to tackle inequality across Chicago neighborhoods

Total	N=297
-------	-------

Chicago law enforcement needs reform.

Total

Gun violence in Chicago needs to be reduced.

Total

Race relations

Police relations with city residents

Total (Unweighted)

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3a: My trust in the police

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3b: Safety level in my neighborhood

Journalist's Education	2000	2007
0-10	0.00	0.00

© 2006 The Authors
Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd

Median	8.00	7.00
Standard Deviation	2.79	2.94

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Off: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
I would rather the Chicago police spend less time than they currently do in my neighborhood

Total	N=297	N=467
--------------	--------------	--------------

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Gender

	Carbon (C)	Hydrogen (H)	Nitrogen (N)	Phosphorus (P)
Total	N=227	N=467	N=527	N=3

Disagree (Net)	22%	20%
Somewhat disagree	22%	14%

Strongly disagree	2%	7%
-------------------	----	----

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

there is evidence of persistent racial bias in Chicago's policing practices.

	Total (A)	Male (B)
Total	N=297	N=467

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

[illegible]

	Total (N)	Male (N)	Female (N)	Gender	Transgender (N)	Non-binary (N)	18-24 (N)	25-34 (N)	35-44 (N)	Age (N)	55-64 (N)	65+ (N)	Gen Z (N)	Millennials (N)	Generation X (N)	Boomers (N)	Silent Generation (N)	White Only (Post Hispanic) (N)	Hispanic (N)	Black Only (Post Hispanic) (N)	Asian Only (N)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Post Hispanic) (N)	Pacific Islander Only (Post Hispanic) (N)	White American or People of Color (N)
Total	N=907	N=467	N=440		N=27	N=3	N=124	N=134	N=148	N=171	N=104	N=185	N=124	N=104	N=74	N=29	N=27	N=500	N=196	N=154	N=49	N=1	N=447	
Age (unweighted)	62%	60%	62%		60%	46%	57%	60%	61%	59%	76%	86%	57%	60%	69%	64%	73%	50%	51%	40%	70%	51%	73%	
Agree (unweighted)	18%	18%	18%		0%	0%	10%	23%	22%	16%	28%	34%	18%	19%	20%	7%	1%	22%	5%	12%	5%	18%	18%	
Strongly agree	62%	60%	62%		60%	47%	57%	60%	61%	59%	76%	86%	57%	60%	69%	64%	73%	50%	51%	40%	70%	51%	73%	
Disagree (unweighted)	37%	38%	38%		4%	54%	43%	37%	39%	41%	23%	14%	35%	42%	33%	30%	28%	42%	40%	28%	30%	100%	47%	
Strongly disagree	14%	15%	13%		4%	0%	37%	10%	10%	16%	9%	1%	17%	15%	16%	9%	11%	20%	4%	20%	18%	20%	18%	

	N=906	N=815	N=907	N=4	**	N=4	**	N=104	N=100	N=176	N=134	N=173	N=949	N=104	N=212	N=54	N=42	*	N=51	*	N=3	**	N=0	**	N=738	N=250	
Agree (Net)	55%	61% C	50%	77%	5%	57%	44%	58%	58%	64%	63%	52%	50%	56%	54%	57%	47%	63%	F5	52%	S	294%	0%	63%	X	45%	
Strongly agree	10%	19%	13%	0%	3%	4%	18%	F	20%	F4	17%	I	23%	F6	10%	4%	23%	L	17%	L	14%	I	17%	I	20%	S	15%
Somewhat agree	39%	39%	43%	43%	4%	43%	G	56%	42%	43%	43%	43%	43%	43%	43%	43%	43%	43%	S	43%	S	24%	0%	20%	X	11%	
Disagree (Net)	45%	39%	50%	B	23%	51%	91%	50%	47%	J	42%	42%	43%	43%	43%	43%	43%	q	73%	QNT	42%	33%	30%	33%	W	45%	
Somewhat disagree	27%	25%	28%	34%	91%	b	30%	30%	21%	23%	22%	22%	37%	HJ	30%	22%	25%	31%	42%	m	23%	0%	31%	0%	22%	31%	
Strongly disagree	18%	14%	22%	B	30%	21%	k	23%	K	21%	K	20%	k	15%	30%	21%	23%	o	21%	o	13%	13%	13%	14%	24%	w	

	Gender	Age (Net)	Generations	Race/Ethnicity
				White Americans vs. People of Color

[illegible]

Gender										Generations										Race/Ethnicity									
Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	Age (I)(J)	Gen 1 (K)	Gen 2 (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Boomer Generations (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaska Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)							

[illegible][illegible]

	14%	19%	C	30%	77%	56%	38%	11%	41%	K	33%	17%	k	25%	68%	P	41%	oP	12%	P	30%	p	11%	12%		40%	S	27%	53%	CS	13%		32%	37%	
Agree (Net)																																			
Strongly agree	9%	9%		10%	0%	0%	0%	1%	17%	K	22%	K	12%	K	1%	6%	13%	Op	12%	6%	6%	1%	12%												
Slightly agree	24%	20%		24%	20%	24%	20%	24%	20%		24%	20%	24%	20%	24%	20%	24%	20%	24%	20%	24%	20%	24%												
Disagree (Net)	60%	61%	C	70%	B	23%	44%	62%	69%	57%	67%	63%	70%	HJ	62%	59%	68%	70%	m	80%	UAMA	68%	T												
Slightly disagree	71%	72%		42%	B	0%	44%	24%	20%	29%	17%	12%	57%	PGHJ	42%	32%	34%	46%	UAM	68%	42%	T													
Strongly disagree	29%	29%		30%	23%	0%	38%	K	34%	K	28%	30%	k	18%		38%	o	28%	34%	o	24%	28%													

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Total	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only [Not Hispanic] (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only [Not Hispanic] (S)	Asian Only [Not Hispanic] (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only [Not Hispanic] (U)	Pacific Islander Only [Not Hispanic] (V)	White Only [Not Hispanic] (W)	People of Color (X)
100%	100%	50%	50%	0%	0%	18%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	13%	17%	17%	18%	17%	57%	43%	8%	1%	0%	0%	57%	43%

	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%	110%	120%	130%	140%	150%	160%	170%	180%	190%	200%	210%	220%	230%	240%	250%	260%	270%	280%	290%	300%	310%	320%	330%	340%	350%	360%	370%	380%	390%	400%	410%	420%	430%	440%	450%	460%	470%	480%	490%	500%	510%	520%	530%	540%	550%	560%	570%	580%	590%	600%	610%	620%	630%	640%	650%	660%	670%	680%	690%	700%	710%	720%	730%	740%	750%	760%	770%	780%	790%	800%	810%	820%	830%	840%	850%	860%	870%	880%	890%	900%	910%	920%	930%	940%	950%	960%	970%	980%	990%	1000%																																																																																																																																													
Diagnose (Net)	10%	42%	48%	52%	56%	60%	64%	68%	72%	76%	80%	84%	88%	92%	96%	100%	104%	108%	112%	116%	120%	124%	128%	132%	136%	140%	144%	148%	152%	156%	160%	164%	168%	172%	176%	180%	184%	188%	192%	196%	200%	204%	208%	212%	216%	220%	224%	228%	232%	236%	240%	244%	248%	252%	256%	260%	264%	268%	272%	276%	280%	284%	288%	292%	296%	300%	304%	308%	312%	316%	320%	324%	328%	332%	336%	340%	344%	348%	352%	356%	360%	364%	368%	372%	376%	380%	384%	388%	392%	396%	400%	404%	408%	412%	416%	420%	424%	428%	432%	436%	440%	444%	448%	452%	456%	460%	464%	468%	472%	476%	480%	484%	488%	492%	496%	500%	504%	508%	512%	516%	520%	524%	528%	532%	536%	540%	544%	548%	552%	556%	560%	564%	568%	572%	576%	580%	584%	588%	592%	596%	600%	604%	608%	612%	616%	620%	624%	628%	632%	636%	640%	644%	648%	652%	656%	660%	664%	668%	672%	676%	680%	684%	688%	692%	696%	700%	704%	708%	712%	716%	720%	724%	728%	732%	736%	740%	744%	748%	752%	756%	760%	764%	768%	772%	776%	780%	784%	788%	792%	796%	800%	804%	808%	812%	816%	820%	824%	828%	832%	836%	840%	844%	848%	852%	856%	860%	864%	868%	872%	876%	880%	884%	888%	892%	896%	900%	904%	908%	912%	916%	920%	924%	928%	932%	936%	940%	944%	948%	952%	956%	960%	964%	968%	972%	976%	980%	984%	988%	992%	996%	1000%
Strongly agree	10%	42%	48%	52%	56%	60%	64%	68%	72%	76%	80%	84%	88%	92%	96%	100%	104%	108%	112%	116%	120%	124%	128%	132%	136%	140%	144%	148%	152%	156%	160%	164%	168%	172%	176%	180%	184%	188%	192%	196%	200%	204%	208%	212%	216%	220%	224%	228%	232%	236%	240%	244%	248%	252%	256%	260%	264%	268%	272%	276%	280%	284%	288%	292%	296%	300%	304%	308%	312%	316%	320%	324%	328%	332%	336%	340%	344%	348%	352%	356%	360%	364%	368%	372%	376%	380%	384%	388%	392%	396%	400%	404%	408%	412%	416%	420%	424%	428%	432%	436%	440%	444%	448%	452%	456%	460%	464%	468%	472%	476%	480%	484%	488%	492%	496%	500%	504%	508%	512%	516%	520%	524%	528%	532%	536%	540%	544%	548%	552%	556%	560%	564%	568%	572%	576%	580%	584%	588%	592%	596%	600%	604%	608%	612%	616%	620%	624%	628%	632%	636%	640%	644%	648%	652%	656%	660%	664%	668%	672%	676%	680%	684%	688%	692%	696%	700%	704%	708%	712%																																																																								

Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen X (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen Z (N)	Boomer Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)
N=997	N=467	N=528	N=3	N=3	N=124	N=155	N=108	N=171	N=164	N=185	N=214	N=203	N=256	N=208	N=27	N=550	N=136	N=158	N=69	N=1	N=550	N=467	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	Total								
Strongly agree	47%	40%	46%	90%	0%	10%	13%	F	47%	F	52%	FG	63%	FOGK	43%	F	18%	39%	L	51%	LM	40%	L	40%	37%	27%	47%	13%	40%
Somewhat agree	39%	44%	c	34%	0%	9%	39%		37%						j	18%		39%	L	51%	LM	40%	L	40%	37%	27%	47%	13%	40%
Disagree [Yes]	18%	10%	20%	4%	0%	91%	BC	40%	pHIG	28%	HK	19%	JR	12%	5%	8%	40%	MANOP	22%	HO	14%	a	0%	10%	e	13%	q	23%	40%
Somewhat disagree	13%	12%	b	0%	0%	9%	IC	10%	HLJ	20%	IJK	j	0%	4%	20%	10%	NO	10%	O	20%	a	0%	5%	13%	12%	13%	t	11%	30%
Strongly disagree	5%	0%	4%	4%	0%	10%	GKLJ	2%	15%	r	3%	1%	2%	14%	MNQPj	0%	a	2%	1%	5%	13%	12%	13%	12%	13%	13%	Q	6%	W

[illegible][illegible]

Agres [Net]	42%	44%	39%	30%	50%	57%	UK	58%	UK	53%	UK	33%	29%	24%	57%	NO ²	25%	NO ²	38%	o ²	27%	p	10%	15%	43%	58%	Q	48%	30%	40%	W
Strepococcus aureus	12%	17%	17%	4%	3%	29%	K	11%	h/IK	13%	K	11%	6%	23%	NO	24%	NO	17%	o	1%	5%	10%	18%	32%	19%	Q	17%	a	30%	10%	W

Gender	Age (Year)	Occupation	White Americans vs. People of Color
Female	18-24	Student	10%
Female	25-34	Teacher	15%
Female	35-44	Manager	20%
Female	45-54	Professional	25%
Female	55-64	Retired	30%
Female	65+	Homemaker	35%
Male	18-24	Student	12%
Male	25-34	Teacher	18%
Male	35-44	Manager	22%
Male	45-54	Professional	28%
Male	55-64	Retired	32%
Male	65+	Homemaker	38%

Total	N=937	N=467	N=527	N=3	**	N=3	**	N=124	N=155	N=108	N=171	N=164	N=185	N=124	N=203	N=256	N=208	N=27	**	N=550	N=196	N=158	N=447	N=550	N=447	
Total (Unweighted)	N=936	N=385	N=607	N=4	**	N=4	**	N=104	N=160	N=176	N=134	N=173	N=240	N=104	N=288	N=212	N=374	N=42	*	N=736	N=330	N=84	*	N=51	**	N=0

As an adult, I feel that the police are a friend to me and my community.	48%	50%	47%	77%	0%	33%	42%	45%	46%	52%	F	87%	FQHD	33%	44%	47%	54%	LAM	87%	LAM	60%	ES	37%	s	22%	43%	s	0%	33%	X	33%	
I have a friend of family member who works for the Chicago Police Department.	21%	22%	22%	77%	0%	20%	10%	25%	20%	25%	19%	20%	15%	20%	21%	18%	20%	21%	87%	60%	ES	23%	22%	22%	38%	25%	23%	23%	23%	23%		
I have had a negative experience with a member of the Chicago Police Department.	10%	12%	15%	77%	54%	17%	k	18%	K	19%	K	24%	JK	11%	7%	17%	O	20%	O	26%	OP	6%	4%	20%	24%	Q	21%	Q	10%	20%	25%	W

Police Department, I believe I would receive retaliation from officers for filing a complaint. I have been afraid to report police misconduct to the Chicago Police Department because I believed I would receive retaliation from officers.	10%	12%	17%	10%	50%	14%	18%	19%	L	17%	11%	10%	14%	P	18%	P	15%	P	12%	2%	10%	22%	Q	16%	18%	0%	30%	20%	W				
	11%	11%	10%	6%	50%	13%	K	14%	J	19%	J	12%	K	5%	3%	13%	Op	16%	Op	11%	Op	4%	0%	5%	23%	Op	19%	Q	3%	0%	0%	5%	18%

Not at all likely 14% 13% 17% **b** 4% 0% 7% 15% 14% 10% **f** 10% 18% **f** 7% 14% 18% **i** 14% 15% 15% **f** 7% 25% **qR** 6% 30% 0% 15% 14%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q6: Which of the following do you think should be held accountable for the approach that the Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Gender										Age (Net)										Generations										Race/Ethnicity									
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White American vs. People of Color (W)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)															
Total (Unweighted)	N=1067	N=543	N=524	N=3	N=1	N=4	N=124	N=135	N=148	N=171	N=164	N=185	N=124	N=203	N=158	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104									
Outside agitators	48%	48%	48%	80%	80%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%									
Chicago Police Department	42%	40%	40%	23%	44%	42%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%									
Mayor Lori Lightfoot	42%	40%	40%	23%	44%	42%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%									
Individual officers	33%	32%	32%	0%	50%	44%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%									
The news media	23%	24%	23%	0%	50%	44%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%									
Counter-protesters	27%	26%	26%	0%	50%	44%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%									
Peaceful protesters	7%	11%	11%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%									
Other (please specify):	3%	4%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%									
Not sure	3%	4%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%									
Count	2.49	2.45	2.52	3.04	3.04	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82	2.82									

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q6A: Why do you think individual officers should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Gender				Age (Net)										Generations										Race/Ethnicity									
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White American vs. People of Color (W)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)									
Total (Unweighted)	N=1029	N=516	N=513	N=1	N=1	N=4	N=104	N=124	N=212	N=214	N=228	N=104	N=208	N=208	N=204	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104			
They were not trained well enough to deal with protests of this size.	50%	52%	48%	0%	100%	41%	54%	50%	40%	40%	63%	42%	40%	53%	51%	67%	45%	50%	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	51%	47%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%			
They were too confrontational or aggressive with protesters.	40%	47%	50%	100%	100%	75%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	86%			
They were repeatedly provoked.	45%	49%	42%	0%	100%	58%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%	66%			
They were repeatedly trained on mass arrest procedures.	43%	41%	43%	100%	100%	43%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%			
They did not care about or respect the protesters. Officers could act as they pleased because there was no authoritative accountability system for their actions.	35%	29%	38%	100%	100%	40%	24%	34%	35%	30%	25%	30%	25%	30%	25%	30%	25%	30%	25%	30%	31%	68%	qR	0%	0%	30%	34%	34%	34%	34%	34%	34%		
There were unprepared for a possibility of mass arrests.	33%	24%	38%	100%	0%	26%	39%	39%	39%	36%	36%	44%	33%	32%	32%	44%	30%	40%	30%	11%	0%	0%	30%	34%	34%	34%	34%	34%	34%	34%	34%	34%		
There was confusion due to lack of coordination and communication by the police.	33%	31%	34%	100%	0%	23%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%		
There were leaders for the trouble.	23%	23%	23%	0%	100%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%		
They were not following orders from higher-ups.	15%	12%	17%	0%	100%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%		
They were not confrontational or aggressive enough with protesters.	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	2%	7%	3%	4%	0%	0%	5%	3%	12%	5%	0%	5%	5%	100%	0%	0%	5%	0%	5%	0%	5%	0%	5%	0%	5%		
Other (please specify):	5%	5%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	12%	0%	5%	2%	8%	7%	1%	7%	1%	7%	1%	0%	0%	7%	0%	7%	0%	7%	0%	7%	0%	7%	0%	7%	
Count	3.19	3.18	3.18	6.00	6.00	4.20	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19		

* Table Base: THINK INDIVIDUAL OFFICERS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q6B: Why do you think the Chicago Police Department should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)		Gender										Generations										Race/Ethnicity									
	N=104	N=187	Female (B)	Transgender (C)	Non-binary (D)	18-24 (E)	25-34 (F)	35-44 (G)	45-54 (H)	55-64 (I)	65+ (J)	Gen Z (K)	Millennials (L)	Gen X (M)	Baby Boomers (N)	Silent Generation (O)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (P)	Hispanic (Q)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (R)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	White American vs. People of Color (V)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)							
Total (Unweighted)	N=104	N=187	N=104	N=1	N=1	N=4	N=104	N=124	N=212	N=214	N=228	N=104	N=208	N=208	N=204	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104		
Their members were not trained well enough to deal with protests of this size.	47%	47%	40%	25%	17%	47%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%		
The police were improperly trained on mass arrest procedures.	40%	43%	40%	41%	54%	34%	51%	39%	40%	52%	52%	34%	40%	40%	51%	82%	14%	41%	10%	40%	12%	100%	0%	41%	50%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%		
The police were unprepared for a possibility of mass arrests.	44%	50%	40%	25%	0%	47%	35%	38%	43%	40%	56%	47%	34%	40%	45%	53%	7%	74%	41%	13%	42%	24%	44%	42%	44%	44%	44%	44%	44%	44%		
Their policies are too confrontational or aggressive.	40%	32%	40%	0%	75%	54%	34%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%		
There was confusion due to lack of coordination and communication by the police.	30%	45%	34%	0%	0%	17%	30%	27%	41%	30%	65%	17%	30%	65%	17%	30%	65%	17%	30%	65%	100%	0%	43%	35%	43%	35%	43%	35%	43%	35%		
The department is racially prejudiced.	17%	30%	38%	10%	57%	43%	30%	30%	40%	15%	4%	27%	43%	30%	53%	31%	10%	10%	37%	40%	44%	0%	17%	38%	44%	38%	44%	38%	44%	38%		
Officers could act as they pleased because there was no authoritative accountability system for their actions.	30%	25%	35%	25%	97%	0%	50%	50%	32%	24%	20%	22%	25%	50%	40%	22%	33%	31%	25%	37%	0%	0%	12%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%		
The department did not care about or respect the protesters.	38%	24%	30%	42%	54%	24%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%	38%		
They were not following orders or guidelines from Mayor Lightfoot.	18%	24%	22%	0%	0%	27%	1%	27%	1%	12%	18%	7%	11%	27%	2%	22%	10%	20%	12%	0%	100%	0%	24%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%		
They were looking for trouble.	10%	10%	10%	0%	14%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%		
Their policies are not confrontational or aggressive enough.	12%	18%	0%	7%	0%	0%	11%	1%	7%	1%	13%	8%	14%	12%	12%	0%	12%	0%	12%	0%	12%	0%	12%	0%	12%	0%	12%	0%	12%	0%		
Other (please specify):	3%	1%	3%	0%	0%	2%	1%	4%	2%	0%	3%	2%	0%	3%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%		
Count	3.18	3.17	3.18	2.83	1.73	3.14	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07	3.07		

* Table Base: THINK CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q6C: Why do you think peaceful protesters should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Gender										Age (Net)										Generations										Race/Ethnicity									
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White American vs. People of Color (W)																	
Total	N=1029	N=516	N=513	N=1	N=1	N=4	N=104	N=124	N=212	N=214	N=228	N=104	N=208	N=208	N=204	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104	N=104									
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	58%	57%	59%	0%	0%	32%	20%	51%	78%	67%	80%	67%	52%	68%	77%	100%	63%	47%	28%	90%	17%	63%	0%	63%	50%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%									
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorizing government.	44%	38%	50%	0%	0%	42%	40%	62%	40%	30%	60%	30%	33%	100%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%									
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	42%	53%	32%	0%	0%	11%	73%	47%	55%	47%	53%	47%	10%	100%	52%	100%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%								
They were rude or racist for protesting we not arrested.	38%	46%	30%	0%	0%	28%	28%	62%	28%	29%	57%	16%	48%	40%	38%	100%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%								
They were rude or racist about or respect the police.	23%	23%	23%	0%	0%	23%	23%	40%	28%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	100%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%								
They were looking for trouble.	30%	31%	27%	0%	0%	22%	14%	30%	30%	46%	28%	10%	22%	51%	29%	100%	22%	24%	24%	24%	24%	24%	24%	24%	24%	24%	24%	24%	24%	24%	24%	24%								
Other (please specify)	30%	30%	30%	0%	0%	4%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	100%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%								
Count	3,360	2,777	2,374	0.00	0.00	2.59	2.19	2.19	3.46	2.06	3.44	2.36	3.02	2.87	6.00	2.79	5.40	2.80	2.30	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.79	3.00	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30								

Q1: Which of the following do you think is the most important problem in Chicago today?

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONSE

Race relations in Chicago are good right now.

* Table Base:

Total	1
-------	---

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONSE

	Total (N)	Mean
Total	997	467

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONSE

Total

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONSE

Race relations

Gun violence

	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen 2 (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Net Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Net Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Net Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Net Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Net Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Net Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)
Total	997	467	527	3	1	124	135	138	171	164	185	124	292	256	28	27	550	136	158	69	1	500	447	
Total (Unweighted)	996	385	607	4	1	104	108	176	154	173	249	104	284	212	254	42	736	130	84	51	3	736	260	
Agree	44	33	11	0	0	8	11	1	1	1	0	8	28	6	1	0	27	7	13	0	2	0	27	0
Strongly agree	113	59	54	0	0	20	19	15	13	13	42	13	95	42	3	0	95	42	11	0	0	95	11	
Disagree	747	321	423	3	1	85	102	125	139	134	162	85	182	208	249	24	428	139	134	45	1	0	428	319
Strongly disagree	10	5	5	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3c: My trust in the police

	Gender				Age (Net)				Generations				Race/Ethnicity												
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen 2 (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Net Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Net Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Net Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Net Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Net Hispanic) (V)	White Americans vs. People of Color (W)		
Total	997	467	527	3	1	134	135	138	171	164	185	124	292	256	268	28	27	550	136	158	69	1	500	447	
Do not trust at all	787	346	441	2	1	104	117	146	157	146	165	104	284	212	254	42	736	130	84	51	3	736	260		
Trust	47	15	32	0	0	2	6	16	14	9	20	20	108	104	112	26	19	120	6	8	18	0	13	14	
Strongly agree	14	14	14	2	11	7	11	12	13	14	15	8	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
Disagree	72	21	50	0	1	30	38	46	31	6	8	18	30	32	33	1	34	13	14	10	0	0	34	38	
Strongly agree	67	27	40	0	0	9	11	6	10	7	11	10	27	23	24	1	25	23	14	10	0	0	23	27	
Disagree	152	86	67	0	0	29	21	30	23	15	20	23	29	36	43	1	75	25	32	14	0	0	75	40	
Strongly agree	93	43	49	0	0	0	7	20	27	11	13	32	7	45	39	24	1	44	27	13	0	0	44	46	
Disagree	124	50	73	0	0	8	10	27	31	15	32	8	20	40	39	7	136	8	12	8	0	0	88	60	
Strongly agree	117	47	70	0	0	0	3	26	20	16	44	3	27	29	52	6	79	35	10	0	0	0	79	38	
Disagree	90	55	40	0	0	17	5	13	39	22	17	5	13	39	22	17	65	22	31	5	0	0	65	27	
Strongly agree	131	65	66	2	0	5	19	34	23	29	23	5	45	36	40	5	102	15	5	0	0	0	102	29	
Disagree	5,205	5,594	5,803	8,453	2,844	4,409	6,172	5,186	6,052	6,506	6,773	4,489	14,431	5,395	6,608	6,901	6,708	5,594	5,600	6,326	6,708	1,412	0	6,708	4,713
Strongly agree	6,000	6,000	6,000	10,000	2,000	5,000	7,000	7,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	5,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	5,000	4,000	5,000	6,000	2,000	0	1,000	1,000	
Standard Deviation	3,820	3,709	2,388	2,387	0,600	3,111	3,113	3,119	3,022	3,137	2,136	2,112	3,110	2,937	3,149	2,152	2,452	3,113	2,837	2,622	2,186	0,000	0,000	2,622	1,000

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3c: Safety level in my neighborhood

	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	Age 45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Net Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Net Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Net Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Net Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Net Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Net Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)	
Total	995	465	527	3	1	122	135	138	171	164	185	122	293	256	268	28	550	136	158	69	1	500	446		
Not at all safe	99	43	56	0	0	10	15	6	0	4	5	1	4	5	5	0	1	0	4	20	0	0	1	4	
Safe	40	12	28	0	2	5	12	8	5	5	16	9	5	16	9	10	0	10	25	0	0	0	5	23	
Strongly agree	70	35	35	0	0	12	5	12	5	12	16	4	7	13	16	4	7	13	16	4	0	0	0	14	13
Disagree	3	3	3	0	0	0	12	6	5	3	30	0	12	11	4	10	0	19	2	13	1	0	0	19	17
Strongly agree	80	37	43	0	0	3	13	7	13	8	4	3	13	18	18	1	8	11	3	0	0	0	0	27	27
Disagree	83	25	58	0	0	16	11	12	16	18	12	16	18	12	16	18	0	19	2	13	0	0	0	30	18
Strongly agree	6	6	6	0	0	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Disagree	154	89	64	0	0	17	12	23	16	21	39	12	36	28	57	2	96	32	12	11	0	0	88	107	
Strongly agree	162	77	85	0	0	7	24	36	34	25	36	5	46	55	49	6	114	19	16	13	0	0	114	46	
Disagree	182	79	103	0	0	15	16	27	17	15	37	14	37	34	36	17	146	18	16	18	0	0	146	59	
Strongly agree	148	77	71	0	0	25	19	32	15	30	27	25	44	22	15	6	89	28	9	0	0	0	89	59	
Mean	6,000	6,000	5,400	3,95	6,33	6,52	6,28	6,08	6,67	6,35	6,76	6,83	7,22	6,81	6,76	8,41	6,75	6,88	6,54	4,52	0,00	7,91	1,05	6,63	2,63
Median	7,000	6,000	7,000	6,000	1,000	6,000	7,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	9,000	8,000	7,000	5,000	1,000	0,000	8,000	1,000	8,000	1,000
Standard Deviation	2,800	2,647	2,167	2,167	2,301	2,884	2,515	2,157	2,138	2,401	2,401	2,177	2,401	2,151	2,441	1,601	2,138	2,280	2,188	2,220	2,444	2,000	2,188	2,000	2,181
* Table Base																									
ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS																									

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3c: How respectful police are to me

	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Gender (D)	Transgender (E)	Non-binary (F)	Age (G)	18-24 (H)	25-34 (I)	35-44 (J)	Age (K)	45-54 (L)	55-64 (M)	65+ (N)	Generation (O)	Millennials (P)	Gen X (Q)	Baby Boomers (R)	Silent Generation (S)	White Only (Net Hispanic) (T)	Hispanic (U)	Black Only (Net Hispanic) (V)	Asian Only (Net Hispanic) (W)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Net Hispanic) (X)	Pacific Islander Only (Net Hispanic) (Y)	White Americans vs. People of Color (Z)
Total	992	467	522	3	1	124	130	138	171	164	185	122	293	256	268	28	27	550	101	158	69	1	500	446		
Not at all respectful	99	43	56	0	0	10	15	6	0	3	5	1	4	5	5	0	0	1	0	4	20	0	0	1	4	
Safe	40	12	28	0	2	5	12	8	5	5	16	9	5	16	9	10	0	10	25	0	0	0	5	23		
Strongly agree	70	35	35	0	0	12	5	12	5	12	16	4	7	13	16	4	7	13	16	4	0	0	0	14	13	
Disagree	3	3	3	0	0	0	14	7	5	3	14	8	4	7	1	12	0	13	8	0	0	0	0	22	0	
Strongly agree	54	24	30	0	0	15	11	8	8	15	14	7	15	14	10	13	13	14	13	0	0	0	14	13		
Disagree	95	47	47	0	0	15	8	21	18	14	19	15	18	30	30	1	35	38	6	0	0	0	35	40		
Strongly agree	58	34	24	0	0	6	15	9	18	5	4	6	3	22	10	7	3	30	3	0	0	0	27	8		
Disagree	149	64	86	0	0	23	23	31	36	29	28	23	43	30	52	2	93	28	19	8	0	0	93	57		
Strongly agree	152	87	64	0	0	7	26	22	33	25	32	6	33	45	45	6	86	35	20	3	0	0	86	48		
Disagree	218	111	107	0	0	12	15	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Strongly agree	6,186	6,186	6,186	10,000	2,000	5,000	7,000	7,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	5,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	5,000	4,000	5,000	6,000	2,000	0	1,000	1,000	
Standard Deviation	3,820	3,709	2,388	2,387	0,600	3,111	3,113	3,119	3,022	3,137	2,136	2,112	3,110	2,937	3,149	2,152	2,452	3,113	2,837	2,622	2,186	0,000	0,000	2,622	1,000	
Standard Deviation	2,795	2,994	2,644	3,795	1,233	3,036	3,044	2,893	2,899	3,399	2,435	3,036	3,112	2,544	2,330	1,300	2,234	2,894	3,234	2,331	0,000	0,000	2,331	3,038		

* Table Base

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3d: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding local law enforcement?

	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Net Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Net Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Net Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Net Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Net Hispanic) (V)	White Americans vs. People of Color (W)	
Total	997	467	527	3	1	124	135	138	171	164	185	124	292	256	268	28	27	550	136	158	69	1	500	447
Total (Unweighted)	996	385	607	4	1	104	108	176	154	173	249	104	284	212	254	42	736	130	84	51	3	736	260	
Agree (Net)	622	317	302	2	3	66	72	123	115	120	126	66	104	105	209	19	393	99	60	53	1	393	229	
Strongly agree	175	92	83	2	0	4	19	46	36	30	29	4	56	21	18	2	136	21	2	0	0	0	136	38
Somewhat agree	447	217	227	1	3	62	53	75	79	79	96	62	108	105	155	18	257	78	58	43	0	257	43	
Disagree (Net)	376	150	225	0	0	59	63	74	56	44	60	59	129	92	89	7	157	97	96	16	0	157	219	
Somewhat disagree	220	101	149	0	0	35	40	39	33	37	46	35	84	54	66	7	123	75	42	5	0	123	57	
Strongly disagree	126	50	76	0	0	24	23	36	23	7	13	24	45	37	20	0	34	22	56	0	0	34	0	

* Table base is ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Legend: colors in the Hispanic and/or Asian column are used to show the consistency of data across sub-populations

Major reforms are needed within the Chicago Police Department regarding treatment of Black and Latino people.

	Gender				Age (Years)										Generations										Race/Ethnicity									
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)		Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen 2 (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)									
Total	997	497	497	3	1	1	124	105	106	121	104	105	128	203	256	258	27	550	100	100	69	1	1	100	407									
Total (Unweighted)	997	385	607	4	1	1	104	100	176	134	173	249	108	284	212	254	42	736	100	84	51	1	1	736	260									
Agree (Net)	676	327	349	2	3	3	87	112	117	117	120	128	87	200	172	197	19	542	100	130	45	1	1	542	213									
Strongly agree	235	105	130	0	0	0	56	58	62	62	62	62	36	9	39	34	9	200	62	34	20	0	0	200	88									
Somewhat agree	147	100	47	0	0	0	42	53	55	55	58	66	51	85	80	105	10	208	62	34	20	0	0	208	132									
Disagree (Net)	123	100	23	0	0	0	37	40	39	37	37	42	37	61	84	101	8	198	63	29	24	0	0	198	125									
Somewhat disagree	200	119	81	0	0	0	33	33	33	33	33	45	33	62	37	63	5	106	50	10	22	0	0	106	54									
Strongly disagree	123	71	52	0	0	0	4	10	27	27	45	12	4	30	47	38	3	92	13	10	1	1	1	92	31									

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q8: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding how law enforcement?

Chicago police have been effective at reducing or preventing crime in my neighborhood.

	Gender						Age (Years)						Generations										Race/Ethnicity					
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen 2 (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)				
Total	996	467	527	3	1	124	105	106	121	104	105	128	203	256	258	27	550	100	100	69	1	1	100	407				
Total (Unweighted)	996	385	607	4	1	104	100	176	134	173	249	108	284	212	254	42	736	100	84	51	1	1	736	260				
Agree (Net)	632	308	322	3	1	70	94	120	102	122	125	70	189	150	105	17	393	133	63	52	0	0	393	229				
Strongly agree	176	83	93	0	0	12	23	19	46	27	12	10	34	23	41	3	106	44	12	11	0	0	106	72				
Somewhat agree	3	453	28	3	1	78	71	101	56	95	113	60	155	127	64	14	287	60	51	40	1	1	287	100				
Disagree (Net)	366	159	205	0	2	54	61	78	70	42	60	54	103	100	93	10	157	83	95	16	1	1	157	209				
Somewhat disagree	230	91	139	0	0	33	37	49	39	27	44	33	61	64	66	7	100	60	41	11	1	1	100	136				
Strongly disagree	136	68	68	0	0	21	24	29	31	15	16	21	42	42	28	3	57	13	55	5	0	0	57	78				

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

I have confidence in the Chicago Police Department to act fairly and without bias.

Total	Total (A)		Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)		18-24 (F)		25-34 (G)		35-44 (H)		45-54 (I)		55-64 (J)		65+ (K)		Gen 2 (L)	Millennials (M)		Gen X (N)		Baby Boomers (O)		Silent Generation (P)		White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)		Hispanic (R)		Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)		Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)		Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)		Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)		White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)		People of Color (X)			
	Count	%				Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Total (Unweighted)	996		467		527		3		124		105		106		121		104		105		128		203		256		258		27		550		100		100		69		1		1		100		407	
Age (Net)	996		385		607		4		104		100		176		134		173		249		108		284		212		254		42		736		100		84		51		1		1		736		260	
Strongly agree	158		80		78		0		6		28		40		28		37		19		6		51		45		42		4		108		27		8		11		0		0		108		50	
Somewhat agree	308		156		152		2		0		56		41		74		70		67		80		56		100		93		137		56		104		9		127		0		0		104		153	
Disagree (Net)	451		183		265		1		63		80		83		73		60		86		63		128		118		129		14		204		96		112		29		0		0		204		247	
Somewhat disagree	288		138		150		0		3		37		46		49		39		39		39		69		64		69		11		144		71		40		11		0		0		144		138	
Strongly disagree	184		65		118		0		26		41		42		33		24		18		26		62		55		38		3		75		24		72		8		0		0		75		109	

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Chicago police are often able to solve crimes that occur in the city.

	Gender				Age (Years)										Generations										Race/Ethnicity										White Americans vs. People of Color									
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)		Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen 2 (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)																			
Total	996	467	527	3	1	1	124	105	106	121	104	105	128	203	256	258	27	550	100	100	69	1	1	100	407																			
Total (Unweighted)	996	385	607	4	1	1	104	100	176	134	173	249	108	284	212	254	42	736	100	84	51	1	1	736	260																			
Age (Net)	996	385	607	4	1	1	104	100	176	134	173	249	108	284	212	254	42	736	100	84	51	1	1	736	260																			
Strongly agree	138	61	76	2	0	0	10	27	36	24	22	19	10	56	32	38	2	92	11	11	0	0	0	92	46																			
Somewhat agree	443	212	231	1	2	2	53	69	75	79	79	79	79	112	113	136	27	282	138	64	29	0	0	282	213																			
Disagree (Net)	436	192	243	0	1	1	62	82	82	72	63	75	62	111	111	124	8	176	109	88	24	1	1	176	241																			
Somewhat disagree	273	146	127	0	0	0	44	60	66	46	44	55	44	75	70	64	0	144	70	11	16	0	0	144	124																			
Strongly disagree	144	70	74	0	0	0	17	28	27	28	20	15	17	56	41	29	0	32	39	57	7	0	0	32	112																			

* Table Base: ALL CHILDLAB RESPONDENTS

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

The Chicago Police Department has the support of most city residents.

	Gender				Age (Years)										Generations										Race/Ethnicity										White Americans vs. People of Color									
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)		Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen 2 (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)																			
Total	996	467	527	3	1	1	124	105	106	121	104	105	128	203	256	258	27	550	100	100	69	1	1	100	407																			
Total (Unweighted)	996	385	607	4	1	1	104	100	176	134	173	249	108	284	212	254	42	736	100	84	51	1	1	736	260																			
Age (Net)	996	385	607	4	1	1	104	100	176	134	173	249	108	284	212	254	42	736	100	84	51	1	1	736	260																			
Strongly agree	156	78	78	0	0	0	9	24	39	24	24	24	9	55	40	44	1	99	24	13	0	0	0	99	57																			
Somewhat agree	449	233	216	1	1	1	59	79	79	79	79	79	79	110	109	109	13	254	104	51	11	0	0	254	180																			
Disagree (Net)	397	166	230	0	2	2	57	80	84	67	52	57	52	103	105	92	11	187	83	104	17	1	1	187	210																			
Somewhat disagree	263	100	163	0	0	0	31	54	60	45	31	40	31	60	67	66	3	138	60	48	18	0	0	138	138																			
Strongly disagree	135	66	69	0	0	0	26	24	30	22	23	10	26	49	38	26	2	48	20	57	6	0	0	48	87																			

* Table Base:

All Qualitative Responses

We, the U.S. Justice Policy Department, have the consent of every individual in this table and we hereby affirm that we have not altered or modified any data.

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

The Chicago Police Department has the support of most BIPOC (i.e., Black, Indigenous, and People of Color) residents.

	Gender		Age (Years)										Generations										Race/Ethnicity										White Americans vs. People of Color									
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)		Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65-74 (K)	75+ (L)	Gen I (M)	Gen II (N)	Gen III (O)	Gen IV (P)	Hispanic (Q)	Black (R)	Asian (S)	Other (T)	White (U)	Black (V)	Asian (W)	Other (X)	White (Y)	Black (Z)	Asian (AA)	Other (AB)													
Strongly agree	94	48	51	0	0	7	14	33	36	68	6	7	40	25	38	0	0	53	0	0	0	0	0	178	43	0	0	178	43	0	0											
Agree (Net)	342	184	217	2	2	47	88	219	214	429	22	22	120	82	100	0	0	178	0	0	0	0	0	53	0	0	53	0	0	0												
Disagree (Net)	248	142	164	0	0	40	40	140	140	280	7	7	40	57	60	0	0	43	0	0	0	0	0	178	43	0	0	178	43	0	0											
Strongly disagree	365	211	233	0	0	30	55	106	106	212	30	30	173	184	208	0	0	372	0	0	0	0	0	178	43	0	0	178	43	0	0											
Strongly agree	251	133	158	0	0	47	92	219	214	429	22	22	120	82	100	0	0	178	0	0	0	0	0	53	0	0	53	0	0	0												

* Table Note: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
Chicago police should be brought in to handle situations regarding mental health patients and the homeless.

I have been racially profiled in at least one interaction with police officers in Chicago. Since May 2020, I have donated to or directly supported (e.g., volunteering, providing non-financial resources) organizations that advocate for racial justice, criminal justice, or public reform. Since May 2020, I have attended at least one protest advocating for racial justice, criminal justice, or public reform.	106	56	48	0	2	18	26	26	4	6	18	38	39	10	0	17	28	46	9	0	0	17	88
Equal	81	44	37	0	0	14	27	11	18	5	6	14	37	19	11	0	30	31	20	0	0	30	52
Not at all likely	117	53	64	0	0	55	20	25	23	28	55	41	29	32	1	51	16	33	15	0	0	51	66
Count	2,15	2,13	2,16	3,06	3,04	2,16	2,19	2,12	2,13	1,80	2,20	1,30	2,28	1,30	1,78	2,00	2,46	2,13	1,97	1,30	1,80	2,13	

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q8: Perhaps you have heard of the campaign "Defund the Police," which refers to the movement to reduce police department budgets and redistribute these funds towards essential social services such as housing, education, employment, mental health care, and youth services. Given this understanding, how much do you support or oppose this campaign?

		Gender					Age (Net)					Generations					Race/Ethnicity							
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Net Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Net Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Net Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Net Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Net Hispanic) (V)	White Americans vs. People of Color (W)	
Total	997	464	527	3	1	104	108	108	124	124	124	124	250	250	250	250	42	138	84	50	18	80	1	260
Total (Unweighted)	996	385	607	4	4	104	108	108	124	124	124	250	250	250	250	250	42	138	84	50	18	80	1	260
Strongly support	179	81	97	0	1	72	88	88	103	103	103	72	88	88	90	7	184	107	68	1	1	1	1	184
Somewhat support	241	100	139	0	1	43	43	43	53	53	53	43	53	53	53	4	124	69	36	18	0	0	0	124
Oppose (Net)	177	78	99	2	0	51	66	66	84	84	84	107	108	108	108	10	50	36	20	17	15	10	0	180
Somewhat oppose	185	78	106	0	0	13	42	42	50	50	50	33	61	61	61	47	19	5	115	22	35	9	0	135
Strongly oppose	389	208	181	2	0	38	24	24	34	34	34	91	89	89	100	149	15	251	27	40	12	0	0	241

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q8A: Where do you think reallocated funds from the police department should go? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Gender (D)	Transgender (E)	Non-binary (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	Age (Net) (J)	45-54 (K)	55-64 (L)	65+ (M)	Gen Z (N)	Generations (O)	Baby Boomers (P)	Silent Generation (Q)	White Only (Net Hispanic) (R)	Hispanic (S)	Black Only (Net Hispanic) (T)	Asian Only (Net Hispanic) (U)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Net Hispanic) (V)	Pacific Islander Only (Net Hispanic) (W)	White Americans vs. People of Color (X)	
Total	420	181	239	1	1	104	108	108	124	124	124	124	124	250	250	250	250	42	138	84	50	18	80	1	260
Total (Unweighted)	420	181	239	1	1	104	108	108	124	124	124	124	124	250	250	250	250	42	138	84	50	18	80	1	260
Mental health care programs	281	96	182	0	1	44	54	54	60	60	60	60	60	44	104	66	60	6	128	76	54	19	1	1	128
Gun violence prevention	281	96	182	0	1	44	54	54	60	60	60	60	60	44	104	66	60	6	128	76	54	19	1	1	128
Homeless services and shelters	250	93	155	1	2	46	63	63	70	70	70	70	70	22	46	104	52	46	3	109	62	51	22	1	109
Youth services (e.g., community centers, mentorship programs, after-school programs)	223	91	131	0	1	30	45	45	53	40	23	33	30	84	55	50	5	91	55	35	16	0	0	91	
Affordable housing services	212	81	126	0	1	35	42	47	28	26	13	35	30	84	45	34	5	71	65	48	17	1	1	131	
Hiring/integrating social workers into police forces to handle situations where police may not be needed	230	77	152	0	1	37	44	36	37	39	37	37	37	70	47	51	5	98	51	45	11	0	0	98	
Local education/shelters	83	13	69	0	1	11	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
Local healthcare services	103	83	107	0	1	29	15	16	15	16	15	16	15	29	80	47	24	5	70	47	10	0	0	70	
Unemployment/the employment services	131	84	104	0	1	28	49	50	26	15	23	28	30	37	35	2	72	58	46	14	0	0	0	72	
Substance abuse services	136	80	96	0	2	28	47	33	33	22	13	22	30	46	35	1	62	46	35	14	0	0	0	62	
People returning to their communities from jail or prison (e.g., mental health, employment, engagement, healthcare assistance)	169	66	100	0	2	23	41	41	30	15	16	23	66	30	30	1	63	46	44	11	0	0	0	63	
Other (please specify)	3	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not sure	11	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Count	5,427	5,233	5,236	4,321	4,108	5,218	4,339	5,330	6,647	1,265	4,401	5,328	5,051	3,320	1,021	5,375	5,311	5,137	6,325	6,127	6,405	1,020	5,325	5,366	

* Table Base: SUPPORTERS/DEFUND THE POLICE

Q8B: Which of the following should have the highest priority for reallocated funds from the police department?

	Total (N)			Gender			Age (Net)			Generations			Race/Ethnicity			White Americans vs. People of Color								
	Total (N)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Net Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Net Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Net Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Net Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Net Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Net Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)
Total	425	173	233	1	1	104	108	108	124	124	124	124	250	250	250	250	42	138	84	50	18	80	1	260
Total (Unweighted)	397	158	233	1	1	104	108	108	124	124	124	124	250	250	250	250	42	138	84	50	18	80	1	260
Gun violence prevention	84	33	51	0	0	11	10	13	13	13	14	11	24	22	25	1	36	25	19	48	3	0	0	36
Hiring/integrating social workers into police forces to handle situations where police may not be needed	72	26	46	0	1	7	9	15	16	13	15	7	20	20	23	2	42	19	5	7	0	0	0	42
Homeless services and shelters	54	17	36	0	0	15	13	16	1	7	1	15	24	8	6	0	19	18	11	5	1	1	0	35
Mental health care programs	31	21	10	0	0	5	11	6	2	9	5	15	14	16	9	2	14	6	10	3	0	0	0	14
Local education/shelters	32	15	17	0	0	10	9	5	7	0	0	10	14	8	0	0	14	3	12	0	0	0	0	12
Affordable housing services	29	17	12	0	0	11	8	5	2	1	0	11	9	7	3	0	7	14	5	2	0	0	0	14
Youth services (e.g., community centers, mentorship programs, after-school programs)	29	12	16	0	0	2	7	8	2	5	4	2	14	3	6	1	12	5	7	6	0	0	0	12
Unemployment/the employment services	18	8	10	0	0	4	10	8	1	2	4	1	14	3	6	1	12	5	7	6	0	0	0	12
People returning to their communities from jail or prison (e.g., mental health, employment, engagement, healthcare assistance)	22	5	17	0	0	0	6	11	1	0	3	0	16	3	1	0	7	12	3	0	0	0	0	7
Local healthcare services	4	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
Substance abuse services	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Other (please specify)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Table Base: THREE FUNDS TAKEN FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE REALLOCATED TO AT LEAST ONE LISTED SERVICE

Q9: How likely do you think it is that the following situations will happen as a result of defunding the police?

Excluding the police will lead to fewer arrests in my neighborhood.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	Gender											Age (Net)											Race/Ethnicity																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
	Total (A)		Male (B)		Female (C)		Transgender (D)		Non-binary (E)		18-24 (F)		25-34 (G)		35-44 (H)		45-54 (I)		55-64 (J)		65+ (K)		Gen Z (L)		Millennials (M)		Gen X (N)		Baby Boomers (O)		Silent Generation (P)		White Only (Net Hispanic) (Q)		Hispanic (R)		Black Only (Net Hispanic) (S)		Asian Only (Net Hispanic) (T)		Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Net Hispanic) (U)		Pacific Islander Only (Net Hispanic) (V)		White Americans vs. People of Color (X)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
Total	997	467	527	3	1	124	136	136	171	184	185	124	250	250	250	250	42	138	84	50	18	80	1	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	42	138	84	50	18	80	1	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	260	

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will mean more funding for social services that can improve issues such as mental health, addiction, and homelessness.

Total (Unweighted)																								
	Total	Male	Female	Transgender	Non-binary	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Gen Z	Millennials	Gen X	Baby Boomers	Silent Generation	White Only	Hispanic	Black Only	Asian Only	Native American or Alaskan Native Only	Pacific Islander Only	White Only	People of Color
Total	997	467	527	3	1	124	136	136	171	184	185	124	250	250	250	250	42	138	84	50	18	80	1	260
Total (Unweighted)	996	466	526	3	1	124	136	136	171	184	184	124	250	250	250	250	42	138	84	50	18	80	1	260
Not very likely	212	100	112	0	0	56	63	63	81	89	92	59	124	124	124	124	22	72	44	22	10	40	0	100
Somewhat likely	212	100	112	0	0	56	63	63	81	89	92	59	124	124	124	124	22	72	44	22	10	40	0	100
Not at all likely	573	267	315	3	1	68	73	73	90	95	93	65	126	126	126	126	20	66	40	28	8	40	1	160
Not at all likely	573	267	315	3	1	68	73	73	90	95	93	65	126	126	126	126	20	66	40	28	8	40	1	160

Not at all likely 144 52 91 0 0 0 9 24 28 33 17 34 9 42 46 43 4 82 34 39 4 0 0 82 62

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

QR: Which of the following do you think should be held accountable for the approach the Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Gender										Generations										Race/Ethnicity									
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White Americans vs. People of Color (W)							
Total	997	467	527	3	1	124	105	104	185	124	158	124	254	186	27	11	520	467	238	69	1	1	238	467						
Total (Unweighted)	996	395	602	4	1	104	104	104	176	134	173	104	249	186	24	11	736	395	204	64	1	1	204	395						
Outside agitators	482	236	245	2	0	44	34	34	76	51	84	122	144	101	17	11	284	236	124	40	0	0	124	236						
Chicago Police Department	434	187	244	1	1	52	105	95	147	95	147	95	147	101	11	11	200	187	104	30	0	0	104	187						
Meyer (not Lightfoot)	417	200	216	0	0	107	100	100	162	100	162	100	162	100	11	11	225	200	104	27	0	0	104	200						
Individual officers	345	149	194	0	2	54	62	64	43	55	66	54	102	73	101	13	104	76	71	25	0	0	71	149						
The news media	133	54	79	0	0	14	14	14	27	14	27	14	27	14	1	1	54	54	27	14	0	0	27	54						
Counter-protesters	269	121	147	0	2	28	30	32	64	43	50	28	64	43	8	8	142	147	56	14	0	0	56	147						
Peaceful protesters	49	15	34	0	0	7	7	7	14	7	14	7	14	7	1	1	28	34	14	7	0	0	7	15						
Other (please specify):	28	17	11	0	0	3	2	2	4	1	4	1	4	1	0	0	11	11	7	4	0	0	4	11						
Not sure	86	44	42	0	0	10	10	10	18	10	18	10	18	10	1	1	44	44	18	6	0	0	18	44						
Count	2,49	2,46	2,52	3,04	3,04	2,39	2,62	2,47	2,39	2,39	2,53	2,39	2,53	2,39	2,39	2,39	2,42	2,35	2,39	2,46	2,33	0,00	2,42	2,58						

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

QSA: Why do you think individual officers should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Gender										Generations										Race/Ethnicity									
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)						
Total	345	149	204	0	1	54	62	64	43	55	66	54	102	73	101	13	104	76	71	25	0	0	71	149						
Total (Unweighted)	329	126	201	1	1	42	67	52	41	51	70	42	102	67	101	17	219	127	40	22	1	1	127	201						
They were not trained well enough to deal with protests of this size.	172	78	93	0	2	22	33	31	19	25	42	22	50	39	52	9	75	45	35	15	0	0	35	78						
They were too confrontational or aggressive with protesters.	169	70	97	0	2	41	37	19	23	29	20	41	47	38	39	5	86	29	38	15	0	0	86	93						
They were racially prejudiced.	156	73	81	0	2	32	27	23	23	18	32	47	43	36	39	7	64	30	47	13	0	0	47	81						
They were improperly trained on mass arrest procedures.	147	62	84	0	2	23	32	20	9	24	39	23	45	23	46	10	65	46	26	9	0	0	26	62						
They did not care about or respect the protesters.	147	62	84	0	2	23	32	20	9	24	39	23	45	23	46	10	65	46	26	9	0	0	26	62						
Officers could act as they pleased because there was no authoritative accountability system for their actions.	139	65	74	0	0	14	24	6	16	24	35	14	29	23	44	9	61	23	31	3	0	0	31	65						
They were unprepared for a possibility of mass arrests.	119	43	74	0	2	22	15	6	11	28	20	22	33	18	40	6	59	20	22	17	0	0	22	43						
There was confusion due to lack of coordination and communication by the police.	112	46	67	0	0	13	9	21	16	18	36	13	21	31	41	7	51	22	28	10	0	0	28	46						
They were looking for trouble.	79	46	33	0	0	13	13	8	8	21	13	21	13	8	15	8	31	46	15	8	0	0	15	46						
They were not following orders from higher ups.	51	18	33	0	0	10	13	10	3	9	6	10	21	5	13	2	17	18	10	7	0	0	7	18						
They were not confrontational or aggressive enough with protesters.	20	15	5	0	0	0	3	8	1	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	9	7	2	1	0	0	2	15						
Other (please specify):	18	6	12	0	1	1	6	6	1	6	1	1	6	1	1	0	12	6	1	4	0	0	4	12						
Count	3,79	3,68	3,80	6,00	6,00	4,29	4,39	3,19	3,11	4,20	3,65	4,09	3,79	3,11	4,09	4,29	3,49	3,49	4,48	3,49	3,00	0,00	3,49	4,07						

* Table Base: THINK INDIVIDUAL OFFICERS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

QSC: Why do you think the Chicago Police Department should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Gender										Age (Net)										Generations										Race/Ethnicity									
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)																
Total	424	204	219	0	1	124	105	104	185	124	158	124	254	186	27	11	520	467	238	69	1	1	238	467																
Total (Unweighted)	414	157	254	3	1	49	92	79	55	56	83	49	143	93	118	11	281	157	84	24	1	1	84	157																
Members were not trained well enough to deal with protests of this size.	203	88	113	0	2	24	44	40	24	21	50	24	71	40	60	8	91	33	44	14	0	0	14	88																
The police were improperly trained on mass arrest procedures.	200	81	117	0	2	18	54	37	24	26	42	18	80	37	57	9	82	57	46	14	1	0	46	81																
The police were unprepared for a possibility of mass arrests.	191	93	97	0	0	24	37	22	25	45	24	57	42	59	8	84	55	42	6	0	0	0	6	93																
They were too confrontational or aggressive.	172	60	110	0	2	17	52	47	23	9	23	17	84	38	27	5	69	56	40	7	0	0	40	60																
There was confusion due to lack of coordination and communication by the police.	167	85	82	0	0	9	42	26	21	18	52	9	54	41	56	7	86	41	29	9	1	0	29	86																
The department is racially prejudiced.	162	67	93	0	2	22	31	37	23	27	22	22	50	49	35	6	73	28	48	12	0	0	12	67																
Officers could act as they pleased because there was no authoritative accountability system for their actions.	152	47	103	0	3	31	24	23	14	30	20	31	47	25	25	4	82	26	37	6	0	0	6	47																
The department did not care about or respect the protesters.	121	45	74	0	2	13	40	24	18	11	16	13	50	33	20	5	48	24	41	6	0	0	6	45																
They were not following orders or guidelines from Mayor Lightfoot.	77	25	52	0	0	14	29	12	9	3	14	24	34	16	10	1	33	27	13	2	0	0	13	25																
They were looking for trouble.	73	38	35	0	0	7	14	10	7	7	10	7	20	12	11	0	21	25	21	2	0	0	2	38																
Their policies are not confrontational or aggressive enough.	52	14	38	0	0	4	18	10	5	4	11	4	23	11	14	0	24	19	6	4	0	0	4	14																
Other (please specify):	11	2	9	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	3	3	0	1	0	0	1	2																
Count	3,50	3,56	3,60	2,05	3,71	3,54	3,67	3,29	3,80	3,11	3,63	3,54	3,62	3,73	3,11	4,02	3,39	3,64	3,11	3,00	0,00	3,00	3,00	3,76																

* Table Base: THINK CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

QCC: Why do you think peaceful protesters should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Age (Net)										Generations										Race/Ethnicity									
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	White Americans vs. People of Color (X)						
Total	86	46	40	0	0	22	14	14	22	14	22	14	22	14	22	14	51	46	23	11	0	0	11	46						
Total (Unweighted)	86	46	40	0	0	22	14	14	22	14	22	14	22	14	22	14	51	46	23	11	0	0	11	46						
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	54	28	26	0	0	7	2	14	10	11	7	13	13	20	1	32	6	10	5	0	0	5	26							
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorizing government.	41	26	15	0	0	2	7	9	7	7	8	2	15	9	13	1	24	9	5	3	0	0	3	23						
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	41	19	22	0	0	5	12	6	1	8	9	15	7	9	1	20	11	5	4	0	0	4	20							
Their cause or reason for protesting was not justified.	36	23	13	0	0	13	2	2	8	4	7	13	5	9	10	1	14	11	11	0	0	0	11	23						
They did not care about or respect the police.	33	24	9	0	0	3	5	3	7	9	6	3	6	9	14	1	27	5	1	1	0	0	1	24						
They were looking for trouble.	32	27	5	0	0	5	3	9	7	7	8	7	10	5	7	0	20	5	7	0	0	0	7	27						
Other (please specify):	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Count	2,56	1,35	2,34	0.00	0.00	1,85	2,39	2,18	1,46	2,56	1,46	1,85	2,36	1,02	2,87	6,00	2,79	2,04	2,86	2,36	2,55	3,00	2,73	3,00						

Meyer Lightfoot did not have the ability to properly handle the protests.	200	130	90	2	0	33	29	30	32	30	46	33	45	52	62	7	120	43	18	14	0	0	120	80
Meyer Lightfoot did not provide an authoritative accountability system for police actions, allowing officers to act as they pleased.	179	89	88	2	2	29	37	35	20	26	32	29	60	39	45	6	100	43	25	7	0	0	100	80
Meyer Lightfoot's policies on policing are not aggressive enough.	167	93	74	2	0	20	25	19	27	32	45	20	41	42	58	6	88	37	16	16	0	0	88	79
Meyer Lightfoot is not respected by the Chicago Police Department.	162	93	69	2	0	12	21	33	32	31	33	12	43	55	46	5	96	35	15	10	0	0	96	65
Meyer Lightfoot did not have the support from other local leaders to effectively handle the protests.	120	69	49	2	2	11	21	14	29	18	26	11	29	42	34	4	58	20	28	12	0	0	58	61
Meyer Lightfoot's policies on policing are too aggressive or militant.	73	39	32	0	2	12	26	15	4	15	1	12	40	6	15	0	28	29	13	3	0	0	28	46
Other (please specify):	21	12	9	0	0	1	6	1	6	0	1	7	13	0	6	0	8	8	1	1	0	0	8	23
Count	2,21	2,47	1,95	4,0	3,00	2,37	2,62	1,87	2,21	2,03	2,83	2,37	2,24	2,29	2,03	2,58	2,20	2,35	2,10	2,33	0,00	0,00	2,20	2,22

* Table Base: THINK MAYOR LORI LIGHTFOOT SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q81: Why do you think the news media should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Age (Yrs)														Generations														Race/Ethnicity															
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Gender		Transgender (D)		Non-binary (E)		18-24 (F)		25-34 (G)		35-44 (H)		45-54 (I)		Gen Z (J)		Millennials (K)		Gen X (L)		Baby Boomers (M)		Silent Generation (N)		White Only (Not Hispanic) (O)		Hispanic (P)		Black Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)		Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (R)		Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (S)		Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (T)		White Only (Not Hispanic) (U)		People of Color (V)		
Total	320	160	159	3	++	2	++	39	62	62	56	57	57	39	237	87	76	107	12	++	245	40	16	++	14	++	1	0	++	245	77	+	245	77	+	245	77	+	245	77	+	245	77	+
Total (Unweighted)	322	126	195	3	++	2	++	34	58	51	42	58	77	34	91	76	107	12	++	245	40	16	++	14	++	1	0	++	245	77	+	245	77	+	245	77	+	245	77	+	245	77	+	
They spread inaccurate information about police.	207	113	94	2	0	0	22	45	60	31	36	22	34	55	50	6	121	14	15	14	15	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
They were looking to create news stories where there normally wouldn't be one.	151	103	86	2	2	0	20	37	51	31	32	26	45	20	62	49	53	7	117	46	13	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
They skewed public perception of the police to become more negative.	187	88	90	2	0	0	19	34	26	31	36	40	19	54	52	57	5	127	43	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
They spread inaccurate information about protesters.	174	94	78	0	2	2	31	48	22	19	36	27	21	64	31	42	6	105	47	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
They encouraged confrontational or aggressive behavior by protesters.	163	87	74	2	2	2	25	36	15	24	29	33	25	47	40	45	0	92	44	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
They encouraged more people to protest.	152	91	62	2	0	0	21	22	15	33	32	30	21	32	49	46	5	90	40	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
They favored the protesters in incidents.	144	85	59	2	0	0	12	28	19	4	27	25	33	12	40	42	46	4	81	40	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
They favored the police in reporting.	42	14	27	0	0	0	8	17	10	4	4	1	0	8	5	8	1	0	22	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other (please specify):	16	6	10	0	0	0	2	0	4	5	1	2	0	9	5	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Count	3,38	4,55	3,12	5,15	3,88	4,04	4,34	2,97	3,71	4,07	4,26	4,04	3,72	3,80	4,03	4,54	3,94	4,29	3,37	2,15	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00

* Table Base: THINK THE NEWS MEDIA SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q82: What would reform of Chicago law enforcement look like to you? Please select all that apply.

	Age (Yrs)														Generations														Race/Ethnicity													
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Gender		Transgender (D)	Non-binary (E)	18-24 (F)	25-34 (G)	35-44 (H)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (J)	65+ (K)	Gen Z (L)	Millennials (M)	Gen X (N)	Baby Boomers (O)	Silent Generation (P)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (Q)	Hispanic (R)	Black Only (Not Hispanic) (S)	Asian Only (Not Hispanic) (T)	Native American or Alaskan Native Only (Not Hispanic) (U)	Pacific Islander Only (Not Hispanic) (V)	White Only (Not Hispanic) (W)	People of Color (X)																
Total	760	344	413	1	++	9	++	186	138	143	115	146	104	229	280	228	228	20	++	274	109	152	51	++	2	++	2	0	++	274	214	++	274	214	++	274	214	++	274	214	++	
Total (Unweighted)	749	276	469	3	++	4	++	91	128	138	95	124	187	91	216	150	263	29	++	526	85	76	41	++	2	++	2	0	++	526	223	++	526	223	++	526	223	++	526	223	++	
De-escalation training for all officers	407	218	219	0	0	0	51	87	61	62	68	76	51	124	104	119	8	211	84	62	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Race-focused training for all officers	186	145	242	0	0	0	42	68	62	66	60	80	42	103	100	126	18	190	60	126	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sensitivity training for all officers	375	155	219	0	0	0	41	64	60	57	56	66	41	96	92	128	15	205	58	76	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Basic training for all officers	348	151	217	0	0	0	38	65	66	62	49	68	38	108	94	96	12	180	67	70	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stricter rules and regulations from the Chicago Police Board (the discipline body for Chicago police officers)	341	160	180	0	1	1	31	75	65	40	56	75	31	109	76	114	11	170	74	73	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Increased racial and ethnic diversity within the department	128	139	187	0	1	1	28	62	50	64	53	61	28	101	97	89	13	195	65	68	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Introduction of social workers onto police force	120	134	134	0	1	1	36	50	69	52	50	63	30	88	93	96	9	176	62	55	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
New policies on gun use	314	115	199	1	0	0	45	61	75	32	37	63	45	43	117	59	84	9	138	69	55	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stricter rules on non-lethal weapons	308	122	175	0	1	0	46	51	56	49	47	68	46	60	63	87	12	184	52	43	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
More stringent recruiting requirements	250	117	131	0	1	1	31	41	41	45	41	45	31	70	68	72	9	131	41	49	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Custom oversight committee	209	86	124	0	1	0	23	32	37	32	28	33	23	53	54	69	5	115	80	42	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Completely new leadership	189	85	104	0	0	0	23	46	34	31	27	28	23	73	45	44	0	93	42	37	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced funding for the police department	149	59	90	0	0	0	24	16	22	13	12	21	24	89	22	11	55	12	24	53	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other (please specify):	23	11	12	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	17	0	11	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not sure	60	28	32	0	2	0	9	1	12	15	10	13	0	12	17	21	1	26	7	24	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Count	5,26	4,82	5,16	5,89	3,08	4,31	5,85	5,05	5,35	4,80	5,48	6,13	5,12	5,46	5,29	6,30	5,55	6,18	5,55	6,18	5,16	0,17	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	

* Table Base: AGREE CHICAGO LAW ENFORCEMENT NEEDS REFORM

Q83: Which of the following do you think are effective policies for reducing gun violence in Chicago? Please select all that apply.

	Age (Yrs)											Generations				
--	-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-------------	--	--	--	--

Reimagining Public Safety

Q1: Which of the following do you think is the most important problem in Chicago today?

	Region			Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household	Marital Status		Size of Household		
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Gun violence	50%	49%	51%	52%	51%	51%	49%	50%	53%	47%	48%	53%	44%	54%	50%	50%	63%	48%
Property taxes	10%	8%	11%	4%	11%	13%	13%	3%	9%	13%	10%	11%	8%	12%	13%	7%	8%	11%
Police treatment of Black and Latino people	8%	10%	8%	17%	10%	4%	5%	16%	9%	7%	8%	9%	12%	7%	5%	12%	7%	9%
Economic inequality	7%	7%	7%	4%	8%	6%	9%	7%	6%	9%	6%	9%	8%	7%	8%	7%	5%	8%
Racial segregation across areas including education, housing, access to city services, etc.	6%	6%	6%	7%	4%	1%	7%	19%	5%	4%	6%	6%	7%	5%	4%	8%	4%	6%
Homelessness	5%	10%	4%	9%	1%	5%	5%	4%	6%	5%	6%	3%	7%	4%	5%	6%	4%	5%
Lack of access to social services (e.g., income assistance, job training, subsidized housing)	3%	4%	3%	4%	7%	4%	2%	1%	4%	3%	4%	2%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%
Pension reform	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	6%	3%	0%	3%	5%	4%	2%	2%	4%	5%	2%	3%	3%
Other (please specify):	4%	1%	5%	3%	7%	7%	5%	0%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%	2%	5%
N/A - Chicago does not have any serious problems.	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	3%	0%	2%	2%	3%	0%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q2: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding the city of Chicago?

Race relations in Chicago are good right now.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	27%	35%	25%	24%	26%	22%	32%	21%	26%	31%	30%	23%	44%	18%	30%	24%	21%	28%
Strongly agree	5%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	7%	5%	4%	6%	6%	3%	7%	3%	5%	4%	7%	4%
Somewhat agree	23%	32%	20%	23%	23%	20%	25%	16%	22%	24%	24%	20%	37%	14%	25%	20%	15%	24%
Disagree (Net)	73%	65%	75%	76%	74%	78%	68%	79%	74%	69%	70%	77%	56%	82%	70%	76%	79%	72%
Somewhat disagree	38%	37%	38%	37%	41%	44%	35%	20%	39%	40%	37%	39%	30%	42%	35%	41%	38%	38%
Strongly disagree	35%	28%	37%	39%	33%	34%	33%	59%	35%	30%	33%	38%	26%	40%	34%	35%	41%	34%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Compared to this time last year, race relations in Chicago have improved.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	34%	41%	31%	33%	33%	27%	37%	22%	32%	38%	37%	29%	45%	27%	32%	36%	28%	35%
Strongly agree	7%	7%	6%	6%	4%	6%	8%	6%	5%	8%	9%	6%	9%	5%	8%	7%	7%	5%
Somewhat agree	27%	34%	25%	27%	29%	20%	29%	16%	27%	30%	28%	16%	36%	22%	27%	28%	21%	28%
Disagree (Net)	66%	59%	69%	67%	67%	73%	63%	78%	68%	62%	63%	71%	55%	73%	68%	64%	72%	65%
Somewhat disagree	39%	35%	40%	35%	42%	52%	35%	30%	40%	39%	36%	43%	39%	39%	43%	34%	38%	39%
Strongly disagree	27%	24%	29%	32%	26%	21%	28%	48%	28%	23%	27%	28%	17%	34%	26%	30%	34%	26%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

There is a strong sense of community across Chicago.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	47%	51%	45%	46%	43%	51%	47%	49%	41%	53%	49%	43%	56%	41%	44%	50%	45%	47%
Strongly agree	11%	12%	10%	11%	9%	12%	11%	17%	8%	13%	11%	10%	13%	9%	9%	13%	11%	11%
Somewhat agree	36%	39%	35%	35%	34%	39%	36%	32%	33%	40%	37%	33%	43%	32%	34%	38%	34%	36%
Disagree (Net)	53%	49%	55%	54%	57%	49%	53%	51%	59%	47%	51%	57%	44%	59%	56%	50%	55%	53%
Somewhat disagree	35%	28%	37%	34%	36%	33%	35%	29%	38%	32%	32%	39%	28%	39%	37%	32%	31%	35%
Strongly disagree	19%	21%	18%	20%	21%	16%	18%	21%	21%	15%	19%	18%	16%	20%	19%	18%	24%	18%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Mayor Lori Lightfoot has done a good job of investing in and supporting historically marginalized neighborhoods.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	50%	52%	50%	48%	52%	55%	49%	62%	45%	55%	53%	46%	59%	45%	51%	49%	46%	51%
Strongly agree	7%	8%	6%	8%	6%	9%	6%	6%	5%	10%	9%	3%	9%	5%	7%	6%	6%	7%
Somewhat agree	43%	44%	43%	41%	46%	46%	43%	44%	40%	45%	44%	43%	49%	40%	44%	43%	40%	44%
Disagree (Net)	50%	48%	50%	52%	48%	45%	51%	38%	55%	45%	47%	54%	41%	55%	49%	51%	54%	49%
Somewhat disagree	27%	26%	27%	33%	27%	20%	25%	11%	31%	23%	25%	28%	19%	31%	25%	28%	23%	27%
Strongly disagree	23%	21%	24%	19%	21%	24%	26%	27%	23%	22%	22%	25%	23%	24%	23%	23%	31%	22%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Race-related issues in Chicago are due to a few bad actors, not systemic issues.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	43%	43%	42%	39%	41%	53%	42%	29%	44%	44%	45%	53%	49%	39%	44%	42%	47%	42%
Strongly agree	16%	12%	18%	10%	12%	24%	19%	17%	14%	19%	17%	15%	19%	15%	16%	23%	15%	15%
Somewhat agree	27%	32%	25%	29%	30%	23%	23%	12%	30%	24%	28%	23%	30%	25%	27%	24%	27%	27%
Disagree (Net)	57%	57%	58%	61%	59%	47%	58%	71%	56%	56%	55%	62%	51%	61%	56%	58%	53%	58%
Somewhat disagree	30%	24%	32%	30%	37%	23%	30%	28%	28%	32%	27%	35%	26%	32%	30%	29%	29%	30%
Strongly disagree	27%	32%	26%	31%	22%	24%	28%	43%	28%	24%	28%	26%	25%	29%	27%	28%	24%	28%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Mayor Lori Lightfoot could do more to tackle inequality across Chicago neighborhoods.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	80%	82%	80%	84%	75%	84%	79%	77%	82%	79%	82%	78%	83%	79%	79%	82%	72%	82%
Strongly agree	35%	39%	33%	39%	28%	42%	33%	44%	35%	33%	35%	35%	35%	34%	39%	37%	37%	34%
Somewhat agree	46%	43%	47%	45%	47%	42%	46%	45%	47%	47%	47%	43%	47%	42%	40%	43%	47%	47%
Disagree (Net)	20%	18%	20%	16%	25%	16%	21%	23%	18%	21%	18%	22%	17%	21%	21%	18%	28%	18%
Somewhat disagree	14%	15%	14%	9%	19%	12%	16%	14%	15%	12%	13%	16%	12%	15%	15%	12%	20%	13%
Strongly disagree	6%	3%	7%	7%	6%	4%	5%	9%	3%	8%	5%	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%	8%	5%

Chicago law enforcement needs reform.

	Region			Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	76%	79%	75%	83%	79%	70%	73%	92%	74%	77%	76%	76%	71%	79%	72%	81%	72%	77%
Strongly agree	36%	40%	35%	44%	32%	27%	37%	64%	32%	37%	36%	34%	33%	38%	32%	42%	34%	37%
Somewhat agree	40%	39%	40%	39%	47%	43%	36%	42%	42%	39%	40%	39%	38%	41%	41%	39%	38%	40%
Disagree (Net)	24%	21%	25%	17%	21%	30%	27%	8%	24%	23%	24%	24%	29%	21%	28%	19%	28%	23%
Somewhat disagree	14%	14%	15%	11%	10%	19%	17%	4%	17%	13%	15%	13%	21%	11%	16%	13%	16%	14%
Strongly disagree	9%	7%	10%	6%	11%	11%	10%	4%	10%	11%	9%	10%	8%	10%	12%	7%	12%	9%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Gun violence in Chicago needs to be reduced.

	Region			Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	96%	96%	96%	97%	92%	98%	96%	100%	95%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	99%	99%	95%
Strongly agree	84%	75%	88%	84%	77%	82%	88%	88%	84%	84%	82%	89%	80%	87%	86%	83%	90%	83%
Somewhat agree	12%	21%	8%	13%	15%	16%	9%	12%	11%	13%	8%	15%	9%	10%	13%	8%	12%	12%
Disagree (Net)	4%	4%	4%	3%	8%	2%	4%	0%	5%	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	4%	4%	1%	5%
Somewhat disagree	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Strongly disagree	3%	3%	3%	2%	6%	1%	3%	0%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q2A: Do you think each of the following situations happening in Chicago are better, worse, or about the same compared to cities in the rest of the country?

Race relations

	Region			Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Better	10%	13%	8%	7%	4%	9%	13%	7%	13%	12%	13%	5%	14%	7%	14%	5%	7%	10%
About the same	49%	43%	51%	51%	53%	43%	44%	4%	50%	48%	46%	52%	45%	51%	47%	51%	48%	49%
Worse	42%	44%	41%	42%	39%	38%	44%	49%	42%	40%	41%	43%	41%	42%	40%	44%	44%	41%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Police relations with city residents

	Region			Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Better	12%	13%	11%	7%	7%	10%	16%	6%	10%	14%	14%	7%	17%	8%	16%	7%	9%	12%
About the same	50%	43%	53%	49%	55%	62%	46%	44%	52%	50%	48%	53%	47%	49%	52%	52%	52%	50%
Worse	38%	44%	36%	44%	38%	28%	38%	50%	38%	36%	38%	39%	36%	39%	35%	42%	40%	38%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Gun violence

	Region			Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Better	4%	8%	3%	4%	1%	4%	6%	6%	3%	6%	5%	3%	8%	2%	5%	4%	3%	5%
About the same	21%	21%	20%	21%	18%	22%	20%	6%	23%	20%	14%	20%	15%	26%	25%	16%	14%	22%
Worse	75%	71%	76%	75%	81%	75%	73%	88%	74%	74%	68%	86%	62%	83%	70%	80%	84%	73%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3a: My trust in the police

	Region			Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Do not trust at all	8%	10%	7%	11%	6%	6%	7%	21%	8%	5%	8%	7%	8%	8%	5%	11%	8%	8%
1	5%	10%	3%	10%	5%	2%	3%	10%	6%	4%	6%	3%	5%	4%	4%	6%	5%	5%
2	3%	4%	3%	8%	3%	3%	1%	0%	4%	1%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	5%	3%	3%
3	7%	10%	6%	8%	6%	8%	7%	16%	7%	6%	6%	9%	7%	6%	5%	7%	7%	7%
4	5%	5%	6%	11%	4%	4%	3%	0%	6%	6%	6%	4%	7%	4%	4%	7%	3%	6%
5	15%	19%	14%	17%	20%	14%	13%	11%	16%	15%	15%	12%	7%	20%	19%	25%	14%	14%
6	11%	9%	10%	11%	11%	10%	8%	5%	11%	8%	9%	10%	12%	8%	9%	10%	10%	9%
7	12%	8%	14%	6%	12%	24%	13%	14%	10%	16%	12%	13%	10%	14%	14%	11%	13%	12%
8	12%	7%	13%	8%	12%	13%	13%	5%	12%	11%	10%	12%	12%	16%	6%	5%	13%	13%
9	10%	7%	10%	5%	10%	6%	13%	1%	10%	10%	9%	10%	10%	9%	12%	7%	9%	10%
Completely trust	13%	9%	15%	7%	13%	7%	18%	21%	12%	13%	14%	12%	18%	11%	17%	9%	10%	14%
Mean	5.85	4.99	6.16	4.54	6.01	5.86	6.51	4.96	5.61	6.33	5.77	5.99	6.04	5.75	6.63	4.99	5.41	5.93
Median	6.00	5.00	7.00	6.00	7.00	7.00	5.00	6.00	6.00	7.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	7.00	5.00	6.00	6.00
Standard Deviation	3.02	3.05	2.95	3.00	2.84	2.64	2.97	3.61	3.06	2.76	3.08	2.91	3.18	2.91	2.83	2.98	2.89	3.03

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3b: Safety level in my neighborhood

	Region			Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household	Marital Status		Size of Household		
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)		Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Not at all safe	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	7%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
1	4%	12%	1%	10%	6%	0%	2%	3%	5%	2%	4%	3%	4%	4%	1%	8%	9%	3%
2	4%	7%	2%	8%	4%	0%	2%	13%	4%	1%	4%	3%	4%	4%	1%	6%	6%	3%
3	4%	8%	2%	6%	3%	3%	3%	14%	3%	2%	3%	5%	5%	3%	2%	6%	3%	4%
4	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	2%	5%	0%	5%	4%	5%	4%	3%	5%	5%	4%	9%	4%
5	8%	14%	6%	16%	6%	11%	4%	7%	6%	10%	6%	8%	9%	7%	7%	10%	8%	8%
6	9%	11%	8%	11%	16%	14%	9%	5%	10%	9%	8%	10%	8%	8%	10%	10%	9%	9%
7	16%	14%	16%	15%	17%	15%	16%	22%	17%	16%	14%	17%	15%	16%	17%	14%	16%	15%
8	16%	12%	18%	11%	12%	23%	18%	2%	13%	23%	18%	13%	13%	19%	13%	12%	17%	17%
9	18%	6%	23%	7%	17%	19%	25%	18%	18%	19%	17%	21%	17%	19%	12%	14%	15%	19%
Completely safe	15%	7%	18%	10%	14%	13%	18%	8%	16%	15%	15%	15%	16%	14%	16%	13%	12%	15%
Mean	6.90	5.39	7.44	5.57	6.74	7.41	7.53	5.48	6.78	7.33	6.88	6.84	6.84	7.45	6.30	6.22	7.01	7.01
Median	7.00	6.00	8.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	8.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	8.00	8.00
Standard Deviation	2.60	2.85	2.29	2.90	2.57	2.64	2.33	3.15	2.67	2.29	2.59	2.60	2.67	2.57	2.20	2.88	2.84	2.55

					Household Income						Education				Employment Status				Child <18 in Household	Marital Status				Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	+2 HH members (R)							
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						
Not at all respectful	3%	5%	3%	4%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	6%	3%	4%	4%						
1	8%	3%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	1%	4%	9%	2%	4%						
2	4%	5%	3%	5%	0%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	4%	3%	1%	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%						
3	3%	3%	4%	7%	4%	3%	1%	4%	5%	1%	2%	5%	4%	3%	1%	6%	3%	4%	4%						
4	5%	6%	5%	6%	5%	1%	7%	16%	4%	5%	5%	5%	4%	6%	3%	8%	8%	5%	5%						
5	10%	9%	10%	11%	7%	16%	7%	3%	11%	9%	7%	11%	7%	11%	8%	10%	14%	9%	9%						
6	11%	6%	5%	4%	4%	11%	4%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	7%	5%	6%	7%	6%	6%						
7	15%	18%	14%	18%	14%	11%	15%	26%	16%	12%	13%	18%	14%	16%	13%	17%	12%	16%	16%						
8	12%	14%	11%	14%	11%	22%	11%	3%	11%	18%	15%	11%	11%	15%	15%	12%	16%	16%	16%						
9	15%	9%	18%	9%	12%	20%	19%	17%	13%	18%	16%	15%	14%	16%	18%	12%	13%	16%	16%						
Completely respectful	22%	15%	25%	12%	23%	17%	28%	14%	23%	24%	21%	24%	25%	20%	31%	12%	12%	12%	24%						
Mean	6.10	6.96	5.89	6.27	6.82	7.27	6.88	6.99	7.50	6.99	7.68	7.08	6.96	7.78	6.05	7.00	6.20	7.00	7.00						
Median	8.00	7.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	8.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	8.00	8.00						
Standard Deviation	2.79	2.99	2.66	3.02	2.79	2.80	2.60	3.29	2.92	2.41	2.81	2.76	2.88	2.74	2.39	2.93	2.86	2.86	2.76						

Q4A: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding local law enforcement?

Members of the Chicago Police Department are handling their job well.

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Total	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Household Income				Education				Employment Status				Child <18 in Household	Marital Status		Size of Household	
				Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)		Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total (Unweighted)	N=596	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=437	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=401	N=627	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824	
Agree (Net)	28%	29%	27%	31%	20%	33%	27%	49%	24%	28%	29%	26%	23%	26%	24%	32%	16%	29%		
Strongly agree	11%	11%	9%	14%	5%	7%	9%	37%	6%	8%	9%	10%	11%	8%	7%	12%	5%	10%		
Somewhat agree	18%	17%	15%	17%	26%	26%	18%	12%	19%	18%	19%	16%	19%	17%	16%	18%	11%	19%		
Disagree (Net)	72%	71%	73%	73%	60%	72%	73%	61%	76%	72%	71%	74%	76%	74%	76%	68%	84%	71%		
Somewhat disagree	40%	40%	41%	37%	41%	43%	41%	24%	43%	41%	41%	41%	40%	40%	45%	36%	42%	40%		
Strongly disagree	31%	31%	32%	33%	39%	24%	32%	27%	33%	31%	30%	34%	29%	33%	31%	32%	41%	30%		

If I were to have an interaction with the Chicago police in my area, I am confident that they would treat me with courtesy and respect.

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

	Total (A)	City (B)	Region	Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household	Marital Status		Size of Household	
				Suburb (C)	More than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)		Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)
Total	N=967	N=260	N=737	N=240	N=154	N=550	N=999	N=517	N=458	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=148	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=842
Agree (Net)	79%	76%	76%	82%	76%	81%	84%	77%	86%	77%	81%	81%	77%	85%	72%	172%	78%
Strongly agree	32%	24%	35%	23%	27%	33%	38%	20%	30%	36%	33%	30%	34%	31%	39%	31%	32%
Somewhat agree	52%	47%	46%	46%	49%	48%	46%	43%	46%	51%	45%	49%	48%	49%	44%	53%	50%
Disagree (Net)	21%	24%	20%	24%	18%	16%	24%	24%	14%	23%	20%	19%	23%	20%	28%	16%	22%
Somewhat disagree	13%	15%	13%	18%	18%	12%	10%	15%	16%	10%	15%	11%	16%	12%	15%	11%	14%
Strongly disagree	8%	9%	7%	13%	6%	5%	6%	27%	8%	4%	8%	8%	7%	8%	13%	6%	8%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=240	Household Income				Education		Employed (I)	Not employed (I)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	Size of household (R)
					Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)								
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=240	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=607	N=452	N=556	N=660	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	64%	77%	59%	76%	62%	62%	57%	84%	61%	65%	63%	66%	61%	66%	59%	70%	68%	64%
Strongly agree	24%	37%	20%	37%	21%	17%	21%	43%	22%	23%	23%	27%	23%	25%	19%	30%	26%	24%
Somewhat agree	40%	40%	41%	40%	41%	40%	37%	40%	39%	38%	37%	38%	41%	39%	41%	42%	40%	40%
Disagree (Net)	36%	23%	41%	24%	34%	38%	43%	16%	39%	35%	37%	34%	39%	34%	41%	30%	32%	36%
Somewhat disagree	24%	15%	27%	15%	25%	25%	28%	10%	29%	20%	25%	22%	26%	23%	27%	21%	19%	25%
Strongly disagree	12%	8%	13%	8%	9%	13%	14%	6%	11%	15%	12%	12%	13%	13%	15%	9%	13%	12%

Major reforms are needed within the Chicago Police Department regarding treatment of black and Latino people.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=376	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	68%	74%	65%	82%	72%	64%	65%	82%	68%	65%	67%	69%	66%	67%	64%	68%	61%	69%
Strongly agree	34%	45%	30%	48%	30%	30%	28%	50%	32%	33%	31%	37%	33%	34%	29%	39%	25%	35%
Somewhat agree	34%	29%	34%	34%	42%	34%	31%	32%	36%	32%	36%	31%	33%	35%	34%	32%	37%	34%
Disagree (Net)	32%	26%	35%	18%	28%	36%	40%	18%	32%	35%	33%	31%	34%	32%	36%	29%	39%	31%
Somewhat disagree	20%	20%	20%	17%	23%	23%	25%	8%	22%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	21%	25%	19%	19%
Strongly disagree	12%	6%	14%	6%	12%	13%	16%	10%	13%	16%	13%	12%	14%	11%	17%	7%	13%	12%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q4B: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding local law enforcement?

Chicago police have been effective at reducing or preventing crime in my neighborhood.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=376	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	63%	56%	66%	50%	65%	72%	67%	50%	60%	70%	65%	60%	67%	61%	69%	57%	54%	65%
Strongly agree	18%	17%	18%	15%	17%	15%	23%	18%	15%	21%	17%	18%	20%	16%	19%	17%	16%	18%
Somewhat agree	45%	38%	48%	39%	48%	57%	45%	33%	45%	49%	48%	41%	47%	45%	50%	40%	38%	47%
Disagree (Net)	37%	44%	34%	50%	35%	28%	33%	50%	40%	30%	35%	40%	33%	39%	31%	43%	46%	35%
Somewhat disagree	23%	23%	22%	28%	23%	21%	20%	23%	26%	20%	21%	27%	20%	25%	20%	27%	22%	22%
Strongly disagree	14%	19%	12%	21%	10%	7%	13%	26%	14%	10%	14%	13%	13%	14%	11%	17%	18%	13%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

I have confidence in the Chicago Police Department to act fairly and without bias.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=376	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	55%	47%	58%	42%	57%	61%	47%	47%	55%	56%	56%	53%	61%	51%	62%	46%	53%	55%
Strongly agree	16%	12%	16%	12%	12%	15%	19%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	18%	12%	18%	12%	18%	18%
Somewhat agree	39%	32%	41%	30%	45%	38%	42%	35%	41%	37%	38%	40%	41%	38%	43%	34%	35%	39%
Disagree (Net)	45%	53%	42%	58%	45%	43%	39%	53%	45%	44%	44%	43%	39%	49%	38%	54%	47%	45%
Somewhat disagree	27%	30%	26%	33%	22%	34%	23%	22%	27%	28%	26%	29%	20%	31%	24%	30%	29%	27%
Strongly disagree	18%	24%	17%	25%	22%	9%	16%	31%	19%	16%	19%	18%	19%	18%	14%	24%	18%	18%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Chicago police are often able to solve crimes that occur in the city.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=376	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	58%	53%	60%	51%	52%	63%	43%	57%	63%	63%	57%	60%	60%	57%	62%	54%	49%	60%
Strongly agree	14%	15%	13%	11%	8%	14%	17%	17%	10%	18%	15%	12%	19%	11%	15%	12%	11%	14%
Somewhat agree	44%	38%	47%	40%	44%	49%	47%	40%	45%	43%	48%	48%	42%	46%	48%	42%	38%	46%
Disagree (Net)	42%	47%	42%	49%	48%	37%	40%	37%	40%	43%	40%	43%	40%	43%	38%	43%	51%	40%
Somewhat disagree	27%	26%	28%	27%	33%	29%	25%	38%	26%	28%	25%	30%	23%	30%	27%	27%	33%	26%
Strongly disagree	14%	20%	12%	22%	15%	8%	12%	19%	17%	10%	17%	10%	16%	13%	11%	18%	18%	14%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

The Chicago Police Department has the support of most city residents.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=376	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	60%	52%	67%	51%	62%	67%	66%	50%	61%	60%	61%	61%	63%	59%	61%	54%	60%	61%
Strongly agree	16%	16%	15%	11%	13%	16%	19%	9%	14%	19%	18%	11%	18%	14%	16%	15%	18%	15%
Somewhat agree	45%	39%	51%	41%	39%	47%	47%	47%	41%	49%	41%	50%	44%	45%	49%	39%	43%	45%
Disagree (Net)	40%	45%	38%	49%	48%	33%	34%	44%	45%	32%	40%	39%	37%	41%	35%	46%	38%	40%
Somewhat disagree	26%	27%	26%	31%	26%	23%	20%	23%	30%	21%	24%	29%	25%	27%	25%	28%	27%	26%
Strongly disagree	14%	18%	12%	18%	16%	9%	12%	21%	15%	10%	16%	10%	13%	14%	9%	18%	11%	14%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

The Chicago Police Department has the support of most BIPOC (i.e., Black, Indigenous, and People of Color) residents.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=376	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	34%	32%	35%	30%	27%	37%	39%	19%	32%	39%	37%	30%	46%	27%	30%	27%	37%	36%
Strongly agree	9%	7%	10%	7%	3%	5%	15%	7%	6%	14%	12%	6%	15%	6%	12%	6%	11%	9%
Somewhat agree	25%	23%	25%	23%	24%	32%	24%	13%	26%	25%	25%	21%	31%	21%	23%	16%	16%	26%
Disagree (Net)	66%	68%	65%	70%	73%	63%	61%	81%	68%	61%	63%	70%	54%	73%	62%	70%	73%	64%
Somewhat disagree	37%	37%	36%	38%	34%	34%	34%	37%	36%	34%	33%	42%	30%	40%	37%	36%	42%	36%
Strongly disagree	29%	30%	29%	32%	29%	30%	28%	43%	31%	24%	30%	28%	24%	32%	25%	34%	31%	29%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Chicago police should be brought in to handle situations regarding mental health patients and the homeless.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=376	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	45%	47%	44%	44%	48%	54%	42%	17%	52%	41%	48%	41%	51%	42%	44%	47%	45%	45%
Strongly agree	12%	17%	10%	16%	15%	10%	13%	15%	15%	13%	15%	15%	15%	11%	15%	10%	12%	13%
Somewhat agree	33%	30%	34%	27%	33%	44%	32%	5%	40%	28%	36%	26%	36%	31%	34%	32%	33%	33%
Disagree (Net)	55%	53%	56%	56%	52%	46%	58%	83%	49%	59%	52%	59%	49%	58%	56%	53%	55%	55%
Somewhat disagree	32%	30%	33%	32%	37%	28%	35%	47%	36%	38%	37%	36%	30%	34%	30%	30%	31%	32%
Strongly disagree	23%	23%	22%	29%	16%	18%	23%	36%	22%	21%	22%	23%	20%	25%	22%	24%	24%	23%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Increased police presence is necessary for reducing gun violence in the city.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=376	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
Agree (Net)	82%	80%	82%	76%	83%	85%	83%	63%	82%	85%	83%	80%	80%	83%	87%	76%	84%	81%
Strongly agree	43%	37%	45%	45%	45%	46%	43%	48%	43%	42%	44%	44%	43%	42%	47%	39%	42%	43%
Somewhat agree	39%	43%	37%	31%	39%	40%	40%	15%	39%	42%	40%	36%	37%	40%	40%	38%	42%	38%
Disagree (Net)	18%	20%	15%	24%	17%	15%	17%	37%	18%	15%	17%	20%	20%	17%	13%	16%	16%	19%
Somewhat disagree	13%	17%	12%	14%	14%	10%	14%	22%	13%	12%	12%	15%	13%	11%	16%	13%	13%	13%
Strongly disagree	5%	4%	5%	10%	3%	5%	3%	15%	5%	3%	5%	5%	6%	4%	2%	8%	3%	5%

Table Base:		ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS																			
There should be more funding for non-policing alternatives (e.g., social worker dispatches, neighborhood patrols, restorative justice circles).																					
		Region				Household Income				Education		Employment Status				Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H) (N)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)			
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859			
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824			
Agree (Net)	75%	82%	73%	82%	74%	75%	72%	70%	72%	80%	76%	74%	72%	77%	72%	79%	78%	75%			
Strongly agree	36%	43%	33%	41%	40%	42%	33%	40%	31%	42%	38%	33%	38%	35%	33%	40%	31%	37%			
Somewhat agree	39%	39%	39%	41%	35%	42%	39%	35%	41%	38%	30%	41%	34%	39%	39%	46%	38%	38%			
Disagree (Net)	25%	18%	27%	18%	26%	25%	28%	30%	28%	20%	24%	26%	28%	23%	28%	21%	22%	25%			
Somewhat disagree	16%	10%	18%	10%	15%	16%	19%	25%	19%	10%	16%	16%	19%	14%	18%	14%	10%	17%			
Strongly disagree	9%	8%	10%	8%	10%	9%	9%	5%	9%	9%	9%	10%	10%	9%	10%	8%	12%	9%			

ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS																			
Table Base: The current level of funding for the Chicago Police Department is too high.																			
	Region		Household Income						Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859	
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824	
Agree (Net)	41%	57%	36%	51%	28%	45%	40%	62%	36%	45%	45%	36%	48%	38%	37%	47%	31%	43%	
Strongly agree	17%	27%	14%	23%	13%	16%	16%	43%	13%	17%	18%	16%	18%	16%	12%	23%	13%	18%	
Somewhat agree	25%	30%	23%	28%	15%	29%	24%	19%	23%	28%	27%	20%	30%	22%	25%	24%	19%	26%	
Disagree (Net)	59%	43%	64%	49%	72%	55%	60%	38%	64%	55%	55%	64%	52%	62%	63%	53%	69%	57%	
Somewhat disagree	38%	32%	40%	31%	48%	35%	39%	22%	40%	38%	34%	44%	32%	41%	40%	35%	44%	37%	
Strongly disagree	21%	11%	24%	18%	25%	20%	21%	16%	25%	16%	21%	20%	20%	21%	23%	18%	25%	20%	

Table Base:		ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS																	
Q5: Which of the following apply to you? Please select all that apply.																			
		Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859	
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824	
Growing up, I was taught that the police were a friend to me and my community.	63%	55%	65%	57%	52%	74%	66%	60%	62%	65%	59%	69%	55%	67%	64%	61%	63%	63%	
As an adult, I feel that the police are a friend to me and my community.	48%	36%	52%	31%	50%	48%	57%	38%	44%	55%	46%	52%	47%	49%	55%	41%	47%	48%	
I have a friend of family member who works for the Chicago Police Department.	21%	24%	21%	19%	14%	23%	25%	20%	19%	25%	22%	21%	24%	20%	20%	23%	17%	22%	
I have had a negative experience with a member of the Chicago Police Department.	16%	22%	14%	20%	19%	17%	12%	22%	17%	13%	18%	12%	17%	15%	12%	20%	22%	15%	
If I were to report police misconduct to the Chicago Police Department, I believe I would receive retaliation from officers for filing a complaint.	15%	12%	16%	16%	13%	17%	14%	26%	14%	15%	18%	10%	13%	16%	14%	16%	10%	16%	
I have been afraid to report police misconduct to the Chicago Police Department because I believed I would receive retaliation from officers.	11%	15%	10%	17%	11%	13%	7%	15%	13%	8%	14%	6%	16%	8%	8%	14%	11%	11%	
I have been racially profiled in at least one interaction with police officers in Chicago.	11%	15%	9%	16%	8%	11%	9%	13%	11%	10%	14%	5%	10%	11%	7%	15%	15%	10%	
Since May 2020, I have donated to or directly supported (e.g., volunteering, providing non-financial resources) organizations that advocate for racial justice, criminal justice, or police reform.	10%	11%	10%	6%	16%	7%	13%	20%	8%	11%	10%	11%	14%	8%	10%	11%	5%	11%	
Since May 2020, I have attended at least one protest advocating for racial justice, criminal justice, or police reform.	8%	10%	8%	10%	6%	8%	8%	7%	6%	11%	11%	4%	10%	7%	8%	8%	6%	9%	
None of the above	12%	8%	13%	16%	12%	11%	9%	15%	13%	10%	12%	12%	11%	12%	11%	12%	11%	12%	
Count	2.15	2.06	2.18	2.06	2.01	2.28	2.20	2.36	2.06	2.22	2.23	2.01	2.18	2.13	2.10	2.20	2.08	2.16	

Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS																			
Q6: Perhaps you have heard of the campaign "Defund the Police," which refers to the movement to reduce police department budgets and redistribute those funds towards essential social services that are often underfunded, such as housing, education, employment, mental health care, and youth services. Given this understanding, how much do you support or oppose this campaign?																			
			Region		Household Income				Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859	
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824	
Support (Net)	42%	55%	38%	50%	39%	44%	39%	40%	41%	44%	44%	38%	51%	37%	40%	45%	28%	44%	
Strongly support	18%	29%	14%	23%	14%	22%	16%	24%	18%	17%	20%	15%	23%	15%	21%	11%	19%		
Somewhat support	24%	26%	23%	27%	25%	22%	23%	15%	23%	27%	25%	23%	27%	22%	24%	17%	25%		
Oppose (Net)	58%	45%	62%	50%	61%	56%	61%	60%	59%	56%	56%	62%	49%	63%	60%	55%	72%	56%	
Somewhat oppose	19%	25%	16%	18%	27%	13%	18%	2%	19%	21%	21%	15%	21%	18%	19%	27%	17%		
Strongly oppose	39%	20%	46%	32%	34%	43%	44%	59%	40%	34%	35%	47%	36%	42%	43%	36%	45%	38%	

Table Base:		ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS																		
Q6A: Where do you think reallocated funds from the police department should go? Please select all that apply.																				
		Total (A)	City (B)	Region	Household Income				Education		Employment Status			Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
				Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
		N=420	N=143	N=277	N=59	N=60	N=177	N=30	N=181	N=179	N=276	N=144	N=188	N=122	N=207	N=213	N=39	N=381		
Total	(Unweighted)	N=416	N=145	N=271	N=181	N=71	N=52	N=112	N=17	N=196	N=203	N=249	N=167	N=170	N=246	N=214	N=202	N=58	N=358	
Mental health care programs	67%	71%	65%	67%	67%	65%	73%	66%	96%	64%	65%	62%	76%	61%	72%	68%	66%	69%	67%	
Gun violence prevention	62%	58%	64%	63%	63%	47%	58%	68%	76%	56%	68%	61%	65%	59%	68%	59%	65%	56%	63%	
Homeless services and shelters	60%	59%	60%	62%	62%	51%	55%	62%	88%	56%	59%	53%	72%	55%	63%	55%	64%	50%	61%	
Youth services (e.g., community centers, mentorship programs, after-school programs)	53%	49%	55%	48%	64%	48%	55%	41%	52%	56%	55%	50%	48%	58%	59%	48%	49%	54%		
Affordable housing services	50%	49%	51%	57%	59%	47%	44%	66%	54%	43%	50%	50%	44%	56%	38%	63%	45%	51%		
Hiring/integrating social workers into police forces to handle situations where police may not be needed	50%	44%	53%	48%	50%	49%	52%	59%	49%	49%	48%	54%	37%	60%	49%	51%	47%	50%		
Local education/schools	47%	46%	48%	47%	34%	55%	50%	79%	41%	50%	52%	59%	38%	56%	41%	47%	40%	48%		
Local healthcare services	46%	39%	49%	48%	48%	50%	43%	54%	48%	42%	47%	43%	49%	43%	47%	45%	40%	46%		
Unemployment/Re-employment services	46%	45%	46%	44%	46%	60%	42%	45%	44%	48%	48%	40%	45%	46%	48%	43%	31%	47%		
Substance abuse services	42%	39%	44%	40%	38%	46%	44%	51%	39%	45%	41%	45%	29%	53%	37%	47%	39%	43%		
People returning to their communities from jail or prison (e.g., social/family reintegration, expungement, healthcare assistance)	40%	39%	41%	40%	38%	41%	41%	60%	38%	40%	41%	40%	37%	43%	35%	46%	49%	39%		
Other (please specify):	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	
Not sure	3%	1%	4%	5%	1%	0%	2%	0%	5%	1%	1%	6%	0%	5%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	
Count	5.67	5.41	5.81	5.69	5.43	5.81	5.70	7.12	5.48	5.66	5.59	5.83	5.19	6.06	5.45	5.89	5.19	5.72		

* Table Base: SUPPORTS DEFUND THE POLICE

Q6B: Which of the following should have the highest priority for reallocated funds from the police department?

	Region			Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=405	N=141	N=265	N=116	N=57	N=60	N=173	N=30	N=198	N=177	N=274	N=132	N=188	N=218	N=202	N=203	N=37	N=368
Total (Unweighted)	N=397	N=139	N=258	N=108	N=68	N=52	N=109	N=16	N=183	N=198	N=243	N=154	N=167	N=230	N=205	N=192	N=55	N=342
Gun violence prevention	21%	23%	19%	29%	19%	5%	21%	2%	23%	22%	21%	21%	17%	24%	20%	21%	19%	21%
Hiring/integrating social workers into police forces to handle situations where police may not be needed	18%	10%	22%	12%	21%	18%	20%	17%	19%	17%	18%	18%	10%	24%	25%	10%	13%	18%
Homeless services and shelters	13%	13%	14%	13%	15%	11%	16%	13%	15%	11%	13%	13%	18%	10%	17%	10%	9%	14%
Mental health care programs	11%	14%	10%	10%	12%	14%	10%	28%	6%	14%	10%	16%	6%	13%	10%	10%	12%	11%
Local education/schools	8%	4%	10%	5%	3%	15%	9%	20%	7%	7%	7%	9%	10%	6%	5%	11%	9%	8%
Affordable housing services	7%	10%	6%	9%	11%	14%	3%	13%	8%	5%	8%	6%	8%	6%	5%	10%	5%	8%
Youth services (e.g., community centers, mentorship programs, after-school programs)	7%	8%	7%	5%	11%	4%	8%	0%	9%	7%	6%	10%	5%	8%	6%	8%	6%	7%
Unemployment/Re-employment services	6%	9%	5%	4%	6%	9%	7%	0%	5%	9%	8%	2%	7%	6%	6%	7%	18%	5%
People returning to their communities from jail or prison (e.g., social/family reintegration, expungement, healthcare assistance)	5%	8%	4%	1%	1%	8%	9%	1%	7%	5%	7%	3%	7%	4%	7%	4%	6%	5%
Local healthcare services	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	0%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%
Substance abuse services	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Other (please specify):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not sure	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

* Table Base: THINK FUNDS TAKEN FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE REALLOCATED TO AT LEAST ONE LISTED SERVICE

Q7: How likely do you think it is that the following situations will happen as a result of defunding the police?

Defunding the police will lead to fewer officers in my neighborhood.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
At least somewhat likely (Net)	78%	72%	80%	78%	73%	83%	79%	75%	79%	78%	80%	75%	76%	80%	79%	78%	75%	79%
Very likely	39%	27%	43%	36%	35%	39%	43%	38%	40%	38%	40%	38%	39%	39%	42%	37%	38%	39%
Somewhat likely	39%	45%	37%	44%	39%	44%	36%	37%	38%	41%	40%	37%	37%	40%	37%	41%	37%	40%
Not very likely	15%	19%	13%	14%	16%	12%	16%	14%	15%	15%	13%	17%	14%	15%	15%	14%	16%	15%
Not at all likely	7%	9%	6%	8%	10%	5%	6%	11%	6%	7%	6%	8%	10%	5%	6%	8%	9%	6%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will mean more funding for social services that can improve issues such as mental health, addiction, and homelessness.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859	
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
At least somewhat likely (Net)	57%	74%	51%	69%	54%	55%	61%	54%	59%	58%	58%	56%	61%	55%	52%	63%	52%	58%
Very likely	22%	30%	19%	31%	18%	21%	18%	33%	21%	20%	23%	20%	25%	20%	16%	28%	24%	21%
Somewhat likely	35%	44%	32%	38%	34%	34%	34%	30%	33%	34%	35%	30%	36%	35%	35%	28%	28%	36%
Not very likely	27%	20%	29%	18%	35%	32%	28%	18%	32%	23%	27%	27%	25%	28%	28%	26%	29%	27%
Not at all likely	16%	6%	19%	13%	11%	13%	20%	19%	14%	18%	15%	17%	15%	17%	21%	11%	18%	16%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will lead to an increase in crime in my neighborhood.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)			\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=730	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859	N=738
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824	N=700	N=824
At least somewhat likely (Net)	65%	59%	67%	60%	68%	71%	65%	46%	69%	63%	65%	64%	65%	65%	65%	61%	65%	65%	65%	65%
Very likely	37%	27%	40%	28%	39%	36%	41%	27%	38%	37%	37%	36%	36%	37%	41%	32%	36%	37%	37%	37%
Somewhat likely	28%	32%	27%	32%	29%	35%	24%	19%	31%	27%	28%	28%	29%	28%	27%	29%	29%	29%	28%	28%
Not very likely	25%	27%	24%	23%	19%	22%	28%	24%	20%	31%	26%	23%	23%	22%	26%	24%	25%	26%	24%	24%
Not at all likely	10%	14%	9%	17%	12%	7%	7%	30%	11%	5%	8%	13%	13%	9%	7%	13%	9%	9%	10%	10%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will start a real dialogue about the changes needed for policing in Chicago.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)			\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=473	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824	N=172	N=824
At least somewhat likely (Net)	53%	62%	49%	61%	54%	49%	49%	67%	53%	50%	53%	51%	56%	51%	50%	56%	56%	42%	54%	54%
Very likely	18%	19%	17%	25%	15%	11%	17%	31%	19%	14%	19%	16%	17%	18%	16%	20%	16%	16%	18%	18%
Somewhat likely	35%	43%	32%	36%	39%	38%	32%	36%	34%	36%	35%	35%	39%	33%	34%	36%	27%	36%	27%	36%
Not very likely	22%	22%	21%	26%	23%	29%	17%	17%	23%	20%	21%	23%	22%	21%	21%	21%	21%	23%	23%	21%
Not at all likely	26%	16%	29%	14%	23%	23%	34%	16%	24%	29%	26%	26%	26%	22%	28%	30%	24%	21%	34%	24%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will only benefit communities of color.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
At least somewhat likely (Net)	33%	36%	32%	34%	33%	42%	29%	17%	38%	29%	37%	26%	37%	30%	32%	33%	25%	34%
Very likely	13%	18%	11%	16%	13%	16%	10%	5%	14%	12%	16%	8%	13%	12%	13%	13%	9%	13%
Somewhat likely	20%	18%	21%	18%	20%	26%	19%	12%	24%	17%	21%	19%	24%	18%	19%	21%	17%	21%
Not very likely	36%	38%	35%	40%	39%	30%	34%	35%	34%	38%	33%	40%	34%	38%	34%	38%	45%	34%
Not at all likely	32%	26%	34%	26%	28%	29%	36%	48%	28%	33%	30%	34%	32%	31%	34%	29%	30%	32%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will not contribute to repairing the relationship between the police and Chicago residents.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=154	N=137	N=458	N=76	N=517	N=404	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=452	N=556	N=440	N=317	N=679	N=568	N=428	N=172	N=824
At least somewhat likely (Net)	62%	56%	64%	51%	70%	54%	67%	38%	63%	64%	64%	58%	61%	62%	66%	56%	59%	62%
Very likely	32%	22%	35%	21%	39%	34%	34%	23%	32%	33%	33%	29%	28%	34%	33%	30%	30%	32%
Somewhat likely	30%	33%	29%	30%	31%	21%	32%	15%	31%	32%	30%	29%	32%	28%	33%	26%	28%	30%
Not very likely	24%	29%	22%	31%	21%	33%	19%	35%	22%	25%	21%	29%	24%	24%	21%	28%	30%	23%
Not at all likely	14%	16%	14%	18%	9%	13%	15%	27%	15%	11%	15%	13%	15%	14%	13%	16%	12%	15%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
				Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=997	N=260	N=737	N=249	N=514	N=137	N=548	N=517	N=622	N=376	N=370	N=627	N=525	N=473	N=138	N=859		
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=252	N=744	N=385	N=206	N=149	N=256	N=37	N=507	N=454	N=556	N=440	N=568	N=478	N=172	N=824		
Outside advisors	48%	51%	47%	44%	56%	47%	50%	47%	50%	42%	53%	42%	52%	47%	57%	47%		
Chicago Police Department	44%	51%	41%	45%	46%	44%	42%	47%	39%	48%	43%	44%	41%	41%	47%	38%		
Mayor Lori Lightfoot	42%	40%	42%	33%	47%	37%	39%	46%	37%	42%	42%	42%	44%	42%	41%	46%		
Individual officers	35%	38%	33%	35%	40%	34%	33%	39%	34%	34%	32%	39%	32%	36%	31%	34%		
The news media	33%	31%	34%	28%	31%	35%	36%	24%	36%	31%	32%	34%	28%	36%	30%	33%		
Counter-protestors	27%	33%	27%	25%	31%	33%	25%	14%	31%	24%	29%	31%	29%	34%	28%	29%		
Peaceful protestors	9%	12%	9%	12%	9%	11%	9%	11%	13%	9%	12%	8%	10%	10%	4%	10%		
Other (please specify):	3%	2%	3%	1%	6%	4%	3%	0%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	6%	2%		
Not sure	9%	6%	10%	12%	4%	11%	7%	11%	8%	8%	10%	9%	8%	7%	10%	8%		
Count	2.49	2.60	2.45	2.34	2.62	2.76	2.44	2.08	2.58	2.44	2.45	2.55	2.39	2.54	2.53	2.48		

Q8A: Why do you think individual officers should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

* Table Base: THINK INDIVIDUAL OFFICERS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

			Region	Household Income					Education			Employment Status			Child <18 in Household	Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	N=434	N=131	N=303	N=112	N=70	N=60	N=192	N=36	N=70	N=203	N=195	N=164	N=174	N=260	N=213	N=221	N=53	N=381	
Total (Unweighted)	N=414	N=118	N=296	N=154	N=88	N=66	N=106	N=16	N=283	N=215	N=270	N=249	N=142	N=260	N=223	N=191	N=67	N=347	
Its members were not trained well enough to deal with protests of this size.				47%	40%	50%	49%	50%	44%	45%	28%	51%	46%	44%	51%	40%	51%	49%	44%
The police were improperly trained on mass arrest procedures.				46%	46%	46%	52%	43%	45%	44%	42%	51%	41%	46%	40%	50%	47%	45%	46%
The police were unprepared for a possibility of mass arrests.				44%	36%	47%	50%	50%	39%	40%	44%	46%	41%	44%	39%	47%	41%	46%	44%
Their policies are too confrontational or aggressive.				40%	43%	38%	47%	37%	37%	30%	46%	35%	42%	36%	39%	40%	38%	41%	39%
There was confusion due to lack of coordination and communication by the police.				39%	26%	44%	32%	38%	32%	45%	2%	42%	41%	37%	42%	29%	45%	45%	37%
The department is racially prejudiced.				37%	41%	35%	54%	23%	30%	35%	66%	37%	32%	35%	42%	36%	37%	38%	38%
Officers could act as they pleased because there was no authoritative accountability system for their actions.				30%	30%	30%	34%	23%	23%	33%	48%	31%	27%	30%	31%	26%	33%	24%	31%
The department did not care about or respect the protestors.				28%	38%	23%	36%	20%	22%	28%	32%	31%	24%	24%	35%	22%	31%	26%	27%
They were not following orders or guidelines from Mayor Lightfoot.				18%	19%	17%	27%	8%	12%	18%	47%	16%	14%	17%	19%	22%	15%	24%	19%
They were looking for trouble.				16%	26%	12%	23%	3%	11%	19%	28%	19%	11%	19%	22%	12%	13%	20%	17%
Their policies are not confrontational or aggressive enough.				12%	10%	13%	10%	10%	13%	0%	10%	16%	12%	11%	10%	13%	14%	17%	11%
Other (please specify):				2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	10%	1%	0%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%
Count	3.59	3.55	3.60	4.13	3.06	3.18	3.59	3.67	3.83	3.31	3.53	3.68	3.37	3.73	3.47	3.70	3.48	3.60	

Q8C: Why do you think peaceful protestors should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply

* Table Base: THINK PEACEFUL PROTESTORS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8D: Why do you think counter-protestors should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

		Region		Household Income							Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)		
Total	N=269	N=78	N=191	N=62	N=48	N=45	N=114	N=11	N=162	N=96	N=166	N=103	N=115	N=154	N=158	N=111	N=21	N=248		
Total (Unweighted)	N=253	N=74	N=179	N=50	N=35	N=59	N=59	N=7	N=100	N=101	N=136	N=117	N=88	N=165	N=152	N=101	N=30	N=213		
They were looking for trouble.	63%	65%	62%	72%	68%	51%	61%	37%	63%	66%	64%	61%	50%	72%	61%	65%	86%	61%		
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	60%	44%	66%	72%	53%	40%	63%	80%	61%	55%	55%	67%	53%	64%	57%	63%	58%	60%		
They made it harder for the police to identify peaceful and non-peaceful protestors.	59%	53%	62%	78%	66%	42%	52%	17%	61%	60%	56%	63%	48%	67%	58%	60%	60%	59%		
They did not care about or respect the police.	53%	45%	57%	59%	45%	55%	53%	60%	58%	45%	55%	50%	55%	52%	56%	50%	35%	55%		
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	45%	41%	46%	49%	36%	19%	56%	16%	39%	57%	49%	38%	45%	44%	49%	38%	35%	46%		
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorities/government.	39%	37%	40%	39%	29%	33%	46%	76%	37%	39%	42%	35%	43%	37%	41%	38%	30%	40%		
Their cause or reason for protesting was not justified.	28%	25%	29%	44%	33%	17%	21%	17%	33%	21%	20%	40%	24%	31%	26%	30%	39%	27%		
Other (please specify):	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	0%		
Count	3.47	3.10	3.62	4.16	3.32	2.57	3.52	3.03	3.51	3.45	3.42	3.55	3.17	3.69	3.49	3.45	3.46	3.47		

* Table Base: THINK COUNTER-PROTESTORS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8E: Why do you think outside agitators should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

		Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
		Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=481	N=133	N=348	N=109	N=77	N=77	N=220	N=20	N=260	N=201	N=286	N=196	N=149	N=332	N=283	N=199	N=79	N=402	
Total (Unweighted)	N=505	N=118	N=387	N=190	N=113	N=86	N=116	N=12	N=276	N=217	N=249	N=256	N=134	N=371	N=307	N=198	N=93	N=412	
They were looking for trouble.	79%	73%	81%	80%	69%	88%	79%	63%	77%	83%	76%	83%	68%	84%	82%	75%	84%	78%	
They made it harder for the police to identify peaceful and non-peaceful protestors.	67%	67%	66%	71%	61%	66%	67%	32%	68%	69%	66%	68%	69%	66%	69%	63%	64%	67%	
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	65%	57%	69%	66%	70%	69%	62%	20%	68%	67%	66%	65%	55%	70%	67%	63%	71%	64%	
They did not care about or respect the police.	64%	61%	65%	67%	64%	69%	61%	43%	66%	64%	61%	63%	56%	68%	65%	63%	74%	62%	
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorities/government.	53%	49%	55%	55%	44%	45%	59%	67%	51%	54%	53%	53%	55%	52%	51%	56%	49%	54%	
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	42%	38%	44%	48%	39%	31%	45%	32%	43%	43%	44%	41%	37%	45%	44%	40%	42%	42%	
Other (please specify):	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	
Count	3.72	3.44	3.83	3.87	3.52	3.67	3.73	2.57	3.73	3.82	3.66	3.80	3.41	3.86	3.80	3.61	3.86	3.69	

* Table Base: THINK OUTSIDE AGITATORS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8F: Why do you think Mayor Lori Lightfoot should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status			Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=417	N=104	N=313	N=83	N=78	N=65	N=192	N=29	N=237	N=151	N=260	N=157	N=140	N=277	N=222	N=195	N=77	N=340
Total (Unweighted)	N=407	N=103	N=304	N=141	N=98	N=67	N=101	N=10	N=216	N=181	N=225	N=182	N=106	N=301	N=233	N=174	N=91	N=316
Mayor Lightfoot did not have the ability to properly handle the protests.	48%	40%	51%	42%	43%	50%	52%	44%	45%	54%	41%	59%	39%	52%	45%	51%	57%	46%
Mayor Lightfoot did not provide an authoritative accountability system for police actions, allowing officers to act as they pleased.	43%	44%	43%	45%	36%	41%	45%	43%	40%	48%	44%	41%	38%	45%	40%	46%	34%	45%
Mayor Lightfoot's policies on policing are not aggressive enough.	40%	34%	42%	37%	28%	46%	44%	58%	42%	33%	32%	54%	29%	46%	38%	43%	46%	39%
Mayor Lightfoot is not respected by the Chicago Police Department.	39%	26%	43%	33%	25%	48%	44%	27%	32%	51%	40%	37%	34%	41%	42%	35%	51%	36%
Mayor Lightfoot did not have the support from other local leaders to effectively handle the protests.	29%	32%	27%	23%	26%	45%	27%	10%	25%	37%	30%	26%	24%	31%	31%	26%	29%	29%
Mayor Lightfoot's policies on policing are too aggressive or militaristic.	17%	19%	17%	24%	18%	21%	13%	36%	18%	13%	20%	13%	31%	11%	16%	19%	9%	19%
Other (please specify):	5%	6%	4%	4%	13%	1%	4%	0%	4%	7%	4%	7%	0%	7%	3%	7%	16%	2%
Count	2.21	2.02	2.27	2.07	1.89	2.53	2.29	2.18	2.07	2.43	2.11	2.37	1.97	2.33	2.15	2.27	2.41	2.16

* Table Base: THINK MAYOR LORI LIGHTFOOT SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8G: Why do you think the news media should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Region		Household Income							Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	N=329	N=80	N=249	N=70	N=47	N=48	N=163	N=18	N=185	N=126	N=202	N=127	N=103	N=225	N=185	N=144	N=46	N=283	
Total (Unweighted)	N=322	N=66	N=256	N=118	N=60	N=54	N=90	N=12	N=176	N=134	N=175	N=147	N=99	N=223	N=196	N=126	N=47	N=275	
They spread inaccurate information about police.	63%	44%	69%	49%	69%	61%	68%	15%	66%	66%	68%	55%	64%	63%	67%	58%	64%	63%	
They were looking to create news stories where there normally wouldn't be one.	58%	43%	63%	52%	49%	57%	64%	15%	62%	59%	58%	58%	62%	56%	61%	54%	58%	58%	
They skewed public perception of the police to become more negative.	57%	41%	62%	44%	47%	64%	63%	31%	56%	63%	55%	60%	50%	60%	62%	51%	65%	56%	
They spread inaccurate information about protestors.	53%	42%	57%	59%	48%	48%	54%	46%	57%	49%	51%	56%	57%	51%	51%	56%	35%	56%	
They encouraged confrontational or aggressive behavior by protestors.	50%	42%	52%	51%	31%	50%	54%	38%	48%	54%	49%	51%	44%	52%	47%	53%	51%	49%	
They encouraged more people to protest.	46%	38%	49%	48%	54%	48%	43%	19%	54%	39%	44%	50%	41%	49%	48%	44%	57%	45%	
They favored the protestors in reporting.	44%	32%	48%	32%	42%	54%	46%	24%	47%	42%	44%	44%	39%	46%	48%	38%	45%	44%	
They favored the police in reporting.	13%	22%	10%	15%	13%	5%	14%	37%	11%	12%	14%	11%	21%	9%	9%	17%	11%	13%	
Other (please specify).	5%	1%	6%	3%	7%	11%	3%	0%	6%	3%	6%	3%	1%	6%	4%	5%	2%	5%	
Count	3.88	3.05	4.15	3.53	3.60	3.97	4.10	2.27	4.06	3.86	3.90	3.86	3.79	3.93	3.98	3.77	3.89	3.88	

* Table Base: THINK THE NEWS MEDIA SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q9: What would reform of Chicago law enforcement look like to you? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Household Income				Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
				Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=760	N=206	N=555	N=208	N=122	N=96	N=335	N=70	N=381	N=310	N=473	N=287	N=263	N=497	N=380	N=381	N=100	N=661
Total (Unweighted)	N=749	N=199	N=550	N=306	N=154	N=100	N=189	N=31	N=379	N=339	N=413	N=336	N=230	N=519	N=404	N=345	N=127	N=622
De-escalation training for all officers	53%	47%	56%	40%	48%	57%	62%	38%	51%	60%	55%	52%	40%	61%	57%	49%	53%	54%
Race-focused training for all officers	51%	47%	52%	52%	41%	44%	55%	64%	45%	55%	49%	53%	45%	54%	53%	49%	41%	52%
Sensitivity training for all officers	49%	57%	46%	45%	42%	47%	55%	50%	41%	60%	47%	52%	36%	50%	49%	47%	53%	48%
Bias training for all officers	46%	47%	45%	46%	38%	42%	49%	39%	42%	52%	47%	44%	37%	50%	41%	38%	47%	47%
Stricter rules and regulations from the Chicago Police Board (the disciplinary body for Chicago police officers)	45%	47%	44%	45%	36%	43%	49%	37%	40%	52%	41%	51%	40%	47%	46%	44%	45%	45%

Increased racial and ethnic diversity within the department	43%	42%	44%	40%	37%	38%	49%	40%	40%	48%	47%	37%	40%	45%	48%	38%	39%	44%
Introduction of social workers onto police force	42%	43%	42%	37%	33%	52%	45%	32%	37%	51%	43%	41%	42%	42%	50%	34%	36%	43%
New policies on gun use	41%	45%	40%	47%	35%	45%	39%	30%	43%	42%	42%	40%	40%	42%	42%	41%	30%	43%
Greater reliance on non-lethal weapons	41%	38%	41%	31%	28%	34%	52%	47%	34%	47%	39%	43%	32%	45%	42%	39%	42%	40%
More stringent recruiting requirements	33%	30%	34%	28%	26%	40%	37%	24%	30%	38%	34%	31%	27%	36%	37%	29%	32%	33%
Civilian oversight committee	27%	31%	25%	20%	20%	34%	31%	8%	22%	37%	26%	28%	20%	30%	29%	24%	25%	27%
Completely new leadership	25%	25%	25%	20%	17%	40%	26%	21%	26%	24%	29%	18%	23%	26%	27%	27%	25%	25%
Reduced funding for the police department	20%	27%	17%	17%	17%	15%	23%	34%	15%	22%	22%	16%	20%	15%	24%	15%	15%	20%
Other (please specify):	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	4%	3%	3%	1%	4%	2%	4%	9%	2%
Not sure	8%	11%	7%	15%	9%	5%	4%	22%	9%	3%	4%	14%	8%	5%	10%	11%	7%	7%
Count	5.26	5.39	5.21	4.87	4.32	5.42	5.80	4.88	4.78	5.94	5.26	5.26	4.48	5.67	5.49	5.04	4.93	5.31

* Table Base: AGREE CHICAGO LAW ENFORCEMENT NEEDS REFORM

Q10: Which of the following do you think are effective policies for reducing gun violence in Chicago? Please select all that apply.

	Region			Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	N=956	N=250	N=707	N=241	N=141	N=134	N=440	N=76	N=493	N=387	N=592	N=364	N=353	N=604	N=502	N=454	N=137	N=820
Total (Unweighted)	N=944	N=238	N=706	N=370	N=191	N=142	N=241	N=37	N=475	N=432	N=525	N=419	N=292	N=652	N=539	N=405	N=168	N=776
Background checks for all gun sales	63%	67%	62%	64%	67%	59%	63%	54%	63%	66%	61%	67%	50%	71%	63%	64%	68%	62%
Higher penalties for gun-related crimes	60%	53%	62%	56%	61%	74%	58%	49%	57%	65%	59%	61%	52%	65%	65%	54%	61%	60%
Increased police presence in neighborhoods with high gun violence	55%	47%	58%	51%	52%	63%	56%	34%	58%	55%	54%	57%	54%	56%	60%	50%	53%	55%
Increase the police's community involvement with residents in neighborhoods with high gun violence	51%	40%	55%	51%	45%	63%	50%	33%	53%	52%	50%	53%	44%	55%	55%	46%	45%	52%
Youth services and after-school programs	45%	46%	45%	51%	32%	52%	44%	30%	47%	46%	45%	47%	44%	46%	46%	45%	41%	46%
Red flag laws/Extreme risk protection orders (i.e., police can remove or block an individual's access to firearms if they believe the weapons will be used for harm)	44%	38%	47%	47%	36%	45%	46%	40%	44%	45%	42%	49%	41%	47%	44%	45%	38%	45%
Buy-back of certain firearms (e.g., semi-automatic assault weapons)	35%	34%	36%	33%	35%	38%	36%	28%	35%	38%	34%	38%	30%	39%	36%	35%	34%	36%
Other (please specify):	4%	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	5%	0%	4%	4%	5%	3%	3%	5%	5%	3%	4%	4%
Not sure	5%	6%	5%	11%	5%	2%	3%	18%	4%	4%	4%	7%	5%	6%	3%	8%	10%	5%
Count	3.64	3.34	3.74	3.66	3.37	3.98	3.61	2.85	3.66	3.76	3.54	3.81	3.22	3.88	3.76	3.50	3.55	3.65

* Table Base: AGREE GUN VIOLENCE NEEDS TO BE REDUCED IN CHICAGO

Reimagining Public Safety

Q1: Which of the following do you think is the most important problem in Chicago today?

	Region			Household Income				Education				Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	154	737	249	154	137	404	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859	
Total (Unweighted)	996	154	744	252	154	149	404	77	507	440	622	376	317	679	568	428	172	824	
Gun violence	502	120	374	130	79	70	388	38	274	189	301	201	164	338	268	238	87	415	
Property taxes	102	20	82	9	16	18	59	2	48	52	60	43	28	74	69	34	11	91	
Police treatment of Black and Latino people	85	27	58	42	15	5	22	12	44	28	52	33	44	41	29	56	10	75	
Economic inequality	72	17	55	10	12	8	42	5	30	38	38	34	31	41	41	31	7	65	
Racial segregation across areas including education, housing, access to city services, etc.	58	15	43	18	6	1	32	14	25	18	35	23	25	32	21	36	6	52	
Homelessness	52	26	26	22	1	7	22	3	30	18	39	13	26	26	25	27	5	46	
Lack of access to social services (e.g., income assistance, job training, subsidized housing)	35	11	23	9	11	6	9	0	22	12	27	8	17	17	17	18	4	31	
Pension reform	31	8	23	1	6	8	15	0	10	20	23	7	7	24	7	24	3	27	
Other (please specify):	44	4	40	7	4	10	23	0	23	21	30	14	13	31	26	17	3	41	
N/A - Chicago does not have any serious problems.	18	5	14	1	2	2	13	0	10	7	17	1	15	3	9	9	2	16	

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q2: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding the city of Chicago?

Race relations in Chicago are good right now.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	824
Agree (Net)	274	91	183	59	39	30	146	16		134	124	187	87	162	112	159	115	30
Strongly agree	47	9	38	4	4	8	1	4		18	25	31	9	12	26	21	29	9
Somewhat agree	227	82	145	50	36	27	114	12		99	116	137	75	131	96	120	207	
Disagree (Net)	723	169	554	190	115	106	312	60		383	280	435	288	208	516	365	358	614
Somewhat disagree	378	97	281	93	63	60	161	15		202	160	232	145	112	265	186	192	326
Strongly disagree	346	72	273	97	52	46	151	45		181	119	202	143	95	250	179	166	289

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Compared to this time last year, race relations in Chicago have improved.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
			Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	737	254	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	338	106	232	82	50	37	169	17		166	155	230	108	166	172	167	171	39	299
Strongly agree	66	19	47	14	6	9	37	5		28	33	55	11	34	32	28	38	10	56
Somewhat agree	272	87	185	68	44	28	132	12		139	122	175	97	132	140	139	29	243	
Disagree (Net)	659	154	505	167	104	100	289	59		351	249	392	268	204	456	358	301	100	560
Somewhat disagree	385	92	294	88	64	71	162	23		206	156	223	162	143	243	224	162	52	333
Strongly disagree	274	62	212	78	40	28	127	36		145	93	169	105	61	213	134	140	48	227

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

There is a strong sense of community across Chicago.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Household Income				Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
				Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	214	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	466	132	334	115	66	69	215	37	214	214	303	163	208	257	229	237	63	403
Strongly agree	109	32	77	38	13	16	52	13	43	53	70	39	50	59	50	19	15	94
Somewhat agree	357	101	256	87	53	53	163	24	172	161	232	124	158	198	179	177	47	309
Disagree (Net)	532	127	405	134	88	67	243	39	303	190	319	213	162	370	296	236	76	456
Somewhat disagree	346	72	274	84	55	45	162	22	195	129	200	146	102	244	195	151	42	304
Strongly disagree	186	55	131	50	33	22	81	16	108	61	119	67	60	126	101	85	34	152

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Mayor Lori Lightfoot has done a good job of investing in and supporting historically marginalized neighborhoods.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Region	Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household	Marital Status		Size of Household			
			Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	501	136	365	120	80	76	225	47		233	221	328	174	217	284	269	232	63	438
Strongly agree	68	22	46	20	9	12	26	5		24	39	57	11	34	34	37	8	60	
Somewhat agree	433	114	319	100	63	63	209	42		182	271	232	163	183	251	232	202	55	379
Disagree (Net)	496	124	372	129	74	61	232	29		183	232	294	202	153	343	256	240	75	421
Somewhat disagree	265	69	196	82	41	28	114	9		162	94	159	106	70	195	134	131	32	233
Strongly disagree	231	55	176	47	32	33	118	21		122	89	136	96	84	148	122	109	43	188

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Race-related issues in Chicago are due to a few bad actors, not systemic issues.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Region		Household Income				Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
			Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	154	458	76	137	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859		
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	426	113	313	107	64	73	192	22	228	176	283	143	181	245	229	197	65	361
Strongly agree	161	31	130	25	19	32	85	13	70	78	106	55	70	91	86	75	31	130
Somewhat agree	265	82	183	72	45	40	107	9	157	98	177	88	111	154	142	123	34	231
Disagree (Net)	571	147	424	152	90	64	265	54	289	228	339	232	189	382	296	275	73	498
Somewhat disagree	298	63	235	74	57	31	137	21	146	131	165	133	97	201	156	142	40	258
Strongly disagree	273	84	189	78	34	33	128	33	143	97	174	99	92	181	140	133	33	240

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Mayor Lori Lightfoot could do more to tackle inequality across Chicago neighborhoods.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Household Income				Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household			
				Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	216	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	801	212	589	209	116	114	362	58		422	321	507	294	307	494	415	386	100	701
Strongly agree	346	100	246	96	43	57	130	34		179	133	215	130	130	2				
Somewhat agree	455	112	343	113	73	57	212	24		242	188	292	163	178	216	256	199	49	295
Disagree (Net)	196	48	148	48	22	36	96	63		114	87	114	63	63	109	87	159	49	159
Somewhat disagree	140	40	100	23	29	16	72	11		79	50	82	58	44	96	81	59	27	113
Strongly disagree	56	8	49	17	10	6	24	7		17	32	33	23	18	38	28	28	11	45

Chicago law enforcement needs reform.

	Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	760	206	555	208	122	96	310	70	381	310	473	287	263	497	380	381	100	661
Strongly agree	364	103	260	110	49	37	168	48	165	151	222	142	123	241	167	197	47	317
Somewhat agree	397	102	294	98	73	59	167	21	217	159	252	145	140	256	213	184	53	344
Disagree (Net)	237	54	182	41	32	41	122	6	136	95	148	89	107	6	130	145	92	99
Somewhat disagree	144	35	109	27	16	26	76	3	89	52	94	50	77	67	84	60	22	122
Strongly disagree	93	19	73	14	17	15	47	3	47	42	54	38	29	63	61	32	16	76

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Gun violence in Chicago needs to be reduced.

	Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	956	250	707	241	141	134	440	76	493	387	592	364	353	604	502	454	137	820
Strongly agree	841	195	646	210	118	112	401	67	433	341	509	333	295	546	449	392	125	716
Somewhat agree	115	65	50	31	23	22	39	9	60	46	83	31	57	58	53	61	12	103
Disagree (Net)	41	10	31	8	13	3	18	0	24	17	30	12	17	24	22	19	2	39
Somewhat disagree	13	3	10	3	4	1	5	0	7	6	10	3	8	5	6	7	1	13
Strongly disagree	28	7	21	5	9	1	13	0	17	11	19	9	9	18	16	12	1	27

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q2a: Do you think each of the following situations happening in Chicago are better, worse, or about the same compared to cities in the rest of the country?

Race relations

	Total (A)	Region		Household Income				Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
		City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Better	95	34	61	17	6	13	60	5	40	49	76	19	51	44	73	23	10	85
About the same	485	111	374	127	88	72	198	33	258	194	289	196	167	318	244	241	67	418
Worse	417	115	302	105	60	52	200	37	219	160	257	160	151	266	208	209	61	356

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Police relations with city residents

	Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Better	115	35	81	18	11	14	73	5	53	58	88	28	64	52	84	31	12	104
About the same	501	112	389	121	85	85	211	33	267	201	301	201	172	330	257	244	72	430
Worse	381	113	267	110	58	38	174	38	197	146	234	147	134	246	183	197	55	326

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Gun violence

	Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	257	740	249	157	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Better	44	20	24	9	2	5	28	5	16	23	34	10	30	14	27	17	4	40
About the same	206	56	151	53	28	30	96	4	121	81	163	44	111	95	131	76	19	188
Worse	747	184	563	187	125	102	333	67	381	299	426	321	228	518	367	380	116	631

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3a: My trust in the police

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household	Marital Status		Size of Household		
				Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)		Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	375	370	627	524	473	138	858
Do not trust at all	78	26	52	29	9	8	32	16	42	20	51	27	30	48	25	52	12	66
1	47	25	22	24	7	3	13	0	33	20	14	37	9	20	27	20	7	40
2	34	11	24	19	4	7	4	5	23	6	21	13	21	21	9	25	7	28
3	72	25	47	20	9	11	32	12	35	24	37	35	26	46	28	44	9	63
4	54	13	41	28	6	5	15	0	30	25	40	14	28	27	19	35	4	50
5	152	49	103	42	31	19	61	9	84	60	94	58	27	126	61	91	35	117
6	93	29	63	24	17	14	38	4	58	32	56	37	44	49	47	45	14	78
7	124	21	103	15	18	33	58	10	51	63	75	48	37	87	73	50	18	105
8	117	19	98	19	18	18	62	4	47	66	67	50	45	72	86	31	6	111
9	95	19	76	13	15	8	60	1	54	40	57	38	37	58	64	31	12	83
Completely trust	131	23	109	18	19	10	84	16	62	54	86	46	65	66	91	46	13	118
Mean	5.85	4.99	6.16	4.54	6.01	5.86	6.51	4.96	5.61	6.33	5.77	5.99	6.04	5.75	6.63	4.99	5.41	5.93
Median	6.00	5.00	7.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	7.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	7.00	5.00	5.00	6.00
Standard Deviation	3.02	3.05	2.95	3.00	2.84	2.64	2.97	3.61	3.06	2.76	3.08	2.91	3.18	2.91	2.83	2.98	2.89	3.03

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3b: Safety level in my neighborhood

	Total (A)	Region		Household income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
		City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	995	260	736	249	152	137	458	76	515	404	620	375	370	625	525	470	138	857
Not at all safe	16	8	9	8	1	2	5	6	3	8	9	7	7	10	8	8	1	16
1	40	30	10	24	9	0	7	3	28	9	27	12	16	24	4	36	13	27
2	35	18	17	19	6	0	10	10	22	4	23	12	13	22	7	28	8	27
3	36	20	17	15	4	4	14	11	17	8	16	20	20	16	10	26	4	32
4	46	10	35	10	9	2	25	0	28	17	31	14	11	34	27	18	12	33
5	83	36	47	39	9	15	19	5	53	24	50	33	27	56	54	48	11	72
6	92	34	58	27	24	19	58	22	41	38	49	29	43	49	44	48	13	79
7	154	36	119	36	26	20	73	17	71	67	94	61	63	92	91	64	23	132
8	162	32	130	27	19	31	85	2	69	92	113	49	47	115	99	63	16	146
9	182	17	166	17	25	26	114	14	92	77	103	80	63	120	114	68	20	162
Completely safe	148	59	129	26	21	18	124	6	82	61	88	61	87	114	114	68	16	132
Mean	6.90	5.39	7.44	5.57	6.74	7.41	7.53	5.48	6.78	7.33	6.88	6.94	6.84	7.45	6.30	6.22	7.01	6.22
Median	7.00	6.00	8.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	8.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	8.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	8.00
Standard Deviation	2.60	2.85	2.29	2.90	2.57	2.18	2.33	3.15	2.67	2.29	2.59	2.64	2.67	2.97	2.20	2.88	2.84	2.55

Q3c: How respectful police are to me

		Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	992	260	732	244	154	137	458	71	517	404	622	370	365	627	525	468	138	854
Not at all respectful	34	12	21	7	2	5	11	10	16	7	20	14	15	19	7	27	2	38
1	28	21	7	12	3	0	12	0	19	9	22	6	9	18	7	21	12	15
2	36	12	24	13	14	3	6	1	31	5	26	10	14	23	13	23	7	29
3	34	8	26	18	6	4	26	2	27	5	15	19	13	21	7	27	4	31
4	54	15	39	14	8	1	31	12	22	20	34	20	16	38	14	39	11	42
5	95	24	70	28	11	23	33	2	55	42	67	28	42	53	47	19	76	76
6	58	28	30	13	16	6	22	1	27	30	37	20	21	36	25	33	10	48
7	149	47	103	43	21	15	69	18	82	49	81	68	50	100	70	80	17	112
8	135	32	103	35	18	30	52	9	59	73	93	41	41	94	76	58	22	113
9	152	23	129	21	18	27	86	12	67	73	97	54	52	100	95	57	18	134
Completely respectful	218	38	180	30	36	23	129	10	112	96	129	128	104	93	126	164	55	17
Mean	6.96	6.10	7.27	5.89	6.82	7.26	7.50	5.99	6.62	6.69	7.08	7.04	6.98	6.96	7.78	6.05	6.20	7.09
Median	8.00	7.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	8.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	8.00
Standard Deviation	2.79	2.99	2.66	3.02	2.79	2.44	2.60	3.29	2.92	2.41	2.81	2.76	2.88	2.74	2.39	2.93	2.86	2.76

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q4a: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding local law enforcement?

Members of the Chicago Police Department are handling their job well.

		Region				Household Income				Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)		Married/Living with partner (Q)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859	
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824	
Agree (Net)	622	147	475	124	97	93	308	39	307	276	389	233	240	382	372	250	90	531	
Strongly agree	175	36	139	24	19	18	114	6	91	78	119	56	81	94	121	53	21	154	
Somewhat agree	447	110	337	99	79	75	194	33	217	197	270	177	160	288	250	197	69	378	
Disagree (Net)	376	113	262	125	57	44	149	37	210	129	233	143	130	246	153	222	48	327	
Somewhat disagree	250	67	182	76	36	32	106	15	141	93	169	148	111	139	111	139	31	218	
Strongly disagree	126	46	80	49	21	12	43	22	68	35	85	41	49	77	42	84	17	109	

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

I would rather the Chicago police spend less than they currently do in my neighborhood.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	Household Income				Education		Employment Status		Yes (M)	No (N)	Child <18 in Household	Married/Living with partner (Q)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (R)	2+ HH members (R)
					\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)							
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627		525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679		568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	276	76	199	76	31	45	123	37	124	114	178	98	115	161		127	149	23	253
Strongly agree	94	28	66	35	8	9	42	8	37	34	57	37	42	52		35	59	7	85
Somewhat agree	182	48	134	41	23	36	82	28	93	80	121	61	72	109		91	90	16	166
Disagree (Net)	722	184	538	173	123	91	334	39	393	294	444	278	255	466		398	323	116	606
Somewhat disagree	404	103	300	92	63	59	189	18	222	164	255	148	147	257		234	170	59	345
Strongly disagree	318	80	238	81	60	32	145	20	171	126	188	129	108	210		165	153	57	261

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

If I were to have an interaction with the Chicago police in my area, I am confident that they would treat me with courtesy and respect.

	Region										Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household	Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)		Married/Living with partner (Q)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859	
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824	
Agree (Net)	774	186	587	163	120	116	375	54	393	327	468	306	287	486	442	332	100	673	
Strongly agree	308	67	241	56	34	40	178	22	163	123	198	111	137	172	200	108	38	270	
Somewhat agree	465	119	346	106	87	76	346	32	229	204	270	196	150	315	242	224	62	403	
Disagree (Net)	224	74	150	86	24	21	83	22	125	77	154	69	83	141	83	69	38	185	
Somewhat disagree	141	40	101	50	22	14	55	10	80	50	94	53	42	99	53	88	23	118	
Strongly disagree	83	33	49	37	11	7	28	12	44	26	60	23	40	42	30	53	16	67	

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

When I see a police officer in my neighborhood, I feel safer.

	Region								Education				Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (Q)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (R)	2+ HH members (R)		
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859		
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824		
Agree (Net)	786	197	589	172	118	113	384	44	393	348	482	304	283	502	445	341	116	670		
Strongly agree	318	62	256	58	41	45	172	15	155	147	205	113	125	192	204	113	43	275		
Somewhat agree	468	135	333	113	76	67	211	29	238	201	277	191	158	310	241	227	73	395		
Disagree (Net)	212	63	149	77	37	24	74	32	124	56	140	71	87	125	80	132	23	189		
Somewhat disagree	134	38	95	45	27	17	45	11	82	40	91	42	59	74	62	71	15	119		
Strongly disagree	78	24	54	32	10	7	29	20	42	16	49	29	27	51	18	60	8	70		

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

I approve of the way that the Chicago Police Department handled the summer 2020 protests.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	Household Income				Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
					\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	499	125	375	112	80	61	247	24	253	222	343	157	215	284	294	205	55	444
Strongly agree	131	32	99	36	13	13	69	11	73	47	83	48	62	69	82	49	14	117
Somewhat agree	368	93	276	77	67	47	177	13	180	175	260	109	177	153	215	156	41	327
Disagree (Net)	498	135	363	137	74	76	211	51	264	182	279	219	155	355	230	267	83	415
Somewhat disagree	274	66	208	67	37	46	101	9	106	96	142	133	159	86	189	136	36	239
Strongly disagree	223	69	154	69	38	34	110	32	129	86	137	80	69	154	92	133	47	178

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	674	192	482	205	110	87	273	62	*	351	261	416	258	246	317	337	85	589	
Strongly agree	335	117	218	119	46	40	130	38		165	132	194	141	123	211	150	185	34	301
Somewhat agree	340	75	264	86	65	46	143	24		186	129	222	118	122	217	187	152	51	289
Disagree (Net)	323	67	256	44	44	50	185	14		166	143	206	117	124	199	188	135	53	270
Somewhat disagree	200	51	149	31	25	31	113	6		113	80	126	74	73	127	99	101	35	165
Strongly disagree	123	17	107	14	18	18	73	7		53	63	80	43	51	72	89	34	18	105

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q4B: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding local law enforcement?

Chicago police have been effective at reducing or preventing crime in my neighborhood.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Region		Household Income				Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household		
			Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	632	145	487	126	100	98	308	38		310	283	406	225	248	384	362	270	75	556
Strongly agree	178	47	131	29	25	20	104	17		79	86	107	71	75	103	99	79	22	156
Somewhat agree	453	98	355	97	74	77	205	25		231	198	299	154	173	280	263	190	53	400
Disagree (Net)	366	115	251	123	54	39	149	38		207	121	216	150	122	244	163	203	63	302
Somewhat disagree	230	65	165	71	38	29	91	18		133	79	129	101	75	155	106	124	38	192
Strongly disagree	136	50	86	52	16	10	58	20		74	42	86	49	47	89	57	79	26	110

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

I have confidence in the Chicago Police Department to act fairly and without bias.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Household Income				Education		Employment Status		Child \leq 18 in Household	Married Status	Married Status	Married Status	1 HH member (Q)	Size of Household			
			Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)							Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	546	121	425	104	85	78	279	36		282	228	348	198	227	320	348	218	74	472
Strongly agree	158	39	119	29	16	26	87	9		68	80	80	48	74	84	99	49	25	133
Somewhat agree	388	82	306	75	69	52	192	27		214	148	238	150	152	236	228	160	49	339
Disagree (Net)	451	139	312	145	69	59	179	40		235	176	274	177	144	308	197	254	65	387
Somewhat disagree	268	77	190	83	35	46	104	17		138	113	159	109	73	195	125	142	40	228
Strongly disagree	184	62	122	63	34	12	74	23		97	63	116	68	71	113	72	112	25	159

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Chicago police are often able to solve crimes that occur in the city.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	581	139	442	126	80	86	289	33		296	253	357	225	224	357	325	256	67	514
Strongly agree	138	39	99	27	12	19	79	13		54	71	92	46	69	69	81	56	15	123
Somewhat agree	443	100	344	99	68	67	210	20		242	182	269	179	155	289	243	200	52	391
Disagree (Net)	416	121	295	123	74	51	169	43		214	151	265	151	146	270	200	216	71	345
Somewhat disagree	273	68	204	68	51	39	114	29		132	112	158	114	85	187	144	129	46	227
Strongly disagree	144	53	91	55	23	12	55	14		89	40	107	37	61	83	56	87	26	118

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

The Chicago Police Department has the support of most city residents.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	600	142	458	128	79	92	301	42	282	276	371	276	230	233	368	344	257	86	515
Strongly agree	156	42	114	27	19	22	88	7	71	78	86	114	42	68	86	86	26	130	
Somewhat agree	444	100	344	101	60	70	213	36	212	197	213	188	256	165	280	258	187	60	385
Disagree (Net)	397	118	279	121	75	45	157	34	235	128	251	146	137	260	181	216	53	344	
Somewhat disagree	262	71	190	77	51	32	102	18	158	86	152	110	91	171	131	130	37	225	
Strongly disagree	135	47	89	44	24	13	54	16	77	42	99	36	46	89	50	86	16	120	

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

The Chicago Police Department has the support of most BIPOC (i.e., Black, Indigenous, and People of Color) residents.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	260	737	249	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859	
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	342	84	258	74	41	50	176	15		168	159	229	113	170	200	142	37	305	
Strongly agree	94	18	76	17	4	6	67	5		31	57	40	72	61	93	15	79		
Somewhat agree	248	66	182	57	38	44	110	10		137	102	137	92	116	140	109	22		
Disagree (Net)	655	176	480	175	113	86	281	61		349	245	393	262	200	455	324	331	102	554
Somewhat disagree	365	97	267	96	68	46	155	28		190	147	206	159	112	253	195	169	58	306
Strongly disagree	291	78	212	79	44	40	126	33		159	98	187	104	88	202	129	162	43	247

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Chicago police should be brought in to handle situations regarding mental health patients and the homeless.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	734	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	450	122	328	109	74	74	194	13	271	166	296	154	187	263	296	221	63	387
Strongly agree	124	45	79	41	23	14	46	10	63	52	69	55	55	69	53	71	17	108
Somewhat agree	326	77	248	68	50	60	147	4	208	114	227	99	132	194	176	149	46	280
Disagree (Net)	547	138	409	141	80	62	264	63	246	238	326	222	183	365	296	252	76	472
Somewhat disagree	321	77	244	68	56	38	159	35	132	153	187	134	110	211	181	140	42	279
Strongly disagree	226	61	166	73	24	25	105	27	114	85	139	88	72	154	114	112	33	193

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Increased police presence is necessary for reducing gun violence in the city.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	815	207	608	190	129	116	381	48		424	343	515	300	297	518	455	360	116	699
Strongly agree	428	96	332	113	69	49	197	36		221	171	264	164	160	268	246	182	59	369
Somewhat agree	387	111	276	77	60	66	184	12		204	172	251	136	138	249	209	178	57	330
Disagree (Net)	182	53	129	59	25	21	77	28		93	62	107	76	73	110	70	112	22	160
Somewhat disagree	132	43	89	34	21	13	63	16		65	50	75	58	50	83	59	74	18	115
Strongly disagree	50	10	40	25	4	7	14	28		11	32	38	18	23	27	12	39	4	46

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
There should be more funding for non-policing alternatives (e.g., social worker dispatches, neighborhood patrols, restorative justice circles).

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Household Income				Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
				Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)				Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	202	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	749	213	536	204	115	102	328	53	372	324	470	279	265	483	377	372	108	641
Strongly agree	359	112	247	102	61	44	151	30	160	169	235	124	140	219	171	188	44	315
Somewhat agree	390	101	289	102	54	58	177	23	212	155	235	155	125	264	206	184	64	325
Disagree (Net)	249	47	202	45	39	34	130	23	146	80	152	97	105	144	148	101	31	218
Somewhat disagree	158	26	131	24	24	22	88	19	97	42	60	69	89	94	64	14	144	144
Strongly disagree	91	21	70	21	16	12	42	4	49	38	54	37	36	55	54	37	17	74

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
The current level of funding for the Chicago Police Department is too high.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Region	Suburb (C)	Household Income				Education	Employment Status		Child <18 in Household	Marital Status		Size of Household				
					Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)		Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)		College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)
Total	997	260		737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252		744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Agree (Net)	414	148		266	127	43	61	183	47	184	183	280	134	176	238	194	220	43	371
Strongly agree	69	69		100	56	20	22	71	33	67	69	110	59	67	102	62	107	17	152
Somewhat agree	245	79		166	71	23	39	112	14	116	114	171	74	109	135	132	112	26	219
Disagree (Net)	584	112		471	122	112	75	274	29	334	221	342	242	194	390	331	253	95	488
Somewhat disagree	379	84		295	77	73	48	180	17	206	156	213	165	119	260	212	166	61	318
Strongly disagree	205	28		176	45	38	27	95	12	127	65	128	77	75	130	119	86	34	171

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q5: Which of the following apply to you? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Region	Suburb (C)	Household Income				Education	Employment Status				Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	997	260		737	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total (Unweighted)	996	252		744	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Growing up, I was taught that the police were a friend to me and my community.	625	143		482	142	80	101	303	46	319	261	367	258	204	421	337	289	87	538
As an adult, I feel that the police are a friend to me and my community.	481	94		387	76	78	66	261	29	229	222	287	194	175	306	288	193	66	415
I have a friend of family member who works for the Chicago Police Department.	214	62		152	47	21	32	114	16	96	102	136	78	87	126	105	109	23	190
I have had a negative experience with a member of the Chicago Police Department.	157	57		101	49	29	23	56	17	87	53	113	44	64	93	65	92	30	127
If I were to report police misconduct to the Chicago Police Department, I believe I would receive retaliation from officers for filing a complaint.	148	31		118	39	21	23	66	19	70	59	109	39	50	99	73	75	14	135
I have been afraid to report police misconduct to the Chicago Police Department because I believed I would receive retaliation from officers.	109	38		71	43	17	18	31	11	66	32	85	24	60	49	44	64	16	93
I have been racially profiled in at least one interaction with police officers in Chicago.	106	38		68	39	12	15	40	10	56	40	89	17	36	70	35	70	21	84
Since May 2020, I have donated to or directly supported (e.g., volunteering, providing non-financial resources) organizations that advocate for racial justice, criminal justice, or police reform.	105	28		76	14	24	9	58	15	43	46	63	41	54	51	53	51	7	97
Since May 2020, I have attended at least one protest advocating for racial justice, criminal justice, or police reform.	81	26		56	25	10	11	36	5	33	43	66	15	39	43	44	37	8	73
None of the above	117	20		98	41	19	15	43	11	67	39	72	45	40	77	59	59	15	103
Count	2.15	2.06		2.18	2.06	2.01	2.28	2.20	2.36	2.06	2.22	2.23	2.01	2.18	2.13	2.10	2.20	2.08	2.16

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q6: Perhaps you have heard of the campaign "Defund the Police," which refers to the movement to reduce police department budgets and redistribute those funds towards essential social services that are often underfunded, such as housing, education, employment, mental health care, and youth services. Given this understanding, how much do you support or oppose this campaign?

Total	Total (A)	City (B)	Region	Suburb (C)	Household Income				Education	Employment Status		Child <18 in Household	Marital Status		Size of Household				
					Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)		Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)		College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)
Total	997	260		737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252		744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Support (Net)	420	143		277	123	59	60	177	30	211	179	276	144	188	232	207	213	39	381
Strongly support	179	74		105	57	21	30	71	18	92	69	123	57	86	93	80	100	16	164
Somewhat support	241	68		172	66	38	30	106	12	120	110	153	87	102	139	128	113	23	218
Oppose (Net)	577	117		460	126	95	76	281	46	306	225	346	232	182	395	317	260	100	477
Somewhat oppose	185	64		120	45	42	18	81	1	86	81	129	56	50	135	93	92	37	147
Strongly oppose	393	53	340	81	53	59	200	215	45	209	139	217	176	132	261	224	168	63	330

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q6A: Where do you think reallocated funds from the police department should go? Please select all that apply.

	Region			Household Income					Education	Employment Status			Child <18 in Household	Marital Status		Size of Household		
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	420	143	277	123	59	60	177	30	211	179	276	144	188	232	207	213	39	381
Total (Unweighted)	416	145	271	181	71	52	112	17	196	203	249	167	170	246	214	202	58	358
Mental health care programs	281	101	180	82	38	44	117	29	136	116	172	109	115	166	141	140	27	254
Gun violence prevention	262	83	179	78	28	35	121	23	118	121	168	94	111	151	123	138	21	240
Homeless services and shelters	250	84	166	77	30	33	110	26	119	105	146	104	104	146	115	135	19	231
Youth services (e.g., community centers, mentorship programs, after-school programs)	223	70	153	60	38	29	97	12	111	100	151	72	90	133	122	101	19	204
Affordable housing services	212	70	142	70	35	28	79	20	114	77	139	72	82	130	79	133	17	194
Hiring/integrating social workers into police forces to handle situations where police may not be needed	210	63	147	60	29	29	92	18	104	88	132	78	70	140	102	108	18	192
Local education/schools	199	66	134	57	20	33	89	24	87	89	144	55	105	95	99	101	16	184
Local healthcare services	193	56	137	59	28	30	76	16	101	76	131	62	92	100	97	96	15	177
Unemployment/Re-employment services	191	65	127	54	28	36	74	12	93	86	134	58	84	107	99	92	12	179
Substance abuse services	178	56	122	49	22	28	78	15	82	80	113	65	54	124	77	101	15	163
People returning to their communities from jail or prison (e.g., social/family reintegration, expungement, healthcare assistance)	169	56	113	49	23	25	72	18	80	71	112	57	70	100	72	97	19	150
Other (please specify):	3	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3
Not sure	11	1	11	6	0	0	4	0	10	1	2	9	0	11	5	6	1	10
Count	5.67	5.41	5.81	5.69	5.43	5.81	5.70	7.12	5.48	5.66	5.59	5.83	5.19	6.06	5.45	5.89	5.19	5.72

* Table Base: SUPPORTS DEFUND THE POLICE

Q6B: Which of the following should have the highest priority for reallocated funds from the police department?

	Total (A)	City (B)	Region	Suburb (C)	Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household				
					Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)			
Total	405	141		265	116	57	*	60	*	173	30	*	198	177	274	132	188	218	202	37	*	368
Total (Unweighted)	397	139		258	168	68	*	52	*	109	16	**	183	198	243	154	167	230	205	55	*	342
Gun violence prevention	84	33		51	33	11		3		37	1		45	38	56	27	33	51	41	7		77
Hiring/integrating social workers into police forces to handle situations where police may not be needed	72	14		58	14	12		11		35	5		38	29	49	23	19	53	51	21	5	67
Homeless services and shelters	54	18		36	23	8		7		36	5		38	30	34	34	20	35	19	21	3	51
Mental health care programs	46	19		27	15	7		8		16	8		21	25	25	21	24	26	20	5		42
Local education/schools	32	5		27	6	2		9		16	6		13	13	20	12	19	13	10	22	3	29
Affordable housing services	29	15		15	10	6		8		5	4		16	10	22	8	16	14	10	20	2	28
Youth services (e.g., community centers, mentorship programs, after-school programs)	29	11		18	6	6		3		14	0		17	12	15	13	10	18	13	16	2	27
Unemployment/Re-employment services	26	13		13	5	4		6		12	0		10	16	23	3	13	13	12	14	7	20
People returning to their communities from jail or prison (e.g., social/family reintegration, expungement, healthcare assistance)	22	11		11	2	0		5		16	0		14	8	18	4	13	10	14	9	2	20
Local healthcare services	8	2		6	1	1		2		6	1		7	1	7	1	6	2	2	1		6
Substance abuse services	3	0		3	0	0		0		3	0		2	1	2	1	2	2	0	0		3
Other (please specify):	0	0		0	0	0		0		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Not sure	0	0		0	0	0		0		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0

* Table Base: THINK FUNDS TAKEN FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE REALLOCATED TO AT LEAST ONE LISTED SERVICE

Q7: How likely do you think it is that the following situations will happen as a result of defunding the police?

Defunding the police will lead to fewer officers in my neighborhood.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Region		Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824	
At least somewhat likely (Net)	781	188	593	193	113	113	361	57		407	317	499	282	282	499	414	367	104	677	
Very likely	391	71	320	90	53	53	195	29		209	153	248	143	145	246	218	173	53	338	
Somewhat likely	390	117	273	104	60	61	166	28		198	164	251	140	137	254	196	194	51	339	
Not very likely	148	48	100	35	25	17	71	11		77	60	83	65	51	97	79	68	22	126	
Not at all likely	68	23	45	21	16	6	25	8		33	27	40	28	37	31	31	37	13	56	

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will mean more funding for social services that can improve issues such as mental health, addiction, and homelessness.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
			Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
At least somewhat likely (Net)	569	192	377	172	83	75	239	48		281	240	361	209	225	345	271	299	72	497
Very likely	217	78	139	78	28	28	83	25	110	82	143	74	91	127	86	132	34	184	
Somewhat likely	352	114	238	94	55	47	156	23	172	158	218	134	134	218	185	167	38	313	
Not very likely	269	51	217	44	54	43	128	14	164	91	166	103	91	178	146	122	41	228	
Not at all likely	160	16	143	33	17	18	91	14	72	73	95	64	55	105	108	52	26	134	

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will lead to an increase in crime in my neighborhood.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)		
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	707	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824	
At least somewhat likely (Net)	649	153	495	149	105	97	297	35	358	148	256	407	242	240	408	360	289	90	559	
Very likely	367	71	297	69	60	50	189	21	199	148	232	135	133	234	216	151	50	317		
Somewhat likely	281	83	199	80	45	48	108	14	159	108	174	107	107	174	144	138	40	242		
Not very likely	247	71	176	58	30	30	129	18	103	126	162	85	83	164	127	120	37	210		
Not at all likely	102	36	66	42	19	9	32	23	57	22	53	49	47	55	38	63	12	90		

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will start a real dialogue about the changes needed for policing in Chicago.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
At least somewhat likely (Net)	526	161	365	152	83	67	224	51	272	203	333	193	208	318	262	264	59	467
Very likely	177	50	127	61	23	15	77	24	96	57	115	62	64	113	82	95	22	155
Somewhat likely	349	111	238	90	60	52	147	27	176	146	217	131	144	205	180	169	37	311
Not very likely	216	57	158	64	36	39	77	13	120	83	129	86	82	133	108	108	32	183
Not at all likely	256	42	214	34	35	31	157	12	126	119	160	96	80	176	155	101	48	209

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will only benefit communities of color.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
At least somewhat likely (Net)	326	94	233	84	51	57	135	13		197	117	227	99	137	189	170	156	35	292
Very likely	127	47	80	39	20	22	47	4		74	50	98	29	50	78	68	59	12	116
Somewhat likely	199	47	152	45	31	35	88	9		123	68	129	70	87	112	102	97	23	176
Not very likely	356	99	257	99	60	41	156	27		174	155	206	150	115	241	178	179	62	294
Not at all likely	315	67	248	65	43	39	167	36		147	131	189	126	118	197	177	137	41	273

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will not contribute to repairing the relationship between the police and Chicago residents.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)	
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	*	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	*	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
At least somewhat likely (Net)	614	144	469	127	107	74	306	29		325	260	396	218	226	388	349	205	81	533
Very likely	317	58	259	53	60	46	158	18		167	102	109	102	214	175	142	49	275	
Somewhat likely	297	87	210	74	47	28	148	11		137	127	189	123	174	143	123	32	258	
Not very likely	240	75	166	78	33	45	185	17		99	132	108	87	153	108	132	41	199	
Not at all likely	144	41	103	45	14	18	67	20		114	45	94	50	57	87	68	76	17	127

Q8: Which of the following do you think should be held accountable for the approach that the Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status			Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	997	260	737	249	154	137	458	76	517	404	622	376	370	627	525	473	138	859
Total (Unweighted)	996	252	744	385	206	149	256	37	507	452	556	440	317	679	568	428	172	824
Outside agitators	481	133	348	109	77	77	220	20	260	201	286	196	149	332	283	199	79	402
Chicago Police Department	434	131	303	102	70	60	192	36	203	195	270	213	174	260	213	221	53	381
Mayor Lori Lightfoot	437	104	333	83	78	65	192	29	237	151	260	157	140	277	222	195	77	340
Individual officers	345	99	246	88	61	46	150	30	176	139	198	147	118	227	165	179	47	298
The news media	329	80	249	70	47	48	163	18	185	126	202	127	103	225	185	144	46	283
Counter-protestors	269	78	191	62	48	45	114	11	162	96	166	103	115	154	158	111	21	248
Peaceful protestors	94	30	64	29	7	16	42	5	55	35	81	46	48	5	49	45	5	89
Other (please specify):	28	6	22	2	9	6	12	1	16	8	17	16	8	20	15	13	8	30
Not sure	86	14	71	31	7	14	34	9	43	34	47	39	34	52	37	49	15	71
Count	2.49	2.60	2.45	2.34	2.62	2.76	2.44	2.08	2.58	2.44	2.45	2.55	2.39	2.54	2.53	2.45	2.54	2.48

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q8A: Why do you think individual officers should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status			Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	345	99	246	88	46	46	150	30	176	139	198	147	118	227	165	179	47	298
Total (Unweighted)	329	97	232	133	69	50	77	12	163	154	170	159	95	234	166	163	63	266
They were not trained well enough to deal with protests of this size.	172	53	119	50	28	24	71	10	92	70	93	80	50	123	88	84	27	145
They were too confrontational or aggressive with protestors.	169	44	126	49	21	20	79	28	74	67	86	83	55	114	68	101	19	150
They were racially prejudiced.	156	44	112	54	18	12	72	27	78	51	93	63	53	103	64	92	22	134
They were improperly trained on mass arrest procedures.	147	36	112	42	31	22	52	15	75	58	73	75	48	100	66	81	19	129
They did not care about or respect the protestors. Officers could act as they pleased because there was no authoritative accountability system for their actions.	142	56	86	44	21	17	59	18	76	48	79	63	57	84	70	71	10	132
They were unprepared for a possibility of mass arrest.	119	31	88	24	24	10	61	15	58	46	68	51	33	87	62	57	15	104
There was confusion due to lack of coordination and communication by the police.	119	41	78	34	22	19	44	1	64	54	65	54	28	91	62	57	26	93
They were looking for trouble.	112	36	77	29	20	19	44	7	49	57	65	47	30	82	60	52	20	92
They were not following orders from higher ups.	79	38	41	21	5	10	43	17	30	31	49	30	37	42	36	43	7	72
They were not confrontational or aggressive enough with protestors.	51	19	32	14	9	6	22	11	20	20	37	14	24	27	24	4	4	16
Other (please specify):	20	5	14	7	1	7	5	0	13	7	8	12	5	15	4	15	3	16
Count	18	5	13	2	2	1	13	0	10	8	16	2	11	7	13	5	1	17
	3.79	4.13	3.65	4.21	3.34	3.64	3.76	5.00	3.62	3.73	3.70	3.90	3.67	3.85	3.75	3.82	3.69	3.80

* Table Base: THINK INDIVIDUAL OFFICERS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8B: Why do you think the Chicago Police Department should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status			Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	434	131	303	112	70	60	192	36	203	195	270	164	174	260	213	221	53	381
Total (Unweighted)	414	118	296	154	88	66	106	16	183	215	249	165	142	272	223	191	67	347
Its members were not trained well enough to deal with protests of this size.	203	53	150	55	35	27	87	10	103	90	120	84	69	134	105	98	21	182
The police were improperly trained on mass arrest procedures.	200	60	139	58	30	27	85	15	104	80	124	76	70	130	101	99	24	176
The police were unprepared for a possibility of mass arrests.	191	48	143	56	35	24	76	16	94	81	119	72	68	122	88	103	24	166
Their policies are too confrontational or aggressive. There was confusion due to lack of coordination and communication by the police.	172	56	116	52	26	22	72	11	93	69	113	59	68	104	80	92	22	150
The department is racially prejudiced. Officers could act as they pleased because there was no authoritative accountability system for their actions.	167	34	133	35	27	19	86	1	86	81	99	68	49	118	96	71	26	141
The department did not care about or respect the protestors.	162	54	107	60	16	18	68	24	75	63	93	68	62	100	79	83	16	146
They were not following orders or guidelines from Mayor Lightfoot.	132	40	92	38	16	14	64	17	62	52	80	52	46	86	55	76	13	119
They were looking for trouble.	121	50	71	40	14	13	54	11	63	46	64	57	39	82	56	65	17	103
Their policies are not confrontational or aggressive enough.	77	24	52	30	5	7	34	17	32	27	46	30	39	38	24	53	4	73
Other (please specify):	71	34	37	25	2	7	37	10	40	21	52	19	39	32	27	43	7	64
Count	52	13	39	11	7	8	26	0	21	31	33	19	30	22	22	30	9	43
	11	1	10	1	2	6	1	0	6	4	9	2	6	5	6	4	1	10
	3.59	3.55	3.60	4.13	3.06	3.18	3.59	3.67	3.83	3.31	3.53	3.68	3.37	3.73	3.47	3.70	3.48	3.60

* Table Base: THINK CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8C: Why do you think peaceful protestors should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Region		Household Income					Education		Employment Status			Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	94	30	64	29	7	16	42	5	55	35	81	13	46	49	45	5	89	5
Total (Unweighted)	90	21	69	37	14	11	28	3	46	41	65	25	36	49	41	10	80	9
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	54	19	35	17	7	6	24	0	31	23	47	7	29	25	35	20	0	54
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorities/government.	41	14	27	12	3	7	19	4	27	10	36	5	21	20	22	19	0	41
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	41	12	28	12	3	5	21	0	27	14	35	6	16	25	24	16	1	40
Their cause or reason for protesting was not justified.	36	16	20	19	3	5	8	1	27	9	30	6	23	13	13	23	2	34
They did not care about or respect the police.	33	3	30	7	3	3	20	1	14	19	25	8	13	21	22	11	3	30
They were looking for trouble.	32	4	27	9	5	7	11	1	17	14	27	5	20	12	21	1	1	31
Other (please specify):	3	1	3	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	1	2	0	3	2	1	1	3
Count	2.56	2.32	2.68	2.67	3.27	2.23	2.49	1.36	2.63	2.62	2.51	2.91	2.64	2.49	2.86	2.24	1.49	2.62

* Table Base: THINK PEACEFUL PROTESTORS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8D: Why do you think counter-protestors should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Region		Household Income						Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	269	78	191	62	48	45	114	11	162	96	166	103	115	154	158	111	21	248
Total (Unweighted)	253	74	179	100	59	35	90	7	145	101	136	117	88	165	152	101	30	223
They were looking for trouble.	169	51	119	45	33	23	69	4	102	64	107	63	58	112	97	73	18	151
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	160	34	126	45	26	18	72	9	98	53	92	69	61	99	90	70	12	148
They made it harder for the police to identify peaceful and non-peaceful protesters.	158	41	117	48	32	19	59	2	99	58	93	65	55	104	92	67	13	146
They did not care about or respect the police.	143	35	108	36	22	25	60	6	94	43	92	52	63	81	88	56	8	136
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	120	32	88	30	18	9	64	2	63	55	81	39	52	69	78	42	7	113
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorities/government.	106	29	77	24	14	15	53	8	60	38	70	36	49	57	64	42	7	100
Their cause or reason for protesting was not justified.	75	20	55	27	16	8	24	2	53	20	34	41	27	47	41	34	8	66
Other (please specify):	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Count	3.47	3.10	3.62	4.16	3.32	2.57	3.52	3.03	3.51	3.45	3.42	3.55	3.17	3.69	3.49	3.45	3.46	3.47

* Table Base: THINK COUNTER-PROTESTORS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8E: Why do you think outside agitators should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Region		Household Income						Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	481	133	348	109	77	77	220	20	260	201	286	196	149	332	283	199	79	402
Total (Unweighted)	505	118	387	190	113	86	116	12	276	217	249	256	134	371	307	198	93	412
They were looking for trouble.	380	98	282	86	53	67	174	13	201	166	217	162	102	278	231	149	66	313
They made it harder for the police to identify peaceful and non-peaceful protesters.	321	89	231	77	47	51	146	7	176	138	188	133	102	218	194	126	50	270
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	315	76	239	72	53	53	137	4	176	135	187	128	83	232	191	124	56	259
They did not care about or respect the police.	308	81	228	72	49	53	134	9	171	129	174	135	83	225	184	125	58	250
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorities/government.	256	65	191	60	33	34	129	13	133	109	152	104	82	174	145	111	39	217
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	204	50	154	52	30	24	98	6	112	86	125	80	56	149	125	79	33	171
Other (please specify):	7	0	6	1	5	0	1	0	3	4	4	2	1	5	4	3	1	5
Count	3.72	3.44	3.83	3.87	3.52	3.67	3.73	2.57	3.73	3.82	3.66	3.80	3.41	3.86	3.80	3.61	3.86	3.69

* Table Base: THINK OUTSIDE AGITATORS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8F: Why do you think Mayor Lori Lightfoot should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Region		Household Income						Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	417	104	313	83	78	65	192	29	237	151	260	157	140	277	222	195	77	340
Total (Unweighted)	407	103	304	141	98	67	101	10	216	181	225	182	106	301	233	174	91	316
Mayor Lightfoot did not have the ability to properly handle the protests.	200	41	159	35	33	33	99	13	105	82	107	92	55	145	101	99	44	156
Mayor Lightfoot did not provide an authoritative accountability system for police actions, allowing officers to act as they pleased.	179	45	134	37	28	27	87	12	95	72	115	64	53	126	88	91	26	153
Mayor Lightfoot's policies on policing are not aggressive enough.	167	36	131	31	22	30	85	17	100	50	83	84	41	126	84	83	36	132
Mayor Lightfoot is not respected by the Chicago Police Department.	162	27	135	27	19	31	84	8	76	77	103	59	48	114	94	68	39	123
Mayor Lightfoot did not have the support from other local leaders to effectively handle the protests.	120	34	86	19	20	29	51	3	60	57	79	41	34	86	68	51	22	97
Mayor Lightfoot's policies on policing are too aggressive or militaristic.	73	20	53	19	14	14	25	10	43	19	52	21	44	29	36	37	7	66
Other (please specify):	21	7	14	3	10	7	7	0	11	10	10	11	1	20	14	12	8	8
Count	2.21	2.02	2.27	2.07	1.89	2.53	2.29	2.18	2.07	2.43	2.11	2.37	1.97	2.33	2.15	2.27	2.41	2.16

* Table Base: THINK MAYOR LORI LIGHTFOOT SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8G: Why do you think the news media should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Region		Household Income						Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	329	80	249	70	47	48	163	18	185	126	202	127	103	225	185	144	46	283
Total (Unweighted)	322	66	256	118	60	54	90	12	176	134	175	147	99	223	196	126	47	275
They spread inaccurate information about police.	207	35	172	34	33	29	111	3	122	83	137	70	66	141	123	84	29	178
They were looking to create news stories where there normally wouldn't be one.	191	35	156	37	23	27	104	3	114	74	118	73	64	127	113	78	27	164
They skewed public perception of the police to become more negative.	187	33	155	31	22	31	104	6	103	79	112	76	52	135	114	73	30	157
They spread inaccurate information about protestors.	174	33	141	41	23	23	87	8	105	61	103	71	59	115	94	80	16	158
They encouraged confrontational or aggressive behavior by protestors.	163	34	129	35	15	24	88	7	88	68	98	65	46	117	86	77	23	139
They encouraged more people to protest.	152	30	122	33	26	23	71	4	100	49	89	63	42	90	63	63	26	126
They favored the protestors in reporting.	144	26	118	22	20	26	76	2	87	52	89	55	40	104	89	55	21	124
They favored the police in reporting.	42	18	25	11	6	2	23	7	20	15	28	14	22	21	17	25	5	37
Other (please specify):	16	1	15	2	3	5	5	0	12	4	12	4	2	14	8	8	1	15
Count	3.88	3.05	4.15	3.53	3.60	3.97	4.10	2.27	4.06	3.86	3.90	3.86	3.79	3.93	3.98	3.77	3.89	3.88

* Table Base: THINK THE NEWS MEDIA SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q9: What would reform of Chicago law enforcement look like to you? Please select all that apply.

	Region		Household Income						Education		Employment Status		Child <18 in Household		Marital Status		Size of Household	
	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	\$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	No (N)	Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	760	206	555	208	122	96	335	70	381	310	473	287	263	497	380	381	100	661
Total (Unweighted)	749	199	550	306	154	100	189	31	379	339	413	336	230	519	404	345	127	622
De-escalation training for all officers	407	96	311	83	59	55	209	26	194	186	258	148	104	302	218	188	53	354
Race-focused training for all officers	396	96	306	109	50	43	185	24	172	170	230	157	118	268	200	187	41	345
Sensitivity training for all officers	375	117	258	93	52	45	185	35	155	185	224	150	95	280	189	185	55	320
Bias training for all officers	348	97	251	95	47	41	166	27	161	160	221	128	97	251	191	157	37	311
Stricter rules and regulations from the Chicago Police Board (the disciplinary body for Chicago police officers)	341	97	244	93	44	41	163	26	154	161	195	147	105	236	176	166	45	296

Increased racial and ethnic diversity within the department	328	86	241	84	45	37	163	28	153	147	221	107	104	223	182	146	39	289
Introduction of social workers onto police force	320	89	231	77	40	50	152	22	140	158	203	117	110	210	188	131	36	284
New policies on gun use	314	93	221	98	43	43	130	21	163	131	199	116	107	208	159	156	30	284
Greater reliance on non-lethal weapons	308	78	230	65	34	33	176	33	131	144	183	125	83	225	160	148	42	266
More stringent recruiting requirements	250	62	188	58	32	38	123	17	116	117	160	90	70	180	139	110	32	218
Civilian oversight committee	203	63	140	42	25	33	103	6	83	114	122	81	53	150	112	91	25	178
Completely new leadership	189	51	138	42	21	38	88	15	101	74	139	51	59	130	86	103	22	167
Reduced funding for the police department	149	56	93	35	21	14	78	23	57	68	103	46	52	97	58	91	15	133
Other (please specify):	23	5	18	5	3	4	11	1	10	13	13	10	2	21	6	16	9	14
Not sure	60	23	37	31	10	5	13	16	34	10	21	39	21	39	20	40	11	49
Count	5.26	5.39	5.21	4.87	4.32	5.42	5.80	4.88	4.78	5.94	5.26	5.26	4.48	5.67	5.49	5.04	4.93	5.31

* Table Base: AGREE CHICAGO LAW ENFORCEMENT NEEDS REFORM

Q10: Which of the following do you think are effective policies for reducing gun violence in Chicago? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	City (B)	Suburb (C)	Less than \$50,000 (D)	Household Income \$50,000 - \$74,999 (E)	\$75,000 - \$99,999 (F)	\$100,000 or more (G)	Less than HS (H)	Education Some college (I)	College grad+ (J)	Employment Status Employed (K)	Not employed (L)	Yes (M)	Child <18 in Household No (N)	Marital Status Married/Living with partner (O)	Not married (P)	Size of Household 1 HH member (Q)	2+ HH members (R)
Total	956	250	707	241	141	134	440	76	493	387	592	364	353	604	502	454	137	820
Total (Unweighted)	944	238	706	370	191	142	241	37	475	432	525	419	292	652	539	405	168	776
Background checks for all gun sales	604	166	438	155	94	79	276	41	309	255	361	244	176	428	316	288	93	511
Higher penalties for gun-related crimes	574	132	441	134	86	99	254	37	283	253	351	223	184	390	327	247	83	490
Increased police presence in neighborhoods with high gun violence	527	116	410	122	73	84	247	26	287	214	318	208	190	336	300	226	72	455
Increase the police's community involvement with residents in neighborhoods with high gun violence	489	100	389	123	64	84	219	25	262	202	298	192	156	334	279	211	62	428
Youth services and after-school programs	434	114	319	124	45	69	196	22	233	179	264	169	154	280	229	205	56	378
Red flag laws/Extreme risk protection orders (i.e., police can remove or block an individual's access to firearms if they believe the weapons will be used for harm)	425	94	330	112	50	61	202	30	219	175	247	177	144	281	221	204	52	373
Buy-back of certain firearms (e.g., semi-automatic assault weapons)	338	86	253	80	49	51	158	21	171	146	199	139	105	233	180	159	47	291
Other (please specify):	38	8	30	5	6	3	23	0	21	16	29	9	10	28	24	14	6	32
Not sure	51	16	35	27	7	2	14	14	22	15	26	25	16	35	14	36	14	37
Count	3.64	3.34	3.74	3.66	3.37	3.98	3.61	2.85	3.66	3.76	3.54	3.81	3.22	3.88	3.76	3.50	3.55	3.65

* Table Base: AGREE GUN VIOLENCE NEEDS TO BE REDUCED IN CHICAGO

Reimagining Public Safety

Q1: Which of the following do you think is the most important problem in Chicago today?

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Gun violence	50%	49%	43%	56% C	51%	47%	51%	29%
Property taxes	10%	9%	4%	15% C	8%	18%	10%	17%
Police treatment of Black and Latino people	8%	10%	16%	D 3%	11%	1%	8%	11%
Economic inequality	7%	6%	11%	D 5%	8%	5%	7%	2%
Racial segregation across areas including education, housing, access to city services, etc.	6%	5%	10%	D 3%	7%	3%	6%	2%
Homelessness	5%	8%	8%	D 3%	5%	6%	5%	10%
Lack of access to social services (e.g., income assistance, job training, subsidized housing)	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	7%
Pension reform	3%	5%	1%	5%	3%	5%	3%	6%
Other (please specify):	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	9%	4%	4%
N/A - Chicago does not have any serious problems.	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	12%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q2: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding the city of Chicago?

Race relations in Chicago are good right now.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	27%	26%	30%	26%	22%	44%	27%	46%
Strongly agree	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	7%	5%	4%
Somewhat agree	23%	21%	25%	21%	18%	37%	22%	42%
Disagree (Net)	73%	74%	70%	74%	78%	56%	73%	54%
Somewhat disagree	38%	40%	39%	37%	40%	32%	38%	34%
Strongly disagree	35%	34%	31%	37%	38%	24%	35%	20%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Compared to this time last year, race relations in Chicago have improved.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	34%	41%	D 37%	29%	33%	38%	33%	56%
Strongly agree	7%	9%	8%	5%	6%	8%	6%	12%
Somewhat agree	27%	27%	33%	23%	26%	30%	27%	44%
Disagree (Net)	66%	63%	59%	71%	67%	62%	67%	44%
Somewhat disagree	39%	44%	37%	40%	40%	33%	40%	17%
Strongly disagree	27%	19%	22%	32%	27%	29%	27%	27%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

There is a strong sense of community across Chicago.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	47%	50%	59%	D 38%	49%	38%	46%	52%
Strongly agree	11%	15%	12%	10%	11%	9%	11%	6%
Somewhat agree	36%	34%	46%	28%	38%	29%	35%	46%
Disagree (Net)	53%	50%	41%	62%	51%	62%	54%	48%
Somewhat disagree	35%	33%	29%	39%	35%	34%	35%	32%
Strongly disagree	19%	17%	12%	23%	16%	28%	19%	16%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Mayor Lori Lightfoot has done a good job of investing in and supporting historically marginalized neighborhoods.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	50%	49%	62%	D 42%	56%	32%	51%	44%
Strongly agree	7%	13%	10%	4%	8%	3%	7%	8%
Somewhat agree	43%	36%	52%	37%	48%	29%	44%	36%
Disagree (Net)	50%	51%	38%	58%	44%	68%	49%	56%
Somewhat disagree	27%	20%	29%	25%	28%	23%	27%	24%
Strongly disagree	23%	31%	9%	33%	17%	44%	23%	32%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Race-related issues in Chicago are due to a few bad actors, not systemic issues.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	43%	43%	33%	50%	36%	65%	43%	41%
Strongly agree	16%	22%	9%	21%	11%	32%	16%	12%
Somewhat agree	27%	23%	23%	29%	24%	33%	26%	29%
Disagree (Net)	57%	55%	67%	D 50%	64%	35%	57%	59%
Somewhat disagree	30%	32%	32%	28%	34%	18%	30%	37%
Strongly disagree	27%	22%	35%	22%	31%	17%	28%	22%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Mayor Lori Lightfoot could do more to tackle inequality across Chicago neighborhoods.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	80%	83%	90%	73%	86%	63%	81%	67%
Strongly agree	35%	37%	44%	28%	48%	24%	35%	28%
Somewhat agree	46%	46%	46%	45%	38%	39%	46%	39%
Disagree (Net)	20%	17%	10%	27%	14%	37%	19%	33%
Somewhat disagree	14%	12%	8%	18%	11%	25%	14%	16%
Strongly disagree	6%	5%	1%	9%	4%	12%	5%	17%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Chicago law enforcement needs reform.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	76%	69%	92%	65%	100%	0%	77%	63%
Strongly agree	36%	38%	57%	22%	48%	0%	37%	33%
Somewhat agree	40%	31%	35%	43%	52%	0%	40%	30%
Disagree (Net)	24%	31%	8%	35%	0%	100%	23%	37%
Somewhat disagree	14%	20%	7%	20%	0%	61%	14%	23%
Strongly disagree	9%	11%	1%	15%	0%	39%	9%	13%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Gun violence in Chicago needs to be reduced.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	96%	97%	94%	97%	97%	94%	100%	0%
Strongly agree	84%	87%	82%	86%	86%	78%	88%	0%
Somewhat agree	12%	10%	12%	11%	10%	15%	12%	0%
Disagree (Net)	4%	3%	6%	3%	3%	6%	0%	100%
Somewhat disagree	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	0%	32%
Strongly disagree	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	0%	68%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q2A: Do you think each of the following situations happening in Chicago are better, worse, or about the same compared to cities in the rest of the country?

Race relations

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Better	10%	13%	10%	9%	8%	14%	9%	16%
About the same	49%	39%	51%	47%	47%	53%	49%	50%
Worse	42%	48%	39%	44%	44%	33%	42%	34%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Police relations with city residents

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Better	12%	15%	10%	12%	10%	18%	8%	12%
About the same	50%	54%	49%	51%	48%	57%	50%	56%
Worse	38%	31%	41%	36%	42%	25%	38%	36%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Gun violence

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Better	4%	3%	6%	4%	4%	7%	4%	12%
About the same	21%	18%	27%	16%	21%	21%	21%	24%
Worse	75%	78%	68%	80%	76%	72%	75%	64%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3a: My trust in the police

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Do not trust at all	8%	5%	14%	3%	10%	1%	8%	10%
1	5%	4%	7%	3%	6%	1%	5%	5%
2	3%	0%	6%	2%	4%	0%	3%	5%
3	7%	5%	12%	4%	9%	1%	7%	6%
4	5%	5%	8%	3%	6%	2%	6%	3%
5	15%	19%	16%	15%	17%	9%	16%	10%
6	9%	9%	11%	8%	9%	9%	9%	15%
7	12%	12%	11%	14%	13%	11%	12%	14%
8	12%	11%	8%	15%	11%	15%	12%	15%
9	10%	10%	4%	14%	5%	23%	10%	7%
Completely trust	13%	21%	5%	19%	8%	28%	13%	11%
Mean	5.85	6.56	4.51	6.83	5.20	7.96	5.86	5.68
Median	6.00	7.00	5.00	7.00	5.00	9.00	6.00	6.00

Standard Deviation	3.02	2.82	2.90	2.71	2.96	2.08	3.01	3.11
--------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3b: Safety level in my neighborhood

		Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Not at all safe	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	2%	3%	
1	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	f	1%	4%	
2	4%	1%	3%	4%	4%		1%	4%	
3	4%	9%	4%	3%	4%		1%	4%	
4	5%	2%	3%	6%	4%		8%	e	4%
5	8%	6%	11%	d	6%		9%	6%	8%
6	9%	10%	13%	D	7%		10%	5%	9%
7	16%	11%	20%	D	12%		17%	12%	16%
8	16%	22%	11%	20%	C		16%	18%	16%
9	18%	24%	14%	21%	c		18%	20%	19%
Completely safe	15%	13%	14%	15%	11%		26%	15%	15%
Mean	6.90	7.15	6.69	7.06	6.64		7.74	E	6.93
Median	7.00	8.00	7.00	8.00	7.00		8.00		7.00
Standard Deviation	2.60	2.43	2.56	2.63	2.66		2.24		2.59

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3c: How respectful police are to me

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Not at all respectful	3%	2%	5%	d	2%	f	0%	3%
1	3%	1%	4%		2%	4%	0%	3%
2	4%	3%	5%		2%	5%	1%	4%
3	3%	2%	5%		2%	4%	3%	5%
4	5%	6%	6%		5%	6%	3%	8%
5	10%	8%	14%	D	6%	11%	6%	10%
6	6%	5%	6%		6%	6%	4%	6%
7	15%	14%	17%		14%	15%	14%	15%
8	14%	15%	13%		14%	14%	11%	13%
9	15%	16%	13%		17%	15%	17%	15%
Completely respectful	22%	29%	13%	28%	C	16%	41%	22%
Mean	6.96	7.53	6.22	7.50	C	6.56	8.24	E
Median	8.00	8.00	7.00	8.00		7.00	9.00	
Standard Deviation	2.79	2.53	2.89	2.60		2.87	2.09	

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q4A: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding local law enforcement?

Members of the Chicago Police Department are handling their job well.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	62%	69%	50%	71%	C		63%	48%
Strongly agree	18%	32%	8%	24%	C		8%	47%
Somewhat agree	45%	37%	43%	47%			45%	43%
Disagree (Net)	38%	31%	50%	29%	F		9%	37%
Somewhat disagree	25%	21%	31%	21%	F		5%	25%
Strongly disagree	13%	10%	18%	D	8%	15%	F	4%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

I would rather the Chicago police spend less time than they currently do in my neighborhood.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	28%	26%	40%	D	19%	31%	18%	27%
Strongly agree	9%	8%	13%	D	6%	11%	3%	7%
Somewhat agree	18%	17%	26%	D	12%	19%	15%	18%
Disagree (Net)	72%	74%	60%	81%	C	82%	E	73%
Somewhat disagree	40%	42%	37%	43%	42%	35%	41%	H
Strongly disagree	32%	32%	23%	38%	C	27%	47%	E
							32%	21%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

If I were to have an interaction with the Chicago police in my area, I am confident that they would treat me with courtesy and respect.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	78%	80%	69%	84%	C		78%	H
Strongly agree	31%	39%	18%	40%	C		32%	h
Somewhat agree	47%	41%	51%	44%			56%	
Disagree (Net)	22%	20%	31%	D	16%		22%	
Somewhat disagree	14%	15%	17%	12%			10%	
Strongly disagree	8%	5%	14%	D	4%		17%	F

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

When I see a police officer in my neighborhood, I feel safer.

Stance on Defund the Police	Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform	Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction
-----------------------------	---	---

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
Agree (Net)	79%	88%	67%	87% C	74%	93%	79%	62% H
Strongly agree	32%	36%	20%	41%	26%	51%	32%	23%
Somewhat agree	47%	52%	48%	46%	49%	41%	47%	39%
Disagree (Net)	21%	12%	33% D	13%	26% F	7%	21%	38% G
Somewhat disagree	13%	10%	10%	8%	16% F	4%	13%	17%
Strongly disagree	8%	2%	12% D	5%	9% F	3%	7%	21% G

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
I approve of the way that the Chicago Police Department handled the summer 2020 protests.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
Agree (Net)	50%	49%	45%	54% C	46%	65%	51%	40%
Strongly agree	13%	12%	8%	17%	9%	26%	13%	7%
Somewhat agree	37%	37%	37%	37%	36%	39%	37%	33%
Disagree (Net)	50%	51%	55%	46%	54%	35%	49%	60%
Somewhat disagree	28%	28%	27% d	28%	29%	22%	28%	23%
Strongly disagree	22%	23%	29% D	18%	25% F	13%	22%	38% G

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
There is evidence of persistent racial bias in Chicago's policing practices.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
Agree (Net)	64%	63%	82% D	51%	77% F	24%	65%	51%
Strongly agree	24%	24%	41% D	12%	31% F	4%	24%	26%
Somewhat agree	40%	38%	41%	39%	46%	21%	41%	25%
Disagree (Net)	36%	37%	18%	49%	23%	76%	35%	49%
Somewhat disagree	24%	18%	15%	31% C	19%	41%	24%	28%
Strongly disagree	12%	19%	3%	18% C	5%	35%	11%	21%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
Major reforms are needed within the Chicago Police Department regarding treatment of Black and Latino people.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
Agree (Net)	68%	63%	86% D	54%	78% F	33%	68%	61%
Strongly agree	34%	32%	57%	17%	43%	3%	34%	27%
Somewhat agree	34%	31%	30%	37%	35%	30%	34%	34%
Disagree (Net)	32%	37%	14%	46% C	22%	67%	32%	39%
Somewhat disagree	20%	18%	13%	25% C	16%	32%	20%	27%
Strongly disagree	12%	19%	1%	20% C	5%	35%	12%	11%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
Q48: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding local law enforcement?

Chicago police have been effective at reducing or preventing crime in my neighborhood.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
Agree (Net)	63%	70%	54%	70% C	58%	81%	64%	54%
Strongly agree	18%	26%	15%	20%	13%	32%	18%	15%
Somewhat agree	45%	44%	39%	50%	45%	48%	46%	39%
Disagree (Net)	37%	30%	46% D	30%	42%	19%	36%	46%
Somewhat disagree	23%	26%	30% D	18%	26% F	13%	23%	24%
Strongly disagree	14%	3%	17%	11%	16% F	7%	13%	22%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
I have confidence in the Chicago Police Department to act fairly and without bias.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
Agree (Net)	55%	65%	40%	65% C	45%	87%	55%	45%
Strongly agree	16%	22%	10%	20%	9%	37%	16%	12%
Somewhat agree	39%	43%	30%	45%	36%	50%	39%	33%
Disagree (Net)	45%	35%	60% D	35%	55%	13%	45%	55%
Somewhat disagree	27%	27%	32% D	23%	33%	8%	27%	32%
Strongly disagree	18%	8%	28% D	12%	22%	5%	18%	23%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
Chicago police are often able to solve crimes that occur in the city.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
Agree (Net)	58%	60%	46%	67% C	52%	79%	59%	50%
Strongly agree	14%	19%	11%	16%	10%	27%	14%	8%
Somewhat agree	44%	41%	35%	51%	42%	52%	45%	42%
Disagree (Net)	42%	40%	54% D	33%	48%	21%	41%	50%
Somewhat disagree	27%	28%	33% D	23%	32%	13%	27%	29%
Strongly disagree	14%	13%	21% D	10%	17%	7%	14%	21%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
The Chicago Police Department has the support of most city residents.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	60%	63%	52%	66%	53%	82%	61%	45%
Strongly agree	16%	23%	11%	19%	10%	35%	16%	9%
Somewhat agree	45%	40%	41%	47%	44%	48%	45%	36%
Disagree (Net)	40%	37%	48%	34%	47%	18%	39%	55%
Somewhat disagree	26%	24%	31%	23%	30%	14%	26%	32%
Strongly disagree	14%	13%	17%	11%	16%	4%	13%	23%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
The Chicago Police Department has the support of most BIPOC (i.e., Black, Indigenous, and People of Color) residents.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	34%	34%	33%	35%	29%	52%	34%	34%
Strongly agree	9%	16%	10%	9%	6%	20%	10%	5%
Somewhat agree	25%	19%	24%	26%	23%	32%	25%	29%
Disagree (Net)	66%	66%	67%	65%	71%	48%	66%	66%
Somewhat disagree	37%	37%	31%	40%	39%	30%	37%	33%
Strongly disagree	29%	29%	35%	25%	33%	18%	29%	33%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
Chicago police should be brought in to handle situations regarding mental health patients and the homeless.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	43%	43%	37%	51%	42%	57%	46%	35%
Strongly agree	12%	15%	13%	12%	13%	12%	13%	11%
Somewhat agree	33%	28%	24%	39%	29%	45%	33%	25%
Disagree (Net)	55%	57%	63%	49%	58%	43%	54%	65%
Somewhat disagree	32%	31%	30%	34%	32%	33%	32%	34%
Strongly disagree	23%	26%	33%	15%	27%	10%	22%	31%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
Increased police presence is necessary for reducing gun violence in the city.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	82%	88%	73%	88%	79%	92%	82%	64%
Strongly agree	43%	38%	32%	51%	40%	51%	44%	28%
Somewhat agree	39%	50%	41%	37%	38%	41%	39%	36%
Disagree (Net)	18%	12%	27%	12%	21%	8%	18%	36%
Somewhat disagree	13%	9%	21%	8%	16%	6%	13%	13%
Strongly disagree	5%	3%	6%	4%	6%	3%	4%	23%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
There should be more funding for non-policing alternatives (e.g., social worker dispatches, neighborhood patrols, restorative justice circles).

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	75%	69%	88%	66%	85%	44%	75%	69%
Strongly agree	36%	34%	52%	24%	44%	11%	36%	36%
Somewhat agree	39%	35%	36%	41%	41%	34%	39%	33%
Disagree (Net)	25%	31%	12%	34%	15%	56%	25%	31%
Somewhat disagree	16%	13%	8%	21%	12%	29%	16%	11%
Strongly disagree	9%	17%	3%	13%	4%	27%	9%	20%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
The current level of funding for the Chicago Police Department is too high.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Agree (Net)	41%	40%	70%	21%	50%	14%	41%	57%
Strongly agree	17%	20%	29%	8%	21%	3%	17%	22%
Somewhat agree	25%	20%	41%	13%	29%	11%	24%	35%
Disagree (Net)	59%	60%	30%	79%	50%	86%	59%	43%
Somewhat disagree	38%	33%	25%	47%	37%	42%	39%	24%
Strongly disagree	21%	27%	5%	32%	13%	43%	21%	19%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q5: Which of the following apply to you? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52
Growing up, I was taught that the police were a friend to me and my community.	63%	69%	54%	69%	58%	78%	63%	64%
As an adult, I feel that the police are a friend to me and my community.	48%	55%	31%	61%	42%	69%	49%	34%

I have a friend of family member who works for the Chicago Police Department.	21%	100%	20%	23%	19%	28%	e	22%	15%
I have had a negative experience with a member of the Chicago Police Department.	16%	25%	24%	D 10%	18%	F 8%		15%	31% G
If I were to report police misconduct to the Chicago Police Department, I believe I would receive retaliation from officers for filing a complaint.	15%	20%	25%	D 8%	18%	F 4%		15%	18%
I have been afraid to report police misconduct to the Chicago Police Department because I believed I would receive retaliation from officers.	11%	7%	19%	D 5%	14%	F 2%		10%	22% G
I have been racially profiled in at least one interaction with police officers in Chicago.	11%	14%	17%	D 6%	13%	F 4%		10%	26% G
Since May 2020, I have donated to or directly supported (e.g., volunteering, providing non-financial resources) organizations that advocate for racial justice, criminal justice, or police reform.	10%	8%	21%	D 3%	13%	F 4%		10%	21% g
Since May 2020, I have attended at least one protest advocating for racial justice, criminal justice, or police reform.	8%	9%	16%	D 3%	10%	F 2%		8%	18% g
None of the above	12%	0%	12%	11%	12%	11%		12%	15%
Count	2.15	3.08	2.39	1.97	2.17	2.09		2.13	2.64

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q6: Perhaps you have heard of the campaign "Defund the Police," which refers to the movement to reduce police department budgets and redistribute those funds towards essential social services that are often underfunded, such as housing, education, employment, mental health care, and youth services. Given this understanding, how much do you support or oppose this campaign?

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
Support (Net)	42%	39%	100%	0%	51%	15%	41%	59% g
Strongly support	18%	19%	43%	D 0%	22%	F 4%	18%	20%
Somewhat support	24%	19%	57%	D 0%	28%	F 10%	23%	39% g
Oppose (Net)	58%	61%	0%	100%	49%	85%	59%	41% h
Somewhat oppose	19%	18%	0%	32%	C 21%	F 12%	19%	13%
Strongly oppose	39%	43%	0%	68%	C 29%	E 74%	40%	28%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q6A: Where do you think reallocated funds from the police department should go? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=420	N=82	N=420	N=0	N=385	N=35	N=396	N=24 **
Total (Unweighted)	N=416	N=72	N=416	N=0	N=377	N=39	N=391	N=25 **
Mental health care programs	67%	74%	67%	0%	68%	55%	67%	57%
Gun violence prevention	62%	70%	62%	0%	66%	F 23%	62%	66%
Homeless services and shelters	60%	70%	60%	0%	62%	F 30%	61%	41%
Youth services (e.g., community centers, mentorship programs, after-school programs)	53%	65%	53%	0%	56%	F 26%	53%	61%
Affordable housing services	50%	54%	50%	0%	52%	34%	51%	35%
Hiring/integrating social workers into police forces to handle situations where police may not be needed	50%	54%	50%	0%	53%	F 18%	50%	50%
Local education/schools	47%	63%	47%	0%	49%	36%	48%	45%
Local healthcare services	46%	57%	46%	0%	46%	41%	45%	54%
Unemployment/Re-employment services	46%	51%	46%	0%	48%	f 24%	44%	62%
Substance abuse services	42%	53%	42%	0%	45%	F 14%	41%	56%
People returning to their communities from jail or prison (e.g., social/family reintegration, expungement, healthcare assistance)	40%	56%	40%	0%	41%	30%	40%	42%
Other (please specify):	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	6% G
Not sure	3%	0%	3%	0%	2%	5%	3%	0%
Count	5.67	6.67	5.67	0.00	5.88	3.35	5.67	5.76

* Table Base: SUPPORTS DEFUND THE POLICE

Q6B: Which of the following should have the highest priority for reallocated funds from the police department?

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=405	N=82	N=405	N=0	N=373	N=33	N=383	N=23 **
Total (Unweighted)	N=397	N=70	N=397	N=0	N=361	N=36	N=373	N=24 **
Gun violence prevention	21%	13%	21%	0%	21%	13%	19%	44% G
Hiring/integrating social workers into police forces to handle situations where police may not be needed	18%	20%	18%	0%	19%	f 1%	19%	4%
Homeless services and shelters	13%	13%	13%	0%	13%	20%	13%	13%
Mental health care programs	11%	11%	11%	0%	12%	4%	12%	2%
Local education/schools	8%	8%	8%	0%	8%	12%	7%	19%
Affordable housing services	7%	3%	7%	0%	6%	18%	e 8%	0%
Youth services (e.g., community centers, mentorship programs, after-school programs)	7%	6%	7%	0%	7%	3%	7%	1%
Unemployment/Re-employment services	6%	6%	6%	0%	7%	0%	7%	4%
People returning to their communities from jail or prison (e.g., social/family reintegration, expungement, healthcare assistance)	5%	19%	5%	0%	4%	20%	E 5%	7%
Local healthcare services	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	7%	2%	2%
Substance abuse services	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	3%
Other (please specify):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Not sure 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%

* Table Base: THINK FUNDS TAKEN FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE REALLOCATED TO AT LEAST ONE LISTED SERVICE

Q7: How likely do you think it is that the following situations will happen as a result of defunding the police?

Defunding the police will lead to fewer officers in my neighborhood.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
At least somewhat likely (Net)	78%	81%	66%	87% C	76%	87% E	80%	50%
Very likely	39%	43%	19%	54% C	33%	61% E	40%	21%
Somewhat likely	39%	39%	47% D	33%	43% F	26%	40%	29%
Not very likely	15%	11%	26% D	6%	17% F	7%	15%	21%
Not at all likely	7%	7%	8%	6%	7%	6%	6%	29% G

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will mean more funding for social services that can improve issues such as mental health, addiction, and homelessness.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
At least somewhat likely (Net)	57%	53%	88% D	35%	65% F	31%	57%	52%
Very likely	22%	24%	41% D	8%	26% F	9%	22%	26%
Somewhat likely	35%	29%	47% D	27%	39% F	22%	36%	26%
Not very likely	27%	24%	10%	39% C	25%	35% e	26%	37%
Not at all likely	16%	22%	2%	26% C	10%	35% E	16%	11%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will lead to an increase in crime in my neighborhood.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
At least somewhat likely (Net)	65%	70%	39%	84% C	59%	83% E	66%	46%
Very likely	37%	42%	11%	56% C	28%	65% E	37%	25%
Somewhat likely	28%	28%	41%	28%	31% F	18%	29%	21%
Not very likely	25%	22%	47% D	9%	29% F	10%	24%	36%
Not at all likely	10%	8%	14% D	7%	11%	7%	10%	18%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will start a real dialogue about the changes needed for policing in Chicago.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
At least somewhat likely (Net)	53%	49%	80% D	33%	62% F	24%	52%	59%
Very likely	18%	21%	29% D	10%	21% F	8%	17%	26%
Somewhat likely	35%	28%	51% D	24%	41% F	16%	35%	33%
Not very likely	22%	26%	14%	27% C	20%	27%	22%	18%
Not at all likely	26%	25%	7%	39% C	18%	50% E	26%	24%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will only benefit communities of color.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
At least somewhat likely (Net)	33%	32%	40% D	28%	32%	34%	33%	29%
Very likely	13%	14%	16% d	10%	13%	13%	13%	12%
Somewhat likely	20%	18%	24%	17%	20%	21%	20%	17%
Not very likely	36%	36%	37%	35%	39% F	24%	36%	37%
Not at all likely	32%	32%	23%	38% C	28%	42% E	31%	34%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will not contribute to repairing the relationship between the police and Chicago residents.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
At least somewhat likely (Net)	62%	64%	54%	67% C	60%	66%	62%	56%
Very likely	32%	33%	18%	42% C	28%	44%	32%	29%
Somewhat likely	30%	31%	36% D	25%	32% F	21%	30%	26%
Not very likely	24%	28%	35% D	16%	28% F	13%	24%	31%
Not at all likely	14%	9%	11%	17% c	12%	21% E	14%	14%

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q8: Which of the following do you think should be held accountable for the approach that the Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=997	N=214	N=420	N=577	N=760	N=237	N=956	N=41 *
Total (Unweighted)	N=996	N=170	N=416	N=580	N=749	N=247	N=944	N=52 *
Outside agitators	48%	48%	46%	50%	47%	52%	48%	53%
Chicago Police Department	44%	39%	61% D	31%	51% F	21%	43%	49%
Mayor Lori Lightfoot	42%	46%	37%	45%	40%	48%	41%	56%
Individual officers	35%	35%	46% D	26%	40% F	18%	35%	36%
The news media	33%	42%	26%	38% C	28%	49%	33%	34%
Counter-protestors	27%	29%	29%	25%	27%	27%	27%	28%

Peaceful protestors	9%	13%	13%	D	7%	8%	12%	10%	7%
Other (please specify):	3%	7%	2%		4%	2%	5%	3%	1%
Not sure	9%	7%	8%		9%	8%	10%	9%	8%
Count	2.49	2.64	2.69		2.34	2.51	2.42	2.48	2.73

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q8A: Why do you think individual officers should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=345	N=75	N=195	N=150	N=302	N=42	N=330	N=15
Total (Unweighted)	N=329	N=64	N=188	N=141	N=295	N=34	N=312	N=17
They were not trained well enough to deal with protests of this size.	50%	48%	43%	59%	50%	52%	50%	48%
They were too confrontational or aggressive with protestors.	49%	63%	57%	D	39%	53%	50%	38%
They were racially prejudiced.	45%	44%	54%	D	35%	50%	46%	39%
They were improperly trained on mass arrest procedures.	43%	37%	43%		45%	30%	41%	79%
They did not care about or respect the protestors.	41%	39%	54%	D	42%	35%	41%	36%
Officers could act as they pleased because there was no authoritative accountability system for their actions.	35%	32%	45%	D	21%	36%	34%	44%
They were unprepared for a possibility of mass arrests.	35%	34%	30%		41%	35%	33%	62%
There was confusion due to lack of coordination and communication by the police.	33%	34%	32%		33%	32%	32%	43%
They were looking for trouble.	23%	31%	35%	D	7%	22%	23%	19%
They were not following orders from higher ups.	15%	15%	18%		11%	16%	15%	13%
They were not confrontational or aggressive enough with protestors.	6%	3%	3%		9%	6%	6%	4%
Other (please specify):	5%	8%	2%		10%	3%	5%	4%
Count	3.79	3.89	4.16	3.30	3.89	3.01	3.76	4.29

* Table Base: THINK INDIVIDUAL OFFICERS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8B: Why do you think the Chicago Police Department should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=434	N=82	N=257	N=177	N=385	N=49	N=414	N=20
Total (Unweighted)	N=414	N=63	N=243	N=171	N=366	N=48	N=393	N=21
Its members were not trained well enough to deal with protests of this size.	47%	37%	39%	58%	49%	33%	48%	25%
The police were improperly trained on mass arrest procedures.	46%	43%	46%	46%	46%	46%	46%	53%
The police were unprepared for a possibility of mass arrests.	44%	36%	40%	50%	44%	46%	43%	66%
Their policies are too confrontational or aggressive.	40%	35%	51%	D	23%	36%	40%	39%
There was confusion due to lack of coordination and communication by the police.	39%	27%	30%		50%	40%	39%	35%
The department is racially prejudiced.	37%	41%	46%	D	24%	41%	37%	45%
Officers could act as they pleased because there was no authoritative accountability system for their actions.	30%	33%	34%		25%	31%	31%	26%
The department did not care about or respect the protestors.	28%	24%	37%	D	15%	29%	28%	26%
They were not following orders or guidelines from Mayor Lightfoot.	18%	33%	26%	D	6%	18%	18%	10%
They were looking for trouble.	16%	21%	21%	D	9%	15%	17%	4%
Their policies are not confrontational or aggressive enough.	12%	13%	11%		13%	11%	12%	6%
Other (please specify):	2%	2%	3%		2%	2%	2%	4%
Count	3.59	3.45	3.83	3.23	3.68	2.89	3.60	3.40

* Table Base: THINK CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8C: Why do you think peaceful protestors should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=94	N=29	N=54	N=40	N=64	N=30	N=91	N=3
Total (Unweighted)	N=90	N=20	N=49	N=41	N=60	N=30	N=84	N=6
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	58%	65%	51%	68%	65%	43%	57%	100%
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorities/government.	44%	51%	48%	38%	44%	43%	43%	67%
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	43%	62%	39%	49%	39%	53%	43%	63%
Their cause or reason for protesting was not justified.	38%	38%	38%	39%	40%	36%	37%	80%
They did not care about or respect the police.	35%	51%	17%	60%	36%	35%	34%	83%
They were looking for trouble.	34%	48%	30%	40%	30%	42%	33%	68%
Other (please specify):	3%	4%	6%	0%	4%	2%	4%	0%
Count	2.56	3.19	2.28	2.95	2.57	2.54	2.50	4.62

* Table Base: THINK PEACEFUL PROTESTORS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8D: Why do you think counter-protestors should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=269	N=61	N=123	N=146	N=205	N=64	N=257	N=12
Total (Unweighted)	N=253	N=56	N=105	N=148	N=188	N=65	N=240	N=13
They were looking for trouble.	63%	77%	58%	67%	62%	67%	64%	46%
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	60%	74%	42%	74% C	55%	75%	61%	37%
They made it harder for the police to identify peaceful and non-peaceful protestors.	59%	82%	50%	66% C	60%	57%	58%	83%
They did not care about or respect the police.	53%	58%	46%	60%	55%	49%	54%	34%
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	45%	60%	35%	53% C	43%	49%	45%	28%
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorities/government.	39%	54%	38%	41%	36%	49%	38%	67%
Their cause or reason for protesting was not justified.	28%	45%	23%	31%	26%	33%	27%	42%
Other (please specify):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Count	3.47	4.51	2.92	3.93	3.37	3.79	3.47	3.37

* Table Base: THINK COUNTER-PROTESTORS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8E: Why do you think outside agitators should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=481	N=102	N=193	N=288	N=358	N=123	N=460	N=22
Total (Unweighted)	N=505	N=91	N=190	N=315	N=365	N=140	N=475	N=30
They were looking for trouble.	79%	85%	69%	86% C	76%	86%	80%	59%
They made it harder for the police to identify peaceful and non-peaceful protestors.	67%	71%	68%	66%	66%	70%	66%	69%
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	65%	78%	54%	73% C	65%	67%	65%	80%
They did not care about or respect the police.	64%	82%	49%	74% C	60%	77%	65%	53%
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorities/government.	53%	74%	49%	56%	48%	67%	53%	57%
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	42%	43%	38%	45%	41%	46%	42%	58%
Other (please specify):	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%
Count	3.72	4.33	3.26	4.03	3.57	4.15	3.72	3.79

* Table Base: THINK OUTSIDE AGITATORS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8F: Why do you think Mayor Lori Lightfoot should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=417	N=97	N=157	N=260	N=304	N=113	N=394	N=23
Total (Unweighted)	N=407	N=72	N=152	N=255	N=286	N=121	N=381	N=26
Mayor Lightfoot did not have the ability to properly handle the protests.	48%	50%	37%	55% C	46%	53%	49%	37%
Mayor Lightfoot did not provide an authoritative accountability system for police actions, allowing officers to act as they pleased.	43%	48%	55% D	35%	47% f	32%	43%	39%
Mayor Lightfoot's policies on policing are not aggressive enough.	40%	49%	28%	47% C	40%	41%	41%	30%
Mayor Lightfoot is not respected by the Chicago Police Department.	39%	54%	30%	44% C	35%	49%	40%	21%
Mayor Lightfoot did not have the support from other local leaders to effectively handle the protests.	29%	46%	25%	31%	29%	28%	28%	46%
Mayor Lightfoot's policies on policing are too aggressive or militaristic.	17%	17%	33% D	8%	19%	14%	18%	13%
Other (please specify):	5%	3%	1%	7% C	5%	4%	5%	0%
Count	2.21	2.66	2.10	2.28	2.22	2.19	2.23	1.87

* Table Base: THINK MAYOR LORI LIGHTFOOT SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8G: Why do you think the news media should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=329	N=89	N=109	N=220	N=212	N=117	N=315	N=14
Total (Unweighted)	N=322	N=63	N=107	N=215	N=211	N=111	N=300	N=22
They spread inaccurate information about police.	63%	71%	51%	69% C	60%	68%	63%	63%
They were looking to create news stories where there normally wouldn't be one.	58%	60%	45%	64% C	55%	64%	57%	72%
They skewed public perception of the police to become more negative.	57%	59%	38%	66% C	51%	67%	57%	59%
They spread inaccurate information about protestors.	53%	53%	59%	50%	57%	46%	52%	77% g
They encouraged confrontational or aggressive behavior by protestors.	50%	57%	30%	59% C	49%	51%	49%	66%
They encouraged more people to protest.	46%	50%	21%	59% C	45%	50%	46%	50%
They favored the protestors in reporting.	44%	44%	23%	54% C	36%	59%	44%	36%
They favored the police in reporting.	13%	13%	35% D	2%	18%	4%	13%	21%
Other (please specify):	5%	12%	2%	6%	4%	7%	5%	0%
Count	3.88	4.18	3.04	4.30	3.73	4.16	3.86	4.45

* Table Base: THINK THE NEWS MEDIA SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q9: What would reform of Chicago law enforcement look like to you? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=760	N=148	N=385	N=375	N=760	N=0	N=734	N=26
Total (Unweighted)	N=749	N=115	N=377	N=372	N=749	N=0	N=720	N=29
De-escalation training for all officers	53%	62%	55%	52%	53%	0%	53%	55%
Race-focused training for all officers	51%	59%	60%	42%	51%	0%	51%	45%
Sensitivity training for all officers	49%	55%	53%	45%	49%	0%	49%	50%
Bias training for all officers	46%	45%	54%	37%	46%	0%	45%	58%
Stricter rules and regulations from the Chicago Police Board (the disciplinary body for Chicago police officers)	45%	59%	49%	41%	45%	0%	45%	45%
Increased racial and ethnic diversity within the department	43%	42%	50%	36%	43%	0%	43%	55%
Introduction of social workers onto police force	42%	49%	49%	35%	42%	0%	42%	44%
New policies on gun use	41%	46%	45%	38%	41%	0%	41%	44%
Greater reliance on non-lethal weapons	41%	43%	45%	36%	41%	0%	40%	42%
More stringent recruiting requirements	33%	37%	35%	30%	33%	0%	32%	46%
Civilian oversight committee	27%	32%	30%	24%	27%	0%	26%	47%
Completely new leadership	25%	39%	32%	18%	25%	0%	25%	35%
Reduced funding for the police department	20%	27%	31%	8%	20%	0%	19%	31%
Other (please specify):	3%	4%	1%	5%	3%	0%	3%	1%
Not sure	8%	6%	4%	12%	8%	0%	8%	11%
Count	5.26	6.05	5.92	4.58	5.26	0.00	5.23	6.09

* Table Base: AGREE CHICAGO LAW ENFORCEMENT NEEDS REFORM

Q10: Which of the following do you think are effective policies for reducing gun violence in Chicago? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	N=956	N=207	N=396	N=561	N=734	N=222	N=956	N=0
Total (Unweighted)	N=944	N=160	N=391	N=553	N=720	N=224	N=944	N=0
Background checks for all gun sales	63%	59%	66%	62%	68%	49%	63%	0%
Higher penalties for gun-related crimes	60%	68%	54%	64%	60%	61%	60%	0%
Increased police presence in neighborhoods with high gun violence	55%	62%	42%	64%	52%	65%	55%	0%
Increase the police's community involvement with residents in neighborhoods with high gun violence	51%	54%	44%	56%	52%	49%	51%	0%
Youth services and after-school programs	45%	52%	50%	42%	48%	38%	45%	0%
Red flag laws/Extreme risk protection orders (i.e., police can remove or block an individual's access to firearms if they believe the weapons will be used for harm)	44%	47%	49%	41%	47%	35%	44%	0%
Buy-back of certain firearms (e.g., semi-automatic assault weapons)	35%	42%	41%	31%	39%	24%	35%	0%
Other (please specify):	4%	4%	2%	5%	3%	6%	4%	0%
Not sure	5%	1%	3%	7%	5%	5%	5%	0%
Count	3.64	3.88	3.50	3.74	3.73	3.32	3.64	0.00

* Table Base: AGREE GUN VIOLENCE NEEDS TO BE REDUCED IN CHICAGO

Reimagining Public Safety

Q1: Which of the following do you think is the most important problem in Chicago today?

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Gun violence	502	104	179	323	390	112	490	12
Property taxes	102	20	16	86	60	43	95	7
Police treatment of Black and Latino people	85	21	69	16	81	3	80	5
Economic inequality	72	12	46	27	61	11	71	1
Racial segregation across areas including education, housing, access to city services, etc.	58	11	40	17	51	7	57	1
Homelessness	52	16	34	18	36	15	47	4
Lack of access to social services (e.g., income assistance, job training, subsidized housing)	35	6	12	22	30	5	32	3
Pension reform	31	11	3	28	20	11	28	2
Other (please specify):	44	9	14	30	22	22	42	2
N/A - Chicago does not have any serious problems.	18	3	8	11	9	9	13	5

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q2: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding the city of Chicago?

Race relations in Chicago are good right now.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	274	56	126	148	170	104	255	19
Strongly agree	47	11	21	26	30	17	45	2
Somewhat agree	227	45	105	122	140	87	210	17
Disagree (Net)	723	158	294	429	590	133	701	22
Somewhat disagree	378	86	164	214	302	76	364	14
Strongly disagree	346	72	130	215	288	57	337	8

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Compared to this time last year, race relations in Chicago have improved.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	338	78	173	165	248	90	315	23
Strongly agree	66	20	36	30	47	19	61	5
Somewhat agree	272	58	138	135	201	72	254	18
Disagree (Net)	659	136	247	412	513	147	641	18
Somewhat disagree	385	94	155	230	307	78	378	7
Strongly disagree	274	41	92	182	206	68	263	11

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

There is a strong sense of community across Chicago.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	466	106	247	219	375	91	444	21
Strongly agree	109	32	52	57	87	22	106	3
Somewhat agree	357	73	194	162	288	69	338	19
Disagree (Net)	532	108	173	358	386	146	512	20
Somewhat disagree	346	71	122	224	266	80	333	13
Strongly disagree	186	37	52	134	120	66	179	6

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Mayor Lori Lightfoot has done a good job of investing in and supporting historically marginalized neighborhoods.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	501	105	261	240	424	77	483	18
Strongly agree	68	28	43	25	60	8	64	3
Somewhat agree	433	77	218	216	365	69	419	15
Disagree (Net)	496	109	159	337	336	160	473	23
Somewhat disagree	265	43	121	144	210	55	255	10
Strongly disagree	231	66	39	193	127	105	218	13

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Race-related issues in Chicago are due to a few bad actors, not systemic issues.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	426	96	137	289	271	155	409	17
Strongly agree	161	48	39	122	85	76	156	5
Somewhat agree	265	49	97	167	186	79	253	12
Disagree (Net)	571	117	283	288	489	82	547	24
Somewhat disagree	298	70	135	163	256	42	283	15
Strongly disagree	273	47	148	125	233	41	264	9

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Mayor Lori Lightfoot could do more to tackle inequality across Chicago neighborhoods.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	801	176	379	422	652	149	774	28
Strongly agree	346	80	185	161	289	57	335	11
Somewhat agree	455	96	194	262	363	92	439	16
Disagree (Net)	196	37	41	155	108	88	183	14
Somewhat disagree	140	26	35	105	80	60	133	7
Strongly disagree	56	12	6	50	28	28	49	7

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Chicago law enforcement needs reform.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	760	148	385	375	760	0	734	26
Strongly agree	364	82	240	124	364	0	350	14
Somewhat agree	397	66	146	251	397	0	384	13
Disagree (Net)	237	66	35	202	0	237	222	15
Somewhat disagree	144	42	30	114	0	144	135	10
Strongly disagree	93	24	5	88	0	93	87	6

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Gun violence in Chicago needs to be reduced.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	956	207	396	561	734	222	956	0
Strongly agree	841	186	344	497	656	185	841	0
Somewhat agree	115	22	52	63	78	37	115	0
Disagree (Net)	41	6	24	17	26	15	0	41
Somewhat disagree	13	3	7	7	6	7	0	13
Strongly disagree	28	4	18	10	20	8	0	28

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q2A: Do you think each of the following situations happening in Chicago are better, worse, or about the same compared to cities in the rest of the country?

Race relations

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Better	95	28	42	54	63	32	89	7
About the same	485	83	215	270	359	126	465	21
Worse	417	102	164	253	338	79	403	14

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Police relations with city residents

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Better	115	31	44	71	73	42	112	3
About the same	501	116	206	296	366	135	478	23
Worse	381	66	170	210	321	59	366	15

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Gun violence

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Better	44	7	23	21	27	17	39	5
About the same	206	39	112	95	157	50	197	10
Worse	747	167	285	462	577	170	720	26

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3a: My trust in the police

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Do not trust at all	78	10	58	20	76	2	74	4
1	47	8	28	19	44	2	45	2
2	34	0	25	10	33	1	32	2
3	72	11	49	23	70	2	69	2
4	54	10	35	19	49	5	53	1
5	152	41	67	85	132	21	148	4
6	93	19	45	48	71	22	87	6
7	124	25	44	79	99	25	118	6
8	117	23	32	85	82	35	111	6
9	95	21	17	78	40	55	92	3
Completely trust	131	45	20	111	64	67	127	5
Mean	5.85	6.56	4.51	6.83	5.20	7.96	5.86	5.68
Median	6.00	7.00	5.00	7.00	5.00	9.00	6.00	6.00

Standard Deviation	3.02	2.82	2.90	2.71	2.96	2.08	3.01	3.11
--------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3b: Safety level in my neighborhood

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	995	214	418	577	759	237	956	39
Not at all safe	16	1	6	10	15	1	15	1
1	40	8	18	22	37	3	37	3
2	35	1	14	21	32	3	35	0
3	36	19	17	19	33	3	34	2
4	46	4	14	32	27	19	43	3
5	83	13	46	37	68	15	77	6
6	92	21	53	39	79	13	89	3
7	154	23	82	72	127	27	151	3
8	162	46	48	114	120	42	155	7
9	182	51	59	123	134	48	179	4
Completely safe	148	27	60	88	86	62	141	7
Mean	6.90	7.15	6.69	7.06	6.64	7.74	6.93	6.37
Median	7.00	8.00	7.00	8.00	7.00	8.00	7.00	7.00
Standard Deviation	2.60	2.43	2.56	2.63	2.66	2.24	2.59	2.92

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q3c: How respectful police are to me

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	992	214	415	577	755	237	951	41
Not at all respectful	34	5	22	12	33	1	30	4
1	28	2	15	12	27	0	27	1
2	36	5	22	14	35	2	34	2
3	34	5	21	14	27	8	32	2
4	54	14	23	31	47	6	50	3
5	95	16	59	35	80	15	94	0
6	58	10	24	33	48	9	54	3
7	149	30	69	81	117	32	143	6
8	135	32	53	82	108	27	126	9
9	152	34	53	99	111	41	147	5
Completely respectful	218	62	55	163	122	96	213	5
Mean	6.96	7.53	6.22	7.50	6.56	8.24	7.00	6.24
Median	8.00	8.00	7.00	8.00	7.00	9.00	8.00	7.00
Standard Deviation	2.79	2.53	2.89	2.60	2.87	2.09	2.78	3.14

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q4A: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding local law enforcement?

Members of the Chicago Police Department are handling their job well.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	622	147	212	410	407	215	602	20
Strongly agree	175	68	33	141	63	112	170	4
Somewhat agree	447	78	179	269	344	103	432	16
Disagree (Net)	376	67	208	167	353	22	354	21
Somewhat disagree	250	45	131	119	237	13	238	12
Strongly disagree	126	22	77	49	116	9	116	9

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

I would rather the Chicago police spend less time than they currently do in my neighborhood.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	276	55	167	108	233	42	259	16
Strongly agree	94	18	56	37	87	7	91	3
Somewhat agree	182	36	111	71	146	35	168	14
Disagree (Net)	722	159	253	469	527	194	697	25
Somewhat disagree	404	90	154	250	321	83	395	9
Strongly disagree	318	69	98	219	206	112	302	16

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

If I were to have an interaction with the Chicago police in my area, I am confident that they would treat me with courtesy and respect.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	774	171	290	484	561	213	749	25
Strongly agree	308	82	77	231	176	132	302	6
Somewhat agree	465	89	213	252	384	81	447	18
Disagree (Net)	224	43	130	94	200	24	207	17
Somewhat disagree	141	33	73	68	127	14	134	7
Strongly disagree	83	10	57	26	73	10	73	9

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

When I see a police officer in my neighborhood, I feel safer.

Stance on Defund the Police	Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform	Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction
-----------------------------	---	---

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	786	188	283	503	566	220	760	26
Strongly agree	318	78	82	236	196	122	308	9
Somewhat agree	468	110	201	267	370	98	452	16
Disagree (Net)	212	26	137	74	194	17	196	16
Somewhat disagree	134	21	86	48	124	10	127	7
Strongly disagree	78	5	52	26	70	8	69	9

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
I approve of the way that the Chicago Police Department handled the summer 2020 protests.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	499	105	187	312	347	153	483	16
Strongly agree	131	26	32	99	70	61	128	3
Somewhat agree	368	79	155	213	277	92	355	14
Disagree (Net)	498	109	233	265	414	84	473	25
Somewhat disagree	274	60	112	162	222	52	265	9
Strongly disagree	223	49	121	103	192	31	208	16

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
There is evidence of persistent racial bias in Chicago's policing practices.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	640	134	342	297	582	58	619	21
Strongly agree	242	52	170	72	233	8	231	11
Somewhat agree	398	82	172	226	349	49	387	10
Disagree (Net)	358	80	78	280	179	179	338	20
Somewhat disagree	240	39	63	176	143	96	228	12
Strongly disagree	118	41	14	104	35	83	110	9

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
Major reforms are needed within the Chicago Police Department regarding treatment of Black and Latino people.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	674	135	362	313	596	79	649	25
Strongly agree	335	69	238	97	327	8	324	11
Somewhat agree	340	65	124	216	269	71	326	14
Disagree (Net)	323	79	58	265	165	158	307	16
Somewhat disagree	200	38	53	147	124	76	189	11
Strongly disagree	123	41	5	118	41	82	118	5

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
Q48: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding local law enforcement?

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	632	151	225	407	440	191	609	22
Strongly agree	178	56	61	117	102	76	172	6
Somewhat agree	453	95	164	289	339	115	437	16
Disagree (Net)	366	63	195	171	320	46	347	19
Somewhat disagree	230	56	125	104	200	30	220	10
Strongly disagree	136	7	70	66	120	16	127	9

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
I have confidence in the Chicago Police Department to act fairly and without bias.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	546	138	170	376	341	205	528	18
Strongly agree	158	47	43	115	71	87	153	5
Somewhat agree	388	91	127	261	270	118	375	14
Disagree (Net)	451	76	250	201	419	32	429	23
Somewhat disagree	268	58	134	133	248	19	255	13
Strongly disagree	184	18	116	68	171	13	174	10

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
Chicago police are often able to solve crimes that occur in the city.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	581	128	193	388	393	188	560	21
Strongly agree	138	40	46	91	74	64	134	3
Somewhat agree	443	88	147	297	320	124	426	17
Disagree (Net)	416	86	227	190	367	49	396	20
Somewhat disagree	273	59	140	133	241	31	261	12
Strongly disagree	144	27	87	57	126	18	135	8

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
The Chicago Police Department has the support of most city residents.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	600	135	219	381	406	195	582	19
Strongly agree	156	49	48	108	74	82	152	4
Somewhat agree	444	86	171	273	332	113	429	15
Disagree (Net)	397	78	201	196	355	42	374	23
Somewhat disagree	262	51	128	133	229	32	248	13
Strongly disagree	135	27	73	63	125	10	126	9

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
The Chicago Police Department has the support of most BIPOC (i.e., Black, Indigenous, and People of Color) residents.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	342	73	140	202	218	124	328	14
Strongly agree	94	34	40	53	46	47	92	2
Somewhat agree	248	40	100	148	172	76	236	12
Disagree (Net)	655	140	280	376	542	113	628	27
Somewhat disagree	365	79	131	234	293	72	351	14
Strongly disagree	291	61	148	142	249	42	277	13

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
Chicago police should be brought in to handle situations regarding mental health patients and the homeless.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	450	93	154	296	316	124	435	15
Strongly agree	124	32	55	70	97	27	120	4
Somewhat agree	326	61	100	226	219	107	315	10
Disagree (Net)	547	121	266	282	445	103	521	27
Somewhat disagree	321	66	126	195	243	78	307	14
Strongly disagree	226	55	140	87	202	24	214	13

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
Increased police presence is necessary for reducing gun violence in the city.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	815	188	306	509	597	218	789	26
Strongly agree	428	80	133	295	308	120	416	12
Somewhat agree	387	107	173	214	289	98	372	15
Disagree (Net)	182	26	114	68	163	19	168	15
Somewhat disagree	132	19	87	45	119	13	127	5
Strongly disagree	50	7	27	23	44	6	41	9

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
There should be more funding for non-policing alternatives (e.g., social worker dispatches, neighborhood patrols, restorative justice circles).

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	749	148	370	378	644	105	720	28
Strongly agree	359	73	220	139	334	25	344	15
Somewhat agree	390	74	150	240	310	80	376	14
Disagree (Net)	249	66	50	199	117	132	236	13
Somewhat disagree	158	29	35	123	90	68	153	4
Strongly disagree	91	37	15	76	27	64	83	8

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS
The current level of funding for the Chicago Police Department is too high.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Agree (Net)	414	85	292	122	380	34	390	23
Strongly agree	169	43	121	48	163	6	160	9
Somewhat agree	245	42	171	74	218	27	230	14
Disagree (Net)	584	128	128	456	380	203	566	18
Somewhat disagree	379	71	106	272	278	100	369	10
Strongly disagree	205	57	22	183	102	103	197	8

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q5: Which of the following apply to you? Please select all that apply.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Growing up, I was taught that the police were a friend to me and my community.	625	148	229	397	440	186	599	26
As an adult, I feel that the police are a friend to me and my community.	481	118	130	351	319	162	467	14

I have a friend of family member who works for the Chicago Police Department.	214	214	82	131	148	66	207	6
I have had a negative experience with a member of the Chicago Police Department.	157	53	99	58	139	18	144	13
If I were to report police misconduct to the Chicago Police Department, I believe I would receive retaliation from officers for filing a complaint.	148	44	105	44	140	9	141	7
I have been afraid to report police misconduct to the Chicago Police Department because I believed I would receive retaliation from officers.	109	16	81	28	105	4	99	9
I have been racially profiled in at least one interaction with police officers in Chicago.	106	29	71	34	96	10	95	11
Since May 2020, I have donated to or directly supported (e.g., volunteering, providing non-financial resources) organizations that advocate for racial justice, criminal justice, or police reform.	105	17	90	15	95	9	96	9
Since May 2020, I have attended at least one protest advocating for racial justice, criminal justice, or police reform.	81	19	67	15	76	6	74	7
None of the above	117	0	51	66	91	27	111	6
Count	2.15	3.08	2.39	1.97	2.17	2.09	2.13	2.64

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q6: Perhaps you have heard of the campaign "Defund the Police," which refers to the movement to reduce police department budgets and redistribute those funds towards essential social services that are often underfunded, such as housing, education, employment, mental health care, and youth services. Given this understanding, how much do you support or oppose this campaign?

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41 *
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52 *
Support (Net)	420	82	420	0	385	35	396	24
Strongly support	179	41	179	0	169	10	171	8
Somewhat support	241	41	241	0	216	25	225	16
Oppose (Net)	577	131	0	577	375	202	561	17
Somewhat oppose	185	39	0	185	157	27	179	5
Strongly oppose	393	93	0	393	218	175	381	11

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q6A: Where do you think reallocated funds from the police department should go? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	420	82	420	0 **	385	35	396	24 **
Total (Unweighted)	416	72 *	416	0 **	377	39 *	391	25 **
Mental health care programs	281	61	281	0	262	19	267	14
Gun violence prevention	262	57	262	0	254	8	246	16
Homeless services and shelters	250	58	250	0	240	10	240	10
Youth services (e.g., community centers, mentorship programs, after-school programs)	223	53	223	0	214	9	208	15
Affordable housing services	212	44	212	0	200	12	203	9
Hiring/integrating social workers into police forces to handle situations where police may not be needed	210	44	210	0	204	6	198	12
Local education/schools	199	52	199	0	187	12	188	11
Local healthcare services	193	47	193	0	179	14	179	13
Unemployment/Re-employment services	191	42	191	0	183	8	176	15
Substance abuse services	178	44	178	0	173	5	164	14
People returning to their communities from jail or prison (e.g., social/family reintegration, expungement, healthcare assistance)	169	46	169	0	159	10	159	10
Other (please specify):	3	0	3	0	3	0	2	2
Not sure	11	0	11	0	9	2	11	0
Count	5.67	6.67	5.67	0.00	5.88	3.35	5.67	5.76

* Table Base: SUPPORTS DEFUND THE POLICE

Q6B: Which of the following should have the highest priority for reallocated funds from the police department?

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	405	82	405	0 **	373	33 *	383	23 **
Total (Unweighted)	397	70	397	0 **	361	36 *	373	24 **
Gun violence prevention	84	10	84	0	80	4	74	10
Hiring/integrating social workers into police forces to handle situations where police may not be needed	72	16	72	0	72	0	71	1
Homeless services and shelters	54	11	54	0	48	7	51	3
Mental health care programs	46	9	46	0	45	1	46	0
Local education/schools	32	7	32	0	28	4	28	4
Affordable housing services	29	2	29	0	23	6	29	0
Youth services (e.g., community centers, mentorship programs, after-school programs)	29	5	29	0	28	1	28	0
Unemployment/Re-employment services	26	5	26	0	26	0	25	1
People returning to their communities from jail or prison (e.g., social/family reintegration, expungement, healthcare assistance)	22	15	22	0	16	7	21	2
Local healthcare services	8	1	8	0	5	2	7	0
Substance abuse services	3	1	3	0	3	1	3	1
Other (please specify):	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

* Table Base: THINK FUNDS TAKEN FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE REALLOCATED TO AT LEAST ONE LISTED SERVICE

Q7: How likely do you think it is that the following situations will happen as a result of defunding the police?

Defunding the police will lead to fewer officers in my neighborhood.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
At least somewhat likely (Net)	781	174	278	504	576	206	761	21
Very likely	391	91	79	312	247	144	382	9
Somewhat likely	390	83	198	192	328	62	378	12
Not very likely	148	25	111	37	131	16	139	8
Not at all likely	68	15	32	37	53	15	56	12

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will mean more funding for social services that can improve issues such as mental health, addiction, and homelessness.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
At least somewhat likely (Net)	569	114	368	201	496	73	548	21
Very likely	217	51	170	47	196	22	207	11
Somewhat likely	352	63	198	154	300	51	341	11
Not very likely	269	52	42	226	187	82	253	15
Not at all likely	160	48	10	150	78	82	155	5

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will lead to an increase in crime in my neighborhood.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
At least somewhat likely (Net)	649	149	165	483	451	197	630	19
Very likely	367	89	45	322	213	155	357	10
Somewhat likely	281	59	120	162	238	43	273	9
Not very likely	247	48	196	51	224	23	232	15
Not at all likely	102	17	59	42	85	16	94	8

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will start a real dialogue about the changes needed for policing in Chicago.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
At least somewhat likely (Net)	526	106	334	192	470	56	502	24
Very likely	177	45	122	55	159	18	166	11
Somewhat likely	349	60	212	137	311	37	335	13
Not very likely	216	55	58	158	152	63	208	7
Not at all likely	256	53	28	228	138	118	246	10

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will only benefit communities of color.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
At least somewhat likely (Net)	326	68	166	160	246	80	315	12
Very likely	127	29	67	60	96	31	122	5
Somewhat likely	199	39	99	100	150	49	192	7
Not very likely	356	77	156	200	300	56	341	15
Not at all likely	315	68	98	217	214	101	301	14

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Defunding the police will not contribute to repairing the relationship between the police and Chicago residents.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
At least somewhat likely (Net)	614	136	228	385	458	155	591	23
Very likely	317	70	76	240	212	105	305	12
Somewhat likely	297	65	152	145	246	51	286	11
Not very likely	240	60	147	93	209	31	227	13
Not at all likely	144	18	44	99	93	51	138	6

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q8: Which of the following do you think should be held accountable for the approach that the Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	997	214	420	577	760	237	956	41
Total (Unweighted)	996	170	416	580	749	247	944	52
Outside agitators	481	102	193	288	358	123	460	22
Chicago Police Department	434	82	257	177	385	49	414	20
Mayor Lori Lightfoot	417	97	157	260	304	113	394	23
Individual officers	345	75	195	150	302	42	330	15
The news media	329	89	109	220	212	117	315	14
Counter-protestors	269	61	123	146	205	64	257	12

Peaceful protestors	94	29	54	40	64	30	91	3
Other (please specify):	28	14	8	20	17	11	28	0
Not sure	86	15	33	52	62	24	82	3
Count	2.49	2.64	2.69	2.34	2.51	2.42	2.48	2.73

* Table Base: ALL QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS

Q8A: Why do you think individual officers should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	345	75	195	150	302	42	330	15
Total (Unweighted)	329	64	188	141	295	34	312	17
They were not trained well enough to deal with protests of this size.	172	36	85	88	150	22	165	7
They were too confrontational or aggressive with protestors.	169	47	111	58	161	8	164	6
They were racially prejudiced.	156	33	105	52	152	4	150	6
They were improperly trained on mass arrest procedures.	147	28	84	63	135	13	136	12
They did not care about or respect the protestors.	142	30	106	36	127	15	136	5
Officers could act as they pleased because there was no authoritative accountability system for their actions.	119	25	58	61	105	14	110	9
They were unprepared for a possibility of mass arrests.	119	24	88	31	108	11	113	7
There was confusion due to lack of coordination and communication by the police.	112	25	63	49	96	17	106	6
They were looking for trouble.	79	24	68	11	68	11	76	3
They were not following orders from higher ups.	51	11	34	17	48	3	49	2
They were not confrontational or aggressive enough with protestors.	20	3	6	13	18	2	19	1
Other (please specify):	18	6	3	15	10	8	17	1
Count	3.79	3.89	4.16	3.30	3.89	3.01	3.76	4.29

* Table Base: THINK INDIVIDUAL OFFICERS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8B: Why do you think the Chicago Police Department should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	434	82	257	177	385	49	414	20
Total (Unweighted)	414	63	243	171	366	48	393	21
Its members were not trained well enough to deal with protests of this size.	203	31	100	103	187	16	198	5
The police were improperly trained on mass arrest procedures.	200	35	118	82	177	23	189	11
The police were unprepared for a possibility of mass arrests.	191	30	102	89	168	23	177	14
Their policies are too confrontational or aggressive.	172	29	131	41	154	18	164	8
There was confusion due to lack of coordination and communication by the police.	167	22	78	89	154	13	160	7
The department is racially prejudiced.	162	34	118	43	159	3	153	9
Officers could act as they pleased because there was no authoritative accountability system for their actions.	132	27	87	44	120	12	126	5
The department did not care about or respect the protestors.	121	20	94	27	113	8	115	5
They were not following orders or guidelines from Mayor Lightfoot.	77	27	66	11	71	6	75	2
They were looking for trouble.	71	18	54	17	59	12	70	1
Their policies are not confrontational or aggressive enough.	52	11	29	23	44	8	51	1
Other (please specify):	11	1	7	3	9	1	10	1
Count	3.59	3.45	3.83	3.23	3.68	2.89	3.60	3.40

* Table Base: THINK CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8C: Why do you think peaceful protestors should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	94	29	54	40	64	30	91	3
Total (Unweighted)	90	20	49	41	60	30	84	6
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	54	19	28	27	42	13	52	3
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorities/government.	41	18	21	20	25	16	39	2
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	41	15	26	15	28	13	39	2
Their cause or reason for protesting was not justified.	36	11	21	16	25	11	34	2
They did not care about or respect the police.	33	15	9	24	23	10	31	2
They were looking for trouble.	32	14	16	16	19	13	30	2
Other (please specify):	3	1	3	0	3	1	3	0
Count	2.56	3.19	2.28	2.95	2.57	2.54	2.50	4.62

* Table Base: THINK PEACEFUL PROTESTORS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8D: Why do you think counter-protestors should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction				
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)			
Total	269	61	*	123	146	205	64	*	257	12	**
Total (Unweighted)	253	56	*	105	148	188	65	*	240	13	**
They were looking for trouble.	169	47		71	99	127	43		164	5	
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	160	46		51	109	112	48		156	4	
They made it harder for the police to identify peaceful and non-peaceful protestors.	158	50		61	97	122	37		149	10	
They did not care about or respect the police.	143	36		56	87	112	31		139	4	
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	120	36		43	77	88	32		117	3	
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorities/government.	106	33		46	60	75	31		98	8	
Their cause or reason for protesting was not justified.	75	28		29	46	53	21		70	5	
Other (please specify):	1	0		0	1	1	0		1	0	
Count	3.47	4.51		2.92	3.93	3.37	3.79		3.47	3.37	

* Table Base: THINK COUNTER-PROTESTORS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8E: Why do you think outside agitators should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

			Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	481	102	193	288	358	123	460	22
Total (Unweighted)	505	91	190	315	365	140	475	30
They were looking for trouble.	380	86	132	247	273	107	367	13
They made it harder for the police to identify peaceful and non-peaceful protestors.	321	72	131	190	235	86	306	15
They were too confrontational or aggressive with the police.	315	80	103	212	232	83	298	17
They did not care about or respect the police.	308	83	95	213	214	95	297	11
They were not following orders or guidelines from the authorities/government.	256	75	94	162	173	83	243	13
They should not have been gathering during the COVID-19 pandemic.	204	44	73	131	147	57	192	13
Other (please specify):	7	0	0	7	5	2	6	1
Count	3.72	4.33	3.26	4.03	3.57	4.15	3.72	3.79

* Table Base: THINK OUTSIDE AGITATORS SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8F: Why do you think Mayor Lori Lightfoot should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction			
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)		
Total	417	97	*	157	260	304	113	394	23	**
Total (Unweighted)	407	72	*	152	255	286	121	381	26	**
Mayor Lightfoot did not have the ability to properly handle the protests.	200	49		58	142	140	60	191	8	
Mayor Lightfoot did not provide an authoritative accountability system for police actions, allowing officers to act as they pleased.	179	47		87	92	143	36	170	9	
Mayor Lightfoot's policies on policing are not aggressive enough.	167	47		45	122	121	46	160	7	
Mayor Lightfoot is not respected by the Chicago Police Department.	162	53		47	114	107	55	157	5	
Mayor Lightfoot did not have the support from other local leaders to effectively handle the protests.	120	45		40	80	88	31	109	10	
Mayor Lightfoot's policies on policing are too aggressive or militaristic.	73	16		51	21	57	16	70	3	
Other (please specify):	21	3		1	19	16	4	21	0	
Count	2.21	2.66		2.10	2.28	2.22	2.19	2.23	1.87	

* Table Base: THINK MAYOR LORI LIGHTFOOT SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q8G: Why do you think the news media should be held accountable for the approach Chicago police took during the summer 2020 protests? Please select all that apply.

		Stance on Defund the Police				Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction		
	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)		
Total	329	89	*	109	220	212	117	315	14	**
Total (Unweighted)	322	63	*	107	215	211	111	300	22	**
They spread inaccurate information about police.	207	64		55	152	127	80	198	9	
They were looking to create news stories where there normally wouldn't be one.	191	53		49	142	117	74	181	10	
They skewed public perception of the police to become more negative.	187	52		41	146	108	79	179	8	
They spread inaccurate information about protestors.	174	47		64	110	120	54	163	11	
They encouraged confrontational or aggressive behavior by protestors.	163	51		33	130	104	59	153	9	
They encouraged more people to protest.	152	44		23	130	94	58	145	7	
They favored the protestors in reporting.	144	39		25	119	75	69	139	5	
They favored the police in reporting.	42	12		38	4	37	5	39	3	
Other (please specify):	16	11		2	14	8	8	16	0	
Count	3.88	4.18		3.04	4.30	3.73	4.16	3.86	4.45	

* Table Base: THINK THE NEWS MEDIA SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

Q9: What would reform of Chicago law enforcement look like to you? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	760	148	385	375	760	0	734	26
Total (Unweighted)	749	115	377	372	749	0	720	29
De-escalation training for all officers	407	92	210	196	407	0	392	14
Race-focused training for all officers	386	88	230	157	386	0	375	12
Sensitivity training for all officers	375	81	204	170	375	0	361	13
Bias training for all officers	348	66	208	140	348	0	333	15
Stricter rules and regulations from the Chicago Police Board (the disciplinary body for Chicago police officers)	341	88	188	154	341	0	330	12
Increased racial and ethnic diversity within the department	328	62	194	134	328	0	314	14
Introduction of social workers onto police force	320	73	189	131	320	0	308	11
New policies on gun use	314	68	172	142	314	0	303	11
Greater reliance on non-lethal weapons	308	63	175	133	308	0	297	11
More stringent recruiting requirements	250	55	137	113	250	0	238	12
Civilian oversight committee	203	47	114	89	203	0	191	12
Completely new leadership	189	57	122	67	189	0	180	9
Reduced funding for the police department	149	40	119	30	149	0	141	8
Other (please specify):	23	6	3	20	23	0	23	0
Not sure	60	9	17	44	60	0	57	3
Count	5.26	6.05	5.92	4.58	5.26	0.00	5.23	6.09

* Table Base: AGREE CHICAGO LAW ENFORCEMENT NEEDS REFORM

Q10: Which of the following do you think are effective policies for reducing gun violence in Chicago? Please select all that apply.

	Total (A)	Friend/Family member of police officer (B)	Stance on Defund the Police		Stance on Need for Law Enforcement Reform		Stance on Need for Gun Violence Reduction	
			Support (C)	Oppose (D)	Reform is needed (E)	Reform is not needed (F)	Needs to be reduced (G)	Does not need to be reduced (H)
Total	956	207	396	561	734	222	956	0
Total (Unweighted)	944	160	391	553	720	224	944	0
Background checks for all gun sales	604	122	260	345	497	108	604	0
Higher penalties for gun-related crimes	574	142	212	361	439	135	574	0
Increased police presence in neighborhoods with high gun violence	527	129	167	360	382	144	527	0
Increase the police's community involvement with residents in neighborhoods with high gun violence	489	112	174	316	382	108	489	0
Youth services and after-school programs	434	107	199	235	349	85	434	0
Red flag laws/Extreme risk protection orders (i.e., police can remove or block an individual's access to firearms if they believe the weapons will be used for harm)	425	97	193	232	346	79	425	0
Buy-back of certain firearms (e.g., semi-automatic assault weapons)	338	87	162	176	285	53	338	0
Other (please specify):	38	7	8	30	23	14	38	0
Not sure	51	2	11	39	39	12	51	0
Count	3.64	3.88	3.50	3.74	3.73	3.32	3.64	0.00

* Table Base: AGREE GUN VIOLENCE NEEDS TO BE REDUCED IN CHICAGO